CITY OF PERRIS

MINUTES: Joint Work Session of the City Council,

Redevelopment Agency,

Perris Public Finance Authority & Perris Public Utilities Authority

Date of Meeting: 28 June 2005 Time of Meeting: 4:30 p.m.

Place of Meeting: City Council Chambers

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The Honorable Mayor Busch called the Joint City Council, Redevelopment Agency, Perris Public Finance Authority and Perris Public Utilities Authority Meeting to order.

4:30 p.m. Called to Order

2. ROLL CALL:

Council Members Present: Landers, Motte, Busch

(Councilmember Rogers arrived at 4:35 p.m.) (Councilmember Yarbrough arrived at 4:55 p.m.) 3 Council Members present (Councilmember Rogers arrived at 4:35 p.m.) (Councilmember Yarbrough arrived at 4:55 p.m.)

Staff Members Present: City Manager Apodaca, City Attorney Dunn, Community Development Director Barnes, Finance Director Carr, Public Works Director Ansari, Assistant to City Manager Madkin, and City Clerk Rey.

Staff Members Present

3. WORK SESSION:

A. Discussion on three conceptual design alternatives for the interior of the new Council/Community Chambers.

Discussion on three conceptual design alternatives for the interior of the new Council/Community Chambers.

Introduced by: Ahmad Ansari, Public Works Director

Director Ansari stated that the purpose of this item was for the Council to review the three conceptual design alternatives for the interior of the new Council/Community Chambers and provide direction as to which alternative to proceed with. He then introduced John Loomis of Thirtieth Street Architects to make a

PowerPoint presentation.

Director Ansari introduced John Loomis of Thirtieth Street Architects to make the presentation. Mr. Loomis pointed out that this would be a multipurpose facility to be used by the whole community. The primary Chamber, set up with a raised 7-person dais, a lower-level desk for the City Clerk, 54 fixed seats and two lecterns, was designed to house Council and community events. A moveable screen wall would allow for an additional 140 seats to be added. There would also be an A-V room, a gallery with historical pictures, public and staff restrooms, and a meeting room with a kitchenette. A multipurpose area (the library) would occupy the tower.

Mr. Loomis pointed out that this would be a multipurpose facility to be used by the whole community, and highlighted its features.

Mr. Loomis showed drawings of the three options and explained that all three were consistent with the style of the building, "Mission Revival". He commended the City for choosing to reuse what it already had, thus saving a great deal of money by using the existing structure rather than building a new one. He also presented twelve choices for a color scheme that would create warmth and texture.

Mr. Loomis showed drawings of the three design options and commended the City on its wise decision to reuse the existing structure.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Bob Warren, speaking on behalf of the Perris Valley Historical and Museum Association, said that various alumni of Perris High School, which had originally occupied this building, had proposed having a fundraiser to purchase tiles inscribed with the names of past students and faculty, to be displayed in the gallery. He thanked the City for being willing to consider the idea.

Public Comment

Bob Warren of the Perris Valley Historical and Museum Association proposed an idea for honoring past students and faculty of the high school that once occupied this building.

Katie Keys thought the tiles would be a wonderful historical addition to the City.

Katie Keys thought the commemorative tiles would be a wonderful addition.

COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Landers had a question regarding the size of the meeting and conference rooms. Mr. Loomis responded.

Council Questions/Discussion

Councilmember Landers asked about the size of the meeting and conference rooms. Mr. Loomis responded.

Councilmember Yarbrough asked approximately how much it would cost to restore the second tower on the building. Mr. Loomis replied that it would cost about \$375,000 to construct. Mr. Yarbrough was in favor of the historical tiles.

Councilmember Yarbrough asked what it would cost to restore the second tower. Mr. Loomis' estimate was \$375,000.

B. Review of the Updated Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

Review of the Updated Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

Introduced by: Darren Madkin, Assistant to the City Manager

Darren Madkin said the best way to describe this document was as a working document. Currently containing four chapters, it would eventually contain five. He said the first two chapters gave a snapshot of the facilities and the services currently provided, as well as explaining how the park system was funded through developer impact fees. Chapter 3, a little more detailed, described who was using the parks, how the parks were being used and how often, and came up with an actual calculation for determining how much park acreage was needed and the number of facilities needed. Mr. Madkin said that section was important because it defined how to implement some of the recommendations in Chapter 4.

Mr. Madkin described the current plan as a working document and gave a brief outline of it.

Mr. Madkin stated that once the document had been approved by the Council, Staff would return with recommendations on implementing park policy based on some of recommendations in this document. He said Council had previously approved a contract with the consultant to do a Trails Master Plan (to be Chapter 5 of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan), which was currently being reviewed by Staff and would be brought back to Council at a future date for formal adoption. Mr. Madkin stated that the Planning Commission had reviewed this plan and was generally supportive of its content. Mr. Madkin then turned the presentation over the Mr. Jim Pickel, consultant with Purkiss-Rose, RSI.

Mr. Madkin said that after Council approval, Staff would return with recommendations on implementing park policy. He then introduced Jim Pickel of Purkiss-Rose, RSI, to complete the presentation.

Mr. Pickel introduced the consultant team and thanked Staff for their cooperation. He said the purpose of the Master Plan was basically to create policy change, to create and adopt standard updates and do a needs assessment of both current and future facilities and an analysis of existing facilities, and make financial recommendations. Mr. Pickel said their research had included obtaining input from the community by conducting community workshops, sending out questionnaires for organized sports groups and community groups in the City, and doing interviews and a telephone survey. They had also considered programs and activities desired by the City. From the research, they had arrived at an analysis, from which they came up with a recommendation for the City. The consultant's recommendation was that there be five acres of parkland for each 1,000 residents.

Mr. Pickel introduced the consultant team and thanked Staff for their cooperation. He outlined the purpose of the Master Plan and explained how they had arrived at an analysis following extensive research.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

No Public Comment

COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION:

Council Questions/Discussion

Mayor Pro Tem Motte asked about the recommendation to raise developer impact fees. Consultant team member Ron Hagan said the recommendation was to reevaluate the park in-lieu fees. A City ordinance requires either the dedication of parkland or the payment of a fair market value in-lieu fee. The last in-lieu fee had been established in 1993, and with the increased values and significant growth, this fee needed to be reevaluated. In order to change it, the City would have to go through a whole new ordinance process. Mr. Hagan said that most cities were now amending their ordinances to make them refer to a park in-lieu fee established by Council resolution. That way, periodically those fees could be amended by resolution. As current fees no longer reflected fair market values, they needed to be changed so that they were equivalent to the value of the land to be dedicated in-lieu-of.

Mayor Pro Tem Motte asked about the recommendation to raise developer impact fees. Consultant Ron Hagan said the recommendation was to reevaluate the park in-lieu fees so that they would reflect current fair market values and be equivalent to the value of in-lieu-of dedicated land.

The Council asked City Attorney Dunn to bring back a new resolution regarding this to be adopted.

The Council asked City Attorney Dunn to prepare a new resolution regarding this.

Councilmember Landers said this was too great of a presentation to just rush through. Mr. Pickel said it didn't have to be adopted just now; they would have time to consider it. Councilmember Landers said he would like more time to consider this very important presentation.

Mayor Busch asked about Park Policy #1. He said the Council had made it clear that they wanted a minimum ratio of five acres of public parkland per 1,000 population, not three. He said that should not even be an option and should be changed.

Mayor Busch asked about Park Policy #1, saying that "three parks per 1,000" should not even be an option; it should be changed to five parks.

Councilmember Rogers had a question about Exhibit 4.2 regarding potential and future neighborhood parks and what that would do to reduce the deficit (132.2 acres). The consultant responded.

Councilmember Rogers asked if future parks would reduce the parkland deficit. The consultant responded.

Mayor Pro Tem Motte asked if County parks were included in this. The response was that they were not.

Mayor Pro Tem Motte asked if County parks were included. The answer was that they were

Councilmember Yarbrough said that the pool at Perris High School was used by the public and should be reflected on Exhibit 2.1. And regarding Sphere of Influence, Val Verde School District had proposed an aquatic center that could end up in this area. He mentioned that joint use acreage at Avalon Elementary was not reflected in Exhibit 2.1, and that Panther Park and the linear park under construction also were not included.

Councilmember Yarbrough enumerated several facilities that should have been included in Exhibit 2.1.

Mayor Busch asked Mr. Madkin what the future scheduling was on the Master Plan. Mr. Madkin responded that they would make the revisions and bring the Plan back to Council as soon as possible.

Mayor Busch asked Mr. Madkin about Master Plan scheduling. Mr. Madkin said revisions would be made and

the Plan would be brought back to Council as soon as possible.

14. ADJOURNMENT:

By unanimous consent, the Joint City Council, Redevelopment Agency, PPFA and PPUA Work Session was adjourned at 6:05 p.m.

6:05 p.m. Joint City Council, Redevelopment Agency, PPFA and PPUA Work Session was adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,