

CITY OF PERRIS

MINUTES: City Council, Redevelopment Agency,
Perris Public Finance Authority &
Perris Public Utilities Authority

Date of Meeting: 09 March 2004
Time of Meeting: 6:00 p.m.
Place of Meeting: City Council Chambers

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The Honorable Mayor Busch called the Joint City Council, Redevelopment Agency, Perris Public Finance Authority and Perris Public Utilities Authority Meeting to order.

6:05 p.m. Called to Order

2. ROLL CALL:

Council Members Present: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers and Busch

All Councilmembers present.

Staff Members Present: City Manager Apodaca, City Attorney Dunn, Community Development Director Gutierrez, City Engineer Motlagh, Interim Finance Director Fructuoso, Police Chief Kestell and City Clerk Rey.

Staff Members Present.

3. INVOCATION:

Pastor Connor Robinson
First Baptist Church
P.O. Box 1399
Perris, CA

Pastor Connor Robinson of the First Baptist Church led the invocation.

4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Councilmember Yarbrough led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Councilmember Yarbrough led the Pledge.

5. PRESENTATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS:

The Mayor to Proclaim the Second Annual Relay for Life event to be held on April 3rd and 4th, 2004 at Perris Union High School, Relay for Life Weekend.

Mayor Busch presented a proclamation proclaiming the Relay for Life Weekend.

Mayor Pro Tem Landers accepted the proclamation on behalf of the Relay for Life Committee and announced that the City of Perris had raised almost \$70,000 for the Relay for Life Event.

Mayor Pro Tem Landers accepted the proclamation on behalf of the Relay for Life committee.

Jeanne Ramirez from the American Cancer Society to present to the City of Perris the Heart of Relay Award.

Jeanne Ramirez presented the City the Heart of Relay Award.

Mayor Busch accepted on behalf of the City and thanked everyone involved in the event.

Mayor Busch accepted on behalf of the City.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Approval of the minutes of the Joint Work Session; City Council, Redevelopment Agency, PPFA and PPUA meetings held February 24, 2004.

Minutes of the Joint Work Session, City Council, Redevelopment Agency, PPFA and PPUA meetings held February 24, 2004.

M/S/C: (Rogers/Landers) to approve the minutes of the Joint Work Session, City Council, Redevelopment Agency, Perris Public Finance Authority and the Perris Public Utilities Authority meetings held February 24, 2004.

Approved: 5-0

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch
NOES:

7. CONSENT CALENDAR:

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

No Public Comment.

- A. To adopt the Second Reading of Ordinance Number 1126 entitled:

Ordinance Number 1126 amending Chapter 19.61 pertaining to the term of Conditional Use Permits

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AMENDING CHAPTER 19.61 PERTAINING TO THE TERM OF CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS

- B. To adopt Proposed Resolution Number 3195 setting the date for a public hearing on April 13, 2004 to consider the annexation of Corman Leigh Communities Tract No. 31241 and SB Engineering Tract No. 31678 into the Public Safety CFD.

Adoption of Resolution Number 3195 setting the date to consider the annexation of Tract No. 31241 and Tract No. 31678 into the Public Safety CFD.

The proposed Resolution Number 3195 is entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, ACTING AS THE LEGISLATIVE BODY OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NO. 2001-3 (NORTH PERRIS PUBLIC SAFETY) OF THE CITY OF PERRIS DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO ANNEX CERTAIN TERRITORY THERETO [ANNEXATION NO. 3]

Proposed Resolution Number 3195

- C. To approve a Joint Powers Agreement creating the Regional Conservation Authority for the implementation of the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan.

Approval of the Joint Powers Agreement creating the Regional Conservation Authority for the implementation of the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan.

- D. Approval of Warrants.

Approval of Warrants.

M/S/C: (Yarbrough/Landers) to approve the Consent Calendar as presented.

*Approval of the Consent Calendar as presented.
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch
NOES:

8. **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

- A. Consideration and discussion to adopt Proposed Resolution Number 3196 providing findings approving the Negative Declaration (Number 2133) amending the General Plan 03-0249, Zone Change 03-0250 and Tentative Tract Map 31678 (03-0251), on an 18.1 acre property at the northeast corner of San Jacinto Avenue and Wilson Avenue; and introduce the First Reading of Ordinance Number 1127 to amend the City's zoning map by changing the zoning on 9.1 acres of an 18.1 acre property at the northeast corner of San Jacinto Avenue and Wilson Avenue, from CC Commercial to R7 Residential (ZC03-0250).

Adoption of Resolution Number 3196 approving the Negative Declaration Number 2133 amending the General Plan 03-0249, Zone Change 03-0250 and Tentative Tract Map 31678 (03-0251) and introduction of Ordinance Number 1127 to amend the City's zoning map.

Applicant: Salim Habib

The proposed Resolution Number 3196 is entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 03-0249 TO CHANGE THE LAND USE DESIGNATION FROM CC COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL TO R7 RESIDENTIAL ON APPROXIMATELY 9.1 ACRES OF AN 18.1 PROPERTY AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAN JACINTO AVENUE AND WILSON AVENUE, AND APPROVING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 31678 (#03-0251) SUBDIVIDING THE SITE INTO 67 LOTS FOR SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, AND MAKING FINDINGS IN SUPPORT THEREOF.

Proposed Resolution Number 3196 amending the General Plan 03-0249 and approving Tentative Tract Map 31678 (03-0251)

The First Reading of Proposed Ordinance Number 1127 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, APPROVING ZONE CHANGE NO. 03-0250 TO CHANGE THE ZONING DESIGNATION FROM CC COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL TO R7 RESIDENTIAL ON APPROXIMATELY 9.1 ACRES OF AN 18.1 ACRE PROPERTY AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAN JACINTO AVENUE AND WILSON AVENUE, AND MAKING FINDINGS IN SUPPORT THEREOF.

Introduction of Ordinance Number 1127 to amend the City's zoning map.

Introduced by: Olivia Gutierrez, Community Development Director

Director Gutierrez explained that the subject property was located at the northeast corner of San Jacinto and Wilson Avenue. It was currently divided into a northern portion containing 9 acres that was zoned R7 Residential and a southern portion containing 9.1 acres that was zoned CC Community Commercial. The applicant was requesting application of the R7 Residential designation across the entire site and approval of a Tentative Tract Map for 67 single-family dwellings. The City of Perris Planning Commission had unanimously approved this project. The Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission considered this request and had concluded that the project was inconsistent with the 1984/86 Airport Land Use Plan because of the site's proximity to March Air Reserve Base. The City of Perris planning staff evaluated the proposed project by employing the Land Use and Compatibility Guidelines contained in the 1998 Air Installation Compatible Use Zone study and had determined that the project was in substantial conformance with this more recent study.

Director Gutierrez explained the project and that the Riverside County Land Use Commission had concluded that the project was inconsistent with the 1984/86 Airport Land Use Plan but that the project was in conformance with the Land Use and Compatibility Guidelines in the 1998 Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Eric Kroencke from the firm of Ashley and Kroencke, and representing Salim Habib, stated that the applicant agreed with the Conditions of Approval and was available for any questions Council may have.

Eric Kroencke, from the firm representing Salim Habib stated that the applicant agreed with the Conditions of Approval.

COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Landers asked if any of the lots were in the flood plane.

Mayor Pro Tem Landers asked about the flood plane.

Director Gutierrez responded that the entire site was in the flood plane.

Director Gutierrez responded that all the lots were in the flood plane.

Councilmember Yarbrough commented that the agenda submittal had stated that the project was inconsistent with the Airport Land Use Plan but that it was compatible with the 1998 Study. He questioned if the 1998 Study was in effect.

Councilmember Yarbrough questioned if the 1998 Study was in effect.

Director Gutierrez responded that the 1998 Study was in effect and fully adopted by the Air Installation Compatible Use Zone as well as the City.

Director Gutierrez responded that the study had been adopted by the Air Installation Compatible Use Zone and the City.

Councilmember Yarbrough asked if any other studies were being used and if so would Director Gutierrez be able to get information on them and provide that information to the Council. He believed that there would be a notice to the property owners to let them know that they were in an air traffic zone.

Councilmember Yarbrough asked for information on other studies.

Director Gutierrez responded that there were current studies being undertaken by the Joint Powers Authority and March Air Force Base but that they were not official in terms of being formally adopted. She would be happy to get information on these studies for the Council and explained that the study that was out of date was the Airport Land Use Commission which was different than the Air Installation Compatible Use Zone.

Director Gutierrez explained that the other studies were not official, but that she would get information on them for the Council.

Councilmember Motte inquired whether the lot sizes were 6000 or 7000 square feet and what price-range the homes would be in.

Councilmember Motte inquired about the lot sizes and the price-range of the homes.

Mr. Kroencke responded that the average lot was 6700 square feet and that the price of the homes would be determined by what the market would bear.

Mr. Kroencke responded that the average lot size was 6700 square feet and the price would be what the market would bear.

Mayor Busch commented that on the map there were two commercial sites and that only one was being changed and asked what was going to happen to the other one.

Mayor Busch asked about the other commercial site in the vicinity.

Mr. Kroencke explained that negotiations with the owner of that site were underway and that it was assumed that the sale would go

Mr. Kroencke explained that negotiations were underway to purchase that property.

through and that site would be developed in the same way.

M/S/C: (Rogers/Motte) to adopt Resolution Number 3196 and introduce the First Reading of Ordinance Number 1127 as read by the Mayor.

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch
NOES:

Adoption of Proposed Resolution Number 3196 approving the Negative Declaration Number 2133 amending the General Plan 03-0249, Zone Change 03-0250 and Tentative Tract Map 31678 (03-0251) and introduction of Ordinance Number 1127 to amend the City's zoning map. Approved: 5-0

- B. Consideration and discussion regarding formation of Community Facilities District No. 2004-1 of the City of Perris, the Amber Oaks Development and the issuance of bonds.

Approval for the Public Hearing for the formation of Community Facilities District No. 2004-1 (Amber Oaks) and the issuance of bonds to be continued to the March 30, 2004 City Council meeting.

Introduced by: Eric Dunn, City Attorney

(Public Hearing to be opened and continued to the March 30, 2004 City Council Meeting).

City Attorney Dunn explained that Resolutions of Intention had been approved at an earlier Council meeting to start proceedings and open public hearings. The Public Hearings would be opened and continued to the March 30, 2004 City Council meeting.

City Attorney Dunn explained that the Public Hearing would be opened and Continued to March 30, 2004 Council meeting.

Urban Schreiner, bond council for the projects, stated that notices had been given that the public hearings would be held at this time. However, they would need to be continued in order to complete the final drafts of the agreements.

Urban Schreiner, bond council for the projects, stated that the public hearings would need to be continued in order to complete the final drafts of the agreements.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

No Public Comment

COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS: None

No Questions or Comments from the City Council.

M/S/C: (Motte/Landers) to continue the Public Hearing for the formation of Community Facilities District No. 2004-1 (Amber Oaks) and the issuance of bonds to the March 30, 2004 City Council meeting.

Approval for the Public Hearing for the formation of Community Facilities District No. 2004-1 (Amber Oaks) and the issuance of bonds to be continued to the March 30, 2004 City Council meeting.

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch
NOES:

Approved: 5-0

- C. Consideration and discussion regarding formation of Community Facilities District No. 2004-2 of the City of Perris, the CLC Development and the issuance of bonds.

Approval for the Public Hearing for the formation of Community Facilities District No. 2004-2 (CLC) and the issuance of bonds to be continued to the March 30, 2004 City Council meeting.

Introduced by: Eric Dunn, City Attorney

(Public Hearing to be opened and continued to the March 30, 2004 City Council Meeting).

City Attorney Dunn explained that this item was the same as Item B except for the Corman Leigh Communities. The Public Hearing would be opened and continued to the March 30, 2004 City Council meeting.

City Attorney Dunn explained that this item was the same as Item B except for the Corman Leigh Communities.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

No Public Comment

COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS: None

No Questions or Comments from the City Council.

M/S/C: (Motte/Landers) to continue the Public Hearing for the formation of Community Facilities District No. 2004-2 (Corman Leigh Communities) and the issuance of bonds to the March 30, 2004 City Council meeting.

Approval for the Public Hearing for the formation of Community Facilities District No. 2004-2 (CLC) and the issuance of bonds to be continued to the March 30, 2004 City Council meeting.

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch
NOES:

Approved: 5-0

9. BUSINESS ITEMS: (not requiring a "Public Hearing"):

- A. Consideration and discussion to adopt Proposed Resolution Number 3197 approving the Joint Community Facilities Agreement among the City of Perris, YH Perris 31114 LLC, a California limited liability company and Eastern Municipal Water District relating to Community Facilities District No. 2004-1 (Amber Oaks) and approving the Funding Agreement between the City of Perris and YH Perris 31114, LLC, a California limited liability company (Owner).

Adoption of proposed Resolution Number 3197 approving the Joint Community Facilities Agreement relating to Community Facilities District No. 2004-1 (Amber Oaks) and the Funding Agreement with YH Perris 31114, LLC

The Proposed Resolution Number 3197 is entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A FUNDING AGREEMENT AND A COMMUNITY FACILITIES AGREEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE FORMATION OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT 2004-1 (AMBER OAKS); AND MAKING FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS THEREWITH.

Resolution Number 3197 approving a funding agreement and a Community Facilities Agreement in connection with the formation of Community Facilities District 2004-1 (Amber Oaks).

Introduced by: Eric Dunn, City Attorney

City Attorney Dunn explained that these were agreements that needed to be finalized before the project was complete. There was a funding agreement and a Joint Community Facilities Agreement with Eastern Municipal Water District. Item 9A was for Amber Oaks and Item 9B was the same except it was for the CLC project.

City Attorney Dunn explained that Items 9A and 9B were the same except for different projects.

Urban Schreiner commented that a Deposit/Reimbursement Agreement had been done several months ago for these developments to provide the basis on which bond proceeds could be remitted back to the developers.

PUBLIC COMMENT:	None	<i>No Public Comment</i>
COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION:	None	<i>No Questions or Comments from the City Council.</i>
M/S/C: (Yarbrough/Rogers) to approve the Resolution as presented by Staff in reference to the formation of Community Facilities District 2004-1 (Amber Oaks).		<i>Resolution Number 3197 approving a funding agreement and a Community Facilities Agreement in connection with the formation of Community Facilities District 2004-1 (Amber Oaks).</i>
AYES:	Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch	
NOES:		<i>Approved: 5-0</i>

- B. Consideration and discussion to adopt Proposed Resolution Number 3198 approving the Joint Community Facilities Agreement among the City of Perris, Perris CLC, LLC, and 99/WC, LLC, both California limited liability companies and Eastern Municipal Water District relating to Community Facilities District No. 2004-2 (CLC), and approving the Funding Agreement between the City of Perris and Perris CLC, LLC, a California limited liability company and Perris 99/WC, LLC, a California limited liability company (Owner).
- Adoption of proposed Resolution Number 3198 approving the Joint Community Facilities Agreement relating to Community Facilities District No. 2004-2 (CLC) and the Funding Agreement Perris CLC, LLC and Perris 99/WC, LLC*

The Proposed Resolution Number 3198 is entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A FUNDING AGREEMENT AND A COMMUNITY FACILITIES AGREEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE FORMATION OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT 2004-2 (CLC); AND MAKING FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS THEREWITH.	<i>Resolution Number 3198 approving a funding agreement and a Community Facilities Agreement in connection with the formation of Community Facilities District 2004-2 (CLC).</i>
--	--

Introduced by: Eric Dunn, City Attorney

City Attorney Dunn explained that this was the same as the Amber Oaks Resolution and pointed out that the descriptions sounded confusing because the developers had created subsidiary LLCs and partnered with other companies and had created a variety of names. This was, however, the Corman Leigh project.	<i>City Attorney Dunn explained that this was the same as the Amber Oaks Resolution and even though the names were confusing, it was the Corman Leigh project.</i>
---	--

PUBLIC COMMENT:	None	<i>No Public Comment</i>
COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION:	None	<i>No Questions or Comments from the City Council</i>
M/S/C: (Rogers/Landers) to approve Resolution Number 3198 approving a funding agreement and a Community Facilities Agreement in connection with the formation of Community Facilities District 2004-2 (CLC).2		<i>Resolution Number 3198 approving a funding agreement and a Community Facilities Agreement in connection with the formation of Community Facilities District 2004-2 (CLC).</i>
AYES:	Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch	
NOES:		<i>Approved: 5-0</i>

- C. Consideration and discussion to make appointments to the Western Riverside Council of Governments/Regional Conservation Authority to which the City Council or their delegates are members.

Appointment to the Western Riverside Council of Governments/Regional Conservation Authority

Introduced by: Hector Apodaca, City Manager

City Manager Apodaca explained that the Council had approved the Joint Powers Agreement to form the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority in conjunction with the implementation of the MSHCP. The governing body of the RCA was comprised of the Executive committee of the Western Regional Council of Governments. The Council had appointed two representatives to WRCOG but had not directly appointed representatives to WRCA. This was an opportunity to ratify appointments or to make adjustments. By appointing representatives to WRCOG, the Council would be making appointments to WRCA.

City Manager Apodaca explained that by appointing representatives to WRCOG, the Council would be making appointments to WRCA.

City Attorney Dunn commented that the actual Joint Powers Agreement specifically stated that the RCA Board would be composed of the County Board and the WRCOG Executive Committee Member from each City who signed the agreement. Therefore whoever served on the Executive Committee served on the RCA.

City Attorney Dunn commented that whoever served on the Executive Committee served on the RCA.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Landers stated that he served as the delegate on the WRCOG. He said that a lot of Cities had the alternate on the committee serve on the RCA because WRCOG and the RCA are so different. RCA required knowledge of multi-species and he thought that Councilmember Yarbrough would be a good representative because of his knowledge of the MSHCP.

Mayor Pro Tem Landers stated that he was the delegate on the WRCOG and suggested Councilmember Yarbrough as the RCA representative.

Mayor Busch stated that he was currently the alternate on the WRCOG committee but would he happy to relinquish his seat to Councilmember Yarbrough.

Mayor Busch stated that he would be happy to relinquish his seat as alternate on the WRCOG committee to Councilmember Yarbrough.

City Attorney Dunn commented that the Joint Powers Agreement allowed each City to appoint one alternate and that it would be up to the two members to work out the logistics. He explained that this was a regular appointment process and would take three votes to ratify.

City Attorney Dunn commented that the Joint Powers Agreement allowed each City to appoint one alternate member and that it would take three votes to ratify the appointment.

Mayor Busch proposed to the Council that he relinquish his seat and appoint Councilmember Yarbrough as alternate on the WRCOG committee.

Mayor Busch relinquished his seat and appointed Councilmember Yarbrough as alternate on the WRCOG committee.

M/S/C: (Motte/Landers) to ratify the appointment of Councilmember Yarbrough as alternate on the WRCOG committee.

*Appointment of Councilmember Yarbrough to the Western Riverside Council of Governments/Regional Conservation Authority
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch
NOES:

- D. Consideration and discussion regarding setting a meeting date for the General Plan Workshop.

Approval of a meeting date for the General Plan Workshop.

Introduced by: Olivia Gutierrez, Community Development Director

Community Development Director Gutierrez requested that the Council consider April 6, 2004 as the meeting date for the General Plan Workshop.

Community Development Director Gutierrez requested that April 6, 2004 be considered as the meeting date for the General Plan Workshop.

Mayor Busch set April 6, 2004 at 6:00 p.m. for the General Plan Workshop.

Mayor Busch set April 6, 2004 at 6:00 p.m. for the workshop.

No motion was required.

No motion required.

- E. Consideration and discussion regarding a request for modification of Conditions of Approval for Development Plan Review No. 04-0053 for a proposed Truck Storage Yard located at the northeast corner of Webster Avenue and Markham Street.

Applicant: Ross Distribution Center

Introduced by: Olivia Gutierrez, Community Development Director

Community Development Director Gutierrez explained that Council had approved a request from Ross Stores to locate a truck storage facility off site to accommodate the enormous growth that Ross had experienced. Ross had approached staff about changing the conditions of approval regarding the use of Webster Avenue as the approved truck route. Since staff was not authorized to modify the Council applied conditions of approval, the applicant had requested that the item be placed on the Council agenda for consideration and action. The City Engineer and Planning Staff both recommended that Council uphold the condition requiring Ross to access the site from Markham Street and Perris Boulevard.

Community Development Director Gutierrez explained that Ross Stores would like to change the Conditions of Approval regarding the use of Webster Avenue as the approved truck route to their storage facility.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Roger Prend, Senior Vice President of Albert A. Webb Associates representing Ross, explained that after careful consideration of the proposed truck route, Ross would like to change the conditions of approval regarding the use of Webster Avenue. The reasons for the requested change included the fact that the Perris Boulevard route involved an unsignalized left turn across Perris Boulevard onto Markham; the Perris Boulevard route had a dedicated left turn pocket on Perris Boulevard that would only accommodate two trucks without impacting the driving lane in the northbound

Roger Prend, Senior Vice President of Albert A. Webb Associates representing Ross, explained the reasons Ross would like to change the conditions of approval regarding the use of Webster Avenue.

direction; and the Webster Avenue route was approximately one-third the distance of travel and therefore would have the least amount of impact on the City maintained streets. He stated that if the Webster Avenue route was approved by City Council, Ross would be willing to redirect traffic to the Perris Boulevard route if the residential properties along Webster Avenue created opposition.

COUNCIL QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Busch stated that his concern was that the trucks would be making two left turns across considerable traffic. Perris Boulevard was a very heavily trafficked street and having large trucks with trailers making left turns could be hazardous.

Mayor Busch voiced his concerns regarding large trucks with trailers making left turns on a very heavily trafficked street.

City Engineer Habib Motlagh explained that the reason staff had come up with the condition was two-fold. One was that Webster Avenue was recently installed and second was that the road was not built for truck traffic. Using Perris Boulevard would be safe but would require patience. Council could consider having the applicant overlay and re-stripe Webster after they moved to their permanent site but there was still the problem of Webster Avenue being a residential neighborhood. He inquired as to what times the trucks would be running.

City Engineer Motlagh explained the reason staff had come up with the condition and inquired as to what times the trucks would be running.

Mr. Prend responded that there would be no night trips at all and that 99% of the trips would be trucks picking up and delivering empty trailers.

Mr. Prend responded that there would be no night trips and 99% of the trips would be pick-up and delivery of empty trailers.

Mayor Busch inquired about the term of the temporary storage facility and asked if Ross would contribute to the road fund if they did use Webster Avenue.

Mayor Busch asked if Ross would contribute to the road fund if they used Webster Avenue.

Mr. Prend explained that the client basically had concerns about the route from an operational standpoint. If the Council thought that it was an appropriate route, they would be happy to comply. They thought that Webster may have been a better route for this interim use.

Mr. Prend explained that his client would be happy to comply if Council thought this was an appropriate route.

Mayor Busch expressed his concerns that when large trucks made left turns in heavy traffic, some people would not use the caution that they should. He felt that Webster might have been a safer route.

Mayor Busch felt that Webster might have been a safer route.

Mr. Prend explained that the reason Ross was not using Morgan Street to Webster was because of the parking problem with the Starcrest facility. The trucks would have a difficult time navigating through the cars parked on the street on Morgan.

Mr. Prend explained that the reason Ross was not using Morgan Street to Webster was the parking problem with the Starcrest facility.

Councilmember Motte asked if Markham was a better street to handle truck traffic than Webster and if the reason for using Markham was to save the new pavement on Webster.

Councilmember Motte asked if the reason for using Markham was to save the new pavement on Webster.

City Engineer Motlagh explained that the City had not spent money fixing Markham Street and that the damage to a road that is not in good condition is not as significant as a road the City had spent money on. There were also fewer residents on Markham than on Webster and the distance was about the same.

City Engineer Motlagh explained that damage to a road that is not in good condition is not as significant as a road the City had spent money on.

Mayor Pro Tem Landers commented that there are a lot of homes along Webster and that the City had worked a long time to get that street paved. He understood Mr. Prend's position but would rather use another route if possible.

Mayor Pro Tem Landers would rather use another route if possible.

Councilmember Yarbrough agreed with the City Engineer and would not like to go back on the City's word to the residents of Webster. He asked if there was any reason that Patterson could not be utilized as a truck route and explained the turns they could use to get to and from the site.

Councilmember Yarbrough asked if Patterson could be used as a truck route.

City Engineer Motlagh replied that there was no reason other than the distance but that Ross would probably decide to take the Perris Boulevard route.

City Engineer Motlagh thought that Ross would decide to take the Perris Boulevard route.

Councilmember Yarbrough explained that the trucks could also go north on Oleander and catch the freeway there. They could turn at one controlled signal at Ramona and Morgan and then turn into a pocket that would get them out of traffic. With a minor pocket there and one at Markham and Patterson, that would give them the turning radius they needed for their right turns. The distance was probably about the same. He would support staff's recommendation but would offer an alternate route with the two minor modifications.

Councilmember Yarbrough would support staff's recommendation but would offer an alternate route with two minor modifications.

Mayor Busch agreed and asked about a traffic circle to go to and from the site.

Mayor Busch asked about a traffic circle.

Mr. Prend stated that Ross would take the Perris Boulevard route.

Mr. Prend stated Ross would use the Perris Boulevard route.

Councilmember Yarbrough was still concerned about the left turn the trucks would be making on Markham.

Councilmember Yarbrough was still concerned about the left turn on Markham.

Mayor Busch stated that both the left turns still concerned him.

Mayor Busch stated that both turns still concerned him.

City Engineer Motlagh commented that Perris Boulevard was scheduled for up-grading to four lanes from the Ramona Expressway to Moreno Valley on a joint grant project with Moreno Valley. This would be considered a TUMF corridor and construction would probably begin in Fiscal Year 04/05.

City Engineer Motlagh commented that Perris Boulevard was scheduled for up-grading to four lanes from the Ramona Expressway to Moreno Valley.

No motion was required.

No motion required.

10. PUBLIC COMMENT/CITIZEN PARTICIPATION:

Dave Parra, representing PVYA Girl's Softball, explained that there were no fields for the girls to play or practice on. He asked for

Dave Parra, representing PVYA Girl's Softball, asked for equal time for use of fields.

equal time for use of the fields for girl's softball and for full time use of the lighted fields at Foss Field and Rotary Park.

Dave Stuart, Operations Manager for the Perris Chamber of Commerce, announced Chamber events and welcomed new members to the Chamber.

Dave Stuart, Chamber of Commerce, announced Chamber events.

11. CITY COMMUNICATIONS: (Committee Reports, Agenda Items, Meeting Requests and Review, etc.

Councilmember Yarbrough explained why the reappointment to the RCA Board was made. He served on the RCHCA, the conservation agency that overlooked the K-Rats, and the majority of that Board would serve on the new conservation agency board. In order to maintain the integrity of the board, it was decided that a new appointment would be made. He also responded to Mr. Parra's request and suggested that he check with May Ranch Park and the Val Verde School District. The City had Joint Use Agreements with the School District and new schools were scheduled to be built.

Councilmember Yarbrough explained why the reappointment to the RCA Board was made and responded to Mr. Parra's request.

Mayor Pro Tem Landers suggested that Mr. Parra call the City's Recreation Department and commented that the Recreation Committee was addressing a lot of things with the playing fields. He also announced that he had attended the WRCOG re-organization meeting and asked for support for the Relay for Life event.

Mayor Pro Tem Landers suggested that Mr. Parra call the City Recreation Department, announced that he had attended WRCOG's re-organization meeting and asked for support for the Relay for Life event.

Councilmember Rogers announced that Dr. Fred Workman had been the featured speaker at the last meeting of the Cops and Clergy Network.

Councilmember Rogers announced the meeting of the Cops and Clergy Network.

Mayor Busch announced that he had attended the League of Cities meeting and that the League was starting a ballot measure to restrict the taking of City's funds. He also announced that the Black History Parade had been very well attended.

Mayor Busch had attended the League of Cities meeting and announced that the Black History Parade had been well attended.

12. CITY MANAGERS REPORT:

City Manager Apodaca reported that that next City Council meeting would include two workshops, one on the CETAP Corridor and the other on the Police Annual Report. The Clean-Up Day for Perris would be Saturday, April 17th and would cumulate with a chili cook-off at the American Legion. As a general advisory, he commented that housing construction is a precursor to commercial development, and that the City should watch re-zoning issues. He introduced Mr. James Fructuoso, who is the City's Interim Finance Director.

City Manager Apodaca reported that there would be two workshops at the next City Council meeting, Clean-Up Day for Perris, gave a general advisory on re-zoning issues and introduced the new Interim Finance Director, James Fructuoso.

13. CLOSED SESSION: None

No Closed Session

14. ADJOURNMENT:

By unanimous consent the Joint City Council, Redevelopment Agency, PPFA and PPUA Meeting was adjourned at 7:25 p.m.

*7:25 p.m. Joint City Council,
RDA, PPFA & PPUA Adjourned*

Respectfully Submitted,

Margaret Rey, City Clerk