

# ***CITY OF PERRIS***

*MINUTES:* Regular City Council Meeting  
*Date of Meeting:* 28 January 2003  
*Time of Meeting:* 6:00 p.m.  
*Place of Meeting:* City Council Chambers-City Hall

1. ***CALL TO ORDER:*** The Honorable Mayor Busch opened the Regular City Council Meeting at 6:00 p.m. and requested City Clerk Rey to call the roll.

*6:00 p.m. Called to Order*

2. ***ROLL CALL:***

Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch

*Mayor and  
Councilmembers present at  
6:00 p.m.*

3. ***INVOCATION:***

Pastor Mike Lewis  
Free Indeed Christian Fellowship  
403 S. 'D' Street  
Perris, CA 92570

*Pastor Mike Lewis  
Invocation*

4. ***PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:***

Councilmember Yarbrough led the Pledge of Allegiance.

*Councilmember  
Yarbrough led Pledge of  
Allegiance*

5. ***PRESENTATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS:***

Mayor Busch introduced Jaime Urtado, new employee from the 5<sup>th</sup> District Supervisor's Marion Ashley's office. Mayor Busch stated Mr. Urtado would be the local representative.

*Mayor Busch introduced  
Mr. Jaime Urtado, new  
employee at Supervisor  
Marion Ashley's office.*

Mr. Urtado thanked the Mayor and Council, stating that he looked forward to working with the City Council. Mr. Urtado expressed his belief in public service and that county government should be an effective form of government. He stated he would be the supervisor's eyes and ears of the Perris area. He was assigned to handle Hispanic affairs due to his bilingual abilities to the Perris and Moreno Valley areas.

6. ***APPROVAL OF MINUTES:***

To approve meeting minutes of Special City Council Work Session of January 7, 2003 and Regular City Council meeting of January 14, 2003.

*Special City Council Work  
Session 1/7/02 and Regular  
City Council meeting of  
1/14/03  
Approved 5-0*

M/C/S (ROGERS/LANDERS) To approve Special City Council Work Session of January 7, 2003 and Regular City Council meeting of January 14, 2003.

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch  
NOES: None

**7. PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ONLY:**

Brian Vidal, Perris citizen spoke regarding the Item A Cooperative Agreement for the cost sharing of the three fire captains between the City of Perris, the County of Riverside and the City of Moreno Valley. Mr. Vidal said he was in favor of the agreement, but encouraged the City to go forth with a fire station of their own for a quicker response time yet.

*Brian Vidal, Perris citizen  
in favor of Cooperative  
Agreement*

Councilmember Landers requested to pull Item A & I for discussion.  
Councilmember Motte requested Item J be pulled.

*Councilmember Landers  
request to pull item A & I.  
Councilmember Motte  
requested Item J be pulled*

**8. CONSENT CALENDAR**

A. To approve the Cooperative Agreement for Cost Sharing of three fire captains, between the City of Perris, the County of Riverside and the City of Moreno Valley.

*Cooperative Agreement for  
Cost Sharing of three fire  
captains  
Approved 5-0*

Andrew Bennett, Riverside County Fire Department and City of Perris Moreno Valley Fire Marshal spoke on behalf of the Cooperative Agreement for Cost Sharing of three fire Captains between the City of Perris, the County of Riverside and the City of Moreno Valley. Mr. Bennett stated the agreement that was being brought forth was due to the fire station being constructed and staffing would begin in March, with a staffing level of four firefighters which would be on one 75 foot truck company that would be placed in the fire station. College park fire station #91 will provide a response time with four firefighters and a truck company in approximately four or five minutes. Mr. Bennett said, not only are you getting the four firefighters but you are getting an elevated platform that can flow large volumes of water to suppress a fire in any of your large developments that you build in that area.

*Andrew Bennett, County  
Fire Department and City of  
Moreno Valley Fire  
Marshal comments*

Councilmember Landers expressed his concerns with public safety in the City in addition to the time response and stated he was in favor of the agreement but added that he would like the City of Perris to go forth on their own fire station in the north end in the future.

*Councilmember Landers  
concerns with public safety*

Mr. Bennett stated he could not answer the question as representative for the City of Moreno Valley but in his discussions with Chief Williams his number one goal to provide an enhancement to the City and fire services that included a fire station.

Councilmember Yarbrough stated he was also in support of the agreement and mentioned at one time the City was trying to negotiate a

*Councilmember Yarbrough  
in support of agreement*

developer agreement. One item previously discussed was a fire station with both Barratt and KB.

Mayor Busch asked for elaboration on the agreement pertaining to sharing of fire captains.

*Mayor Busch asks for elaboration on agreement*

Mr. Bennett informed the council the City of Perris would be providing funding for 1-½ fire captains; Riverside County will fund the other 1- ½ fire captains; the City of Moreno Valley will pay for the three fire apparatus engines they drive and the six firefighters. We will provide the funding and the service delivery cost as well as ownership of the station, but what you will receive is the benefit of the truck company responding when the City of Perris needs it.

*Mr. Bennett comments further*

Councilmember Rogers stated she fully supported the agreement.

*Councilmember Rogers in full support*

Mayor Busch thanked Mr. Bennett and revisited the fee structure previously passed for fire and police and as the fees come on board, the City plans to build and add facilities to the north end of the City.

*Mayor Busch thanked Mr. Bennett for his presentation*

- B. To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3059, ordering the annexation of the Rob Reiner Children and Families Development Center into Maintenance District No. 84-1.

*Adoption of Resolution Number 3059, ordering the annexation of the Rob Reiner Children and Families Development Center into Maintenance District No. 84-1*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3059 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, ORDERING THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN TERRITORY TO MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 84-1 (THE ROB REINER CHILDREN AND FAMILIES DEVELOPMENT CENTER, PORTION OF ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER 330-060-0020).

- C. To adopt, for second reading, Ordinance Number 1108, approving Zone Change No. 02-0225.

*Adoption of Ordinance Number 1108, to approve Zone Change No. 02-0225 Approved 5-0*

To adopt Ordinance Number 1108 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, APPROVING ZONE CHANGE NUMBER 02-0225 TO AMEND THE CITY'S ZONING MAP BY CHANGING THE LAND USE DESIGNATION OF APPROXIMATELY 15.15 ACRES OF LAND LOCATED ADJACENT TO GOETZ ROAD BETWEEN ETHANAC ROAD AND THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON EASEMENT TO THE SOUTH FROM OPEN SPACE TO R7 RESIDENTIAL (7 DWELLING UNITS PER ACRE).

- D. To adopt, for second reading, Ordinance Number 1109, amending Chapter 19.63 regarding Street Naming Requirements (Case Number Ordinance Amendment 02-0217).

*Adoption of Ordinance Number 1109, amending Chapter 19.63 Approved 5-0*

To adopt Ordinance Number 1109 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, APPROVING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT 02-0217 TO AMEND THE ZONING ORDINANCE, CHAPTER 19.63 REGARDING STREET NAME SUFFIXES.

E. To review and file Quarterly Investment Report for Period ending December 31, 2002.

*Receive and file Quarterly Investment Report*

F. To adopt proposed Resolution Numbers 3060 for Ordering Preparation of Engineer's Report; Preliminarily approving the Engineer's Report and Intention to Annex Tract 26386 to Landscape Maintenance District Number 1 and setting a public hearing date of March 25, 2003. Applicant: Perris Associates LP.

*Adoption of Resolution 3060, Ordering the preparation of a boundary map to annex Tract 26386 to Landscape Maintenance District No. 1  
Approved 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3060 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, INITIATING PROCEEDINGS, APPOINTING THE ENGINEER OF WORK, ORDERING THE PREPARATION OF A DISTRICT MAP INDICATING THE PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF AN ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF PERRIS LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1, AND FOR PROVIDING OTHER ENGINEERING SERVICES IN THE MATTER OF THE ANNEXATION OF BENEFIT ZONE 41 TO LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1. (TRACT 26386).

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3061 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF ENGINEER'S REPORT FOR ANNEXATION OF TRACT 26386 TO BENEFIT ZONE 41, CITY OF PERRIS LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1.

*Adoption of Resolution 3061, preliminary approval of Engineer's report for annexation of Tract 26386 to benefit Zone 41  
Approved 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3062 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DECLARING INTENTION TO ORDER THE ANNEXATION TO BENEFIT ZONE 41, CITY OF PERRIS LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1, DECLARING THE WORK TO BE OF MORE LOCAL THAN ORDINARY PUBLIC BENEFIT; SPECIFYING THE EXTERIOR BOUNDARIES OF THE AREA TO BE ANNEXED TO BENEFIT ZONE 41, LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1 AND TO BE ASSESSED THE COST AND EXPENSE THEREOF; DESIGNATING SAID ANNEXATION AS ANNEXATION OF TRACT 26386 TO BENEFIT ZONE 41, LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1; DETERMINING THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE TAKEN PURSUANT TO THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972; AND OFFERING A TIME AND PLACE FOR HEARING OBJECTIONS THERETO.

*Adoption of Resolution 3062, declaring intention to order the annexation to benefit zone 41, City of Perris Landscape Maintenance District Number 1  
Approved 5-0*

G. To adopt proposed Resolution Numbers 3063 for Ordering Preparation of Engineer's Report; Preliminarily approving the Engineer's Report and Intention to Annex Tract 26386 to Maintenance District Number 84-1 and setting a public hearing date of March 25, 2003. Applicant: Perris Associates LP.

*Adoption of Resolution 3063, Ordering Preparation of Engineer's Report and Intent to annex Tract 26386 to Maintenance District Number 84-1  
Approved 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3063 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, INITIATING PROCEEDINGS, APPOINTING THE ENGINEER OF WORK, ORDERING THE PREPARATION OF A DISTRICT MAP INDICATING THE PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF AN ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF PERRIS MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1, AND FOR PROVIDING OTHER ENGINEERING SERVICES IN THE MATTER OF THE ANNEXATION TO MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1. (TRACT 26386).

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3064 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF ENGINEER'S REPORT FOR ANNEXATION OF TRACT 26386 TO CITY OF PERRIS MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1.

*Adoption of Resolution 3064, Preliminary approval of Engineer's Report for annexation of Tract 26386 Maintenance District 84-1 Approved 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3065 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DECLARING INTENTION TO ORDER THE ANNEXATION TO CITY OF PERRIS MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1, DECLARING THE WORK TO BE OF MORE LOCAL THAN ORDINARY PUBLIC BENEFIT; SPECIFYING THE EXTERIOR BOUNDARIES OF THE AREA TO BE ANNEXED TO MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1 AND TO BE ASSESSED THE COST AND EXPENSE THEREOF; DESIGNATING SAID ANNEXATION AS ANNEXATION OF TRACT 26386 TO MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1; DETERMINING THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE TAKEN PURSUANT TO THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972; AND OFFERING A TIME AND PLACE FOR HEARING OBJECTIONS THERETO.

*Adoption of Resolution 3065, Declaring intention to order the annexation to City of Perris Maintenance District Number 84-1 Approved 5-0*

- H. To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3066, a resolution of intention to annex Tract 26386 to Flood Control Maintenance District Number 1 and setting a public hearing date of March 25, 2003. Applicant: Perris Associates LP.

*Adoption of Resolution 3066, Intention to annex tract 26386 Flood Control Maintenance District Number 1 Approved 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3066 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DECLARING INTENTION TO AUTHORIZE LEVYING ASSESSMENTS UPON CERTAIN PARCELS OF REAL PROPERTY, TO ORDER ANNEXATION OF TRACT 26386 TO BENEFIT ZONE 19, FLOOD CONTROL MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1, PURSUANT TO THE BENEFIT ASSESSMENT ACT OF 1982; AND OFFERING A TIME AND PLACE FOR HEARING OBJECTIONS THERETO.

- I. That the City Council: 1) Authorize the City Manager to enter into a five year lease agreement with the County of Riverside located at 135 North 'D' Street, adjacent to City Hall, subject to the City Attorney's review, and make the necessary minor improvements to accommodate Building & Safety and other city offices as deemed advisable; and 2) Amend the General Fund budget to appropriate \$8,000 for the remainder of the fiscal year lease.

*Authorizing City Manager to enter into agreement for the location of 135 North "D" street with County of Riverside Approved 5-0*

- J. To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3067, approving the revised Facilities Use Policies and Procedures.

*Adoption of Resolution 3067, approving the revised Facilities Use Policies and Procedures Approved 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3067 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AMENDING THE REVISED CITY OF PERRIS DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION FACILITIES USE POLICES AND FEE SCHEDULE AND RESCINDING RESOLUTION NUMBER 2631.

M/S/C (ROGERS/YARBROUGH) To approve the Consent Calendar to exclude items A, I, & J.

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch  
NOES: None

*Approved Consent Calendar to exclude items A, Cooperative Agreement Cost Sharing of three fire captains; item I. Lease agreement with County of Riverside & J. To adopt Res. No. 3067 revise Facilities use Policies and Procedures Approved 5-0*

Mr. Vasquez proposed to lease the building that the County of Riverside courts had vacated adjacent to City Hall. Mr. Vasquez stated there is a great need to expand City Hall, to provide better working conditions. The building could be leased for five years with 4,000 square feet of room to accommodate and ease the space crunch present at City Hall. The short-term lease would be at a reasonable cost, which would enhance the services provided, until a decision would be made concerning the long-term space needs of the City. Other alternatives would be to renovate the old police station but required substantial amount of money due to inadequate structure.

*City Manager Vasquez provides information on lease of building*

Assistant City Manager Hector Apodaca added that the lease includes the parking grounds, also a connection to the County Coronet system. This is the network that the County uses for the internet connection, which will be part of the basis for our citywide computer system. Not only do we gain office space, but parking grounds and internet connection saving an additional monthly expense. We will be able to preserve a campus atmosphere that will keep us together for the betterment of delivery of services.

*Assistant City Manager, Hector Apodaca comments the parking grounds and internet connections benefit to the City*

Councilmember Landers asked for the dollar amount needed to move and the amount needed for renovation of the offices.

*Councilmember Landers query on dollar amounts for cost to move offices*

Mr. Apodaca responded it would be range between \$5,000 to \$20,000 depending who would do the improvements. In working with public works items such as painting and fencing removal would be taken care of in-house.

*Assistant City Manager, Hector Apodaca responds to concerns*

Councilmember Landers stated he would like to explore the possibility of purchasing the building and would like the council to approve which departments moved to the adjacent building.

*Councilmember Landers would like to purchase building*

Councilmember Rogers added that she was glad the City was addressing the space constraints. The City was fortunate to have valuable employees and shared her view of having the space and the proper tools to perform the work required of employees.

*Councilmember Rogers glad City moving ahead with space constraints*

City Manager Vasquez announced the City had gone through extensive space studies, interviewing personnel, and alternatives for certain departments. We have identified departments that can move to the

*City Manager's comments*

adjacent facility based on the space requirement available there and what would become available here. What we have talked about is keeping the Planning Department intact at their present location and moving the Building and Safety staff mainly being field staff to another location. The Finance Department also needs additional space but we haven't identified any other department to move to the adjacent building. I would hate to delay moving the Building and Safety/Code Enforcement people until the next council meeting. At this point that is the only department I recommend moving now and at a later time council can determine what else they need to move over.

Councilmember Motte thanked the County and Supervisor Ashley in helping the City, further reverberated the purchase of the adjacent building in the future.

*Councilmember Motte thanked the County and Supervisor Ashley*

Walter Carter III, Recreation Services Manager reported on his meeting with Public Service Director, Ted Owens, & Park and Recreation sub-committee to review the current Facilities Use Policies and Procedures, which had not been reviewed since 1997. Some of the language in the current policy did not give Perris residents and organizations priority. Mr. Carter said, the City had received a lot of requests from outside agencies using our facilities. Grammatical changes have taken place in addition to language giving Perris residents and organizations priority to include safety and security concerns.

*Walter Carter III, Recreation Services Manager reports on Use Policy & Procedures*

M/S/C (ROGERS/YARBROUGH) To approve the Consent Calendar.

*Approved Consent Calendar  
Approved 5-0*

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch  
NOES: None

**9. PUBLIC HEARINGS:** None

**9.1 NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS:** None

**9.2 CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS:** None

**10. NON-HEARING ITEMS:**

**10.1 NEW BUSINESS:**

A. Consideration and discussion to adopt proposed Resolution Number 3068, designating recipient of Federal Urbanized Area (UZA) Formula Funds.

*Adoption of Resolution 3068, designating recipient of Federal Urbanized Area (UZA) Formula Funds  
Approved 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3068 entitled:

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF PERRIS RECOMMENDING THAT THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS BE IDENTIFIED AS THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT OF FEDERAL URBANIZED AREA FORMULA FUNDS FOR THE TEMECULA-MURRIETA URBANIZED AREA.

City Manager, Vasquez announced the Temecula/Murrieta area had been designating recipient of Federal Urbanized Area Formula Funds due to the demographic count. The areas include: Temecula, Murrieta, Lake Elsinore, Perris and Canyon Lake. In order for transportation funds to be approved there needs to be a designation of the Southern California Association of Government to be named as the authorizing agency. All the cities that are a part of this designation will need to approve a resolution.

*City Manager reports on Federal Urbanized Area Formula Funds*

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

M/C/S (MOTTE/ROGERS) To adopt proposed Resolution Number 3068 recommending that the Southern California Association of Governments be identified as the designated recipient of Federal Urbanized Area Formula Funds for the Temecula-Murrieta Urbanized Area.

*Adopt proposed Resolution Number 3068 recommending the Southern California of Governments be identified as designated recipient of Federal Urbanized Area Formula Funds for the Temecula-Murrieta Urbanized Area.*

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch  
NOES: None

## **10.2 OLD BUSINESS:**

### **A. Discussion of Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fees (TUMF).**

*Community Development Director Gutierrez presents key points on TUMF*

Community Development Director, Gutierrez summarized the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fees key points:

What is it?

- Fee collected from new development to address transportation needs caused by new development to mitigate the impacts
- Compliments or supplements Measure A funds
- Monies used on the Regional System of Highways & Arterials (TUMF Network)

Importance of TUMF

- Helps address serious shortfall in funds for regional transportation facilities
- New development pays their fair share
- Applied uniformly through the county, from entity to entity

TUMF Backbone Network

- Definition

Facilities of regional significance that typically cross multiple jurisdictional boundaries, provide an alternative route to congested freeways and state routes and are projected to carry the greatest



- traffic volumes.
- Criteria
  - Proposed to have a minimum of 4-6 travel lanes in the future; extent across and or between multiple jurisdictions; forecast average daily traffic volumes of at least 25,000 trips per day.

*Community Development  
Director Gutierrez presents  
key points on TUMF  
continued*

The Regional System of Highways & Arterials (TUMF Network)

- Purpose:
  - Defines a cohesive, multijurisdictional baseline system of arterials within the WRCOG subregion
  - Provides transportation continuity within the WRCOG subregion
- Importance:
  - Plan local transportation system around a defined regional system, they not only impact our jurisdiction but the surrounding areas
  - Focal point for coordinating and funding regional facilities
  - The Backbone network would include streets that are within the City of Perris are: Ethanac, Goetz, Perris Boulevard and Ramona Expressway
  - Total cost would be \$62,194,000
  - The Arterials would include Ellis, Evans, Goetz, Nuevo, Placentia
  - Total cost to address these facilities would be \$97,636,000  
Proposed TUMF improvement fees \$159,830,000
  - Residential
 

Single Family	\$6,636/unit
Multi-Family	\$4,598/unit
Commercial	8.90
Industrial	1.44 sq. ft.
- Exemptions from TUMF:
  - Low income residential housing
  - Government/public buildings, public schools and public facilities
  - Rehabilitation and/or reconstruction of any structure as long as the same or fewer traffic trips are generated as a result thereof
  - Developments that are subject to a Public Facilities Development Agreement entered into pursuant to Government Code, Section 65864 et seq. Wherein the imposition new fees are expressly prohibited. New DA's

must be approved prior to adoption of TUMF  
What happens if we do not participate?

*Community Development  
Director Gutierrez presents  
key points on TUMF  
continued*

- Forego Measure A Monies under the reauthorization in 2009 (\$30,000,000)
- Diminish the regional approach to transportation solutions
- Current and future county and RCTC projects in our city may be jeopardized

Community Development Director, Gutierrez added that according to the county and ARCOG level cities are expected to adopt the ordinance that implements it and have in effect by June 1, 2003. Adoption of a phased approach would be as follows:

Residential	June 1, 2003	100%
Commerical & Ind.	July 1, 2004	33%
Industrial	June 1, 2005	66%
	June 1, 2006	100%

Estimated fees that could be generated by Perris:

#### Residential

- 31,795 units at buildout/\$6,636 = \$210,991,620
- 3000 SFD/\$6,636 = \$19,808,000 (5 years)
- 2000 MFD/\$4,598 = \$9,196,000

#### Commercial

- 1,500,000 sq. ft./\$8.90 = \$13,350,000

#### Industrial

- 3,000,000 sq. ft./\$1.44 = \$4,320,000

#### City Development Impact Fees

- Do not assess developer twice
- Subtract out city DIF on Backbone and Regional facilities
- Need fee study for road & street fee (CAP) based on TUMF and local need

#### Administration of TUMF

- Fee Collection
- Fees collected by individual jurisdictions at building permit issuance
- Fees transmitted to WRCOG on a quarterly basis

#### Reports (quarterly & annually)

- Number of building permits issued by land use type
- Amount of total TUMF fees collected
- Amount of total TUMF fees transmitted to WRCOG

*Community Development  
Director Gutierrez presents  
key points on TUMF  
continued*

Fund Distribution

- WRCOG will establish one trust fund with 8 accounts
- 47.88% Regional significant projects (Backbone structure)
- 47.88% Zone return to source to 1 of 5 zones  
Our zone includes Moreno Valley, JPA, County of  
Riverside and Perris
- 3% Transit account
- 125% TUMF administrative account

Proposed implementation Committee would be established as follows:

Executive Committee  
City Manager's TAC  
Public Works Director  
Zone (The zone is comprised of PW, TAC and Executive  
Committee.)

Cities that have approved TUMF are Temecula, Murrieta, Norco, Canyon Lake, Riverside County with 10 more cities that haven't adopted the TUMF fee. A timeline schedule for adoption is as follows:

*Councilmember Rogers  
query on fees at build out*

- January 28, 2003 Council Discussion
- March 11, 2003 1<sup>st</sup> Reading of Ordinance
- March 25, 2003 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading of Ordinance
- May 25, 2002 Ordinance takes effect

Councilmember Rogers inquired about the fees at complete building out, if we come up with the \$230,000,000 and our fees for the roads are \$160,000,000 what happens with that extra 70,000,000?

Director Gutierrez responded that she did not know what would take place at that time.

City Engineer, Motlagh stated the City could be generating its own TUMF fees but we do not know that. There is a big unknown. What is known is Measure A, the public just passed and will come into effect 2009. We get our percentage of that Measure which is estimated at \$25,000,000 to 30,000,000 for a 30-year period. That is just a source of funds to be utilized for rehab projects. You cannot use the TUMF funds for any other items. We do not know what kind of growth we will have in Perris. The big decision was made two years ago, when it was decided that there had to be a uniform fee.

*City Engineer, Motlagh  
explains further on TUMF  
fees*

**PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

Mr. Lyons spoke regarding the TUMF fees stating he had been waiting for a long time and knew it was coming. In 1988 we passed Measure A. Caltran trucks arrived almost 20 years later to do the work and people have been killed and maimed on Highway 74 beyond anything that makes sense at all. This item should go on the ballot and explained in a manner that is easily understood.

*John Lyons speaks against TUMF fees*

Brian Vidal spoke in favor of the TUMF fees. He stated, if all the cities got together to approve these fees, the roads would be in shape. He really didn't see fewer people coming to the City of Perris because of these fees, because of the low prices of homes in the county. The cheapest land for commercial and industrial. He saw this as a benefit for all the cities in the Riverside County area.

*Brian Vidal in favor of TUMF fees*

Councilmember Rogers asked City Engineer Motlagh about the Economic Study tracking industrial and how the City would track the developments that go to another county or state just merely because we have the TUMF fee in place. We understand the Backbone that we have to do something as elected officials to alleviate traffic congestion and arterial freeway in order that we may move with ease during the rush hour traffic. We know what the doubling of our population is going to do with our highway congestion is going to do. I am looking at the whole complete picture. I don't know if we will honestly be able to track what we lose as far as economic development.

*Councilmember Rogers asks for specifics on Economic Study tracking*

Engineer Motlagh stated if the cities adopt the TUMF fees the tracking would be a good indicator where businesses go. Engineer Motlagh added that was all the information he had at this time.

*City Engineer Motlagh's comments*

Councilmember Landers supported Mr. Lyons' statement that in 1988 the people of Perris voted for the Highway 74; fifteen years later they started doing something out there. As far as the years of losing Measure A, he thought as a Council we need to look at what we are losing, look at the fees we are getting without the TUMF. We could allocate different funds for the roads. If this Council voted against the TUMF fees tomorrow morning we would have developers, commercial and industrial wanting to come to Perris.

*Councilmember Landers speaks against TUMF fees*

Councilmember Motte added, we know it's hard on landowners, but when you look at the plan with the loss of losing Measure A, I don't think we can allow that loss. Councilmember Motte requested input from City Engineer Motlagh.

*Councilmember Motte in favor of TUMF fees*

Engineer Motlagh responded that as part of the Measure A, a percentage of TUMF fees and Measure A dollars have to be set aside to implement TUMF and Measure A. They are tied to each other. I don't know what that will do to Measure A if most cities approve TUMF, but

*Engineer Motlagh comments on Measure A*

I do know it will hurt that picture one way or the other. There is some connection.

Councilmember Motte reverabated, I don't know how we are going to get the roads if we don't participate. It's really a tough decision to make.

*Councilmember Motte's comments*

Councilmember Yarbrough made his view known by saying that when the TUMF fees were brought forth it made sense but it was based on what the Coachella Valley had done and it was accepted, including the building industry. The fact that the Measure A referendum was condition with the Multi Species Habitat Conservation Plan and the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee, this is all piggybacked together. Mr. Lyons is correct they talk about Measure A, but using the 1988 Measure A, Highway 74 was identified as the highest priority, and they bumped it down. Everything that is proposed, Perris will be the last to get. These problems are the responsibility of the State. We are the one city that has the greatest to lose. Of course all these other cities support it, they are all built out as Councilmember Landers stated. The City of Perris would be the last to receive it.

*Councilmember Yarbrough opposes TUMF fee.*

Mayor Busch added that the reason he had brought the item forward was to update and review where the City is headed. Mayor Busch announced his interest in the City's future roads. He asked for everyone's support and reiterated that the City needed roads that go through the cities and the City of Perris. He also stated that the loss of Measure A would mean loss of a chance for growth in the city. He recommended that the Council give it deep consideration.

*Mayor Busch asks for deep consideration of TUMF fees*

Community Director Gutierrez announced that if the City foregoes Measure A at \$660,000 per year; the City should expect \$1,000,000 in 2009 per year. It would be added to the General Fund because it would not longer be available. That would be a hit to the General Fund. Most of our serious road maintenance is done through Measure A. Unfortunately the City has not had a large capital for street improvements. These are impacts you need to look at.

*Community Director Gutierrez gives further information regarding TUMF fees*

Engineer Motlagh clarified the Highway 74 project; RCTC did participate in widening Highway 74 between 215 to the City limits. The original project was kicked off by the City of Perris Redevelopment project half way through we realized the funds were not there so we went to RCTC and asked for a loan in 1996. They forgave the loan using the Measure A funds. They participated \$1 million plus towards the project. The key for the City of Perris to obtain help from agencies is to be active as a unit.

*Engineer Motlagh clarifies on Highway 74 project*

Community Director, Gutierrez reiterated what City Engineer stated adding one comment that the City of Perris needs to take its place in the region as opposed to being separate from it. The implementation committee, which consists of representatives, Councilmember Landers representing the City as a committee member of WRCOG, at the manager's level will be our City Manager, Mr. Vasquez. Between the City Engineer, Public Works Director and myself we have an obligation

*Community Director Gutierrez comments*

to represent the City and make sure we get the funds to the city. We should be aggressively going after the funds, not only benefiting the City of Perris but our zone. I can't guarantee you, the City will get their money when we want it or the amounts you want but it's our turn to take our place in the region.

Councilmember Rogers stated she had been doing research and evaluating the TUMF fees and felt the council had the responsibility to make a decision for the traffic congestion. Councilmember Rogers added that she felt the trust factor was vital. She reminded the council a letter had been sent on October 31<sup>st</sup> regarding the TUMF fees concerns. She felt there was no response and she was concerned.

*Councilmember Rogers comments it's the council's responsibility to make a decision for the traffic congestion*

**11. PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Betty Gibbell, EMWD representative announced that Metropolitan Water District's new rebate program that addresses businesses. A brochure was handed out regarding the program and also advised the council that the public could contact EMWD conservation department with regard to specifics and applications.

*Betty Gibbel, EMWD representative speaks about rebate program*

Mayor Busch asked Ms. Gibbell when EMWD did the exchange of toilets for new low flow toilets. They have been restricted participation.

Ms. Gibbel replied that Perris had previously participated from time to time. They do require some participation from the City or agency.

Mayor Busch stated he would like the City to participate so it would be available to Perris.

**12. CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS:**

Councilmember Landers commented that he enjoyed attending Dr. Brown's Baptist Church first services and having the council worshipping together. As Chairman for the organization he announced the Kick-off Relay for Life Cancer Society would take place at Foss Field on February 6, 2003, with a DJ and refreshments. It would be the first one for the City of Perris. Councilmember Landers encouraged everyone to participate.

*Councilmember Landers speaks on Dr. Brown's Baptist Church first services*

Councilmember Motte, thanked staff and the City Manager for the professional presentation of the Economic Summit hosted by the City of Perris which was well attended. Material covered gave credibility to the City, which was very well done. Councilmember Motte added he really enjoyed the excellent event.

*Councilmember Motte thanks staff and City Manager*

Councilmember Rogers announced on February 22, the Second Annual African American History Parade would be held in Perris Sponsored by the Committee. Reverend Ulysses Mullen 94 years old would be honored at the Parade.

*Councilmember Rogers announces the Second Annual African American History Parade, February*

Councilmember Landers praised staff for the volunteer event that had taken place the previous Saturday and thanked the Police Department for the quick response time on a robbery incident on Lakeview.

**13. CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS: None**

**14. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT:**

City Manager Vasquez reported the Chamber of Commerce had sponsored a trip to Newark, California the location of the Ross company that is scheduled to close and then open in Perris. The store is under construction and nearing completion. Employees had been asked to relocate to Perris. The Chamber of Commerce is working with the Ross Company personnel planned a "Perris Day" at the Ross plant. The Chamber of Commerce took a number of people to provide information including City staff and the Mayor to speak to the employees. Housing developers, childcare services, school, and county services personnel were there on hand to handle any questions. The employees from the Newark Ross plant were anxious and excited about the move. City Manager added that the event was wonderful and gave him an opportunity to tour the Ross plant which was very interesting and worthwhile experience.

*City Manager Vasquez reports on Chamber of Commerce sponsored trip to Newark, California*

John Barnes, of the Chamber of Commerce, commented that the event was a good joint venture and that the employees relocating were excited about coming to Perris. Everyone attending on behalf of the venture was working toward a common goal, which was to benefit the City of Perris.

Mayor Busch added that the people were very interested in the City of Perris, in addition to the schools and home prices. It was very beneficial for the City and Ross staff.

*Mayor Busch adds his comments*

City Manager Vasquez commented that the volunteer awards dinner was excellent. He expressed appreciation to all the volunteers and to the public safety area. The City depends on volunteers and is blessed with a number of volunteers that want to contribute to the betterment of the community. City Manager Vasquez also congratulated the Public Safety staff who volunteered their time to serve the volunteers and provide the meals.

*City Manager Vasquez on volunteer awards dinner*

**15. AGENDA ITEMS/MEETING REQUESTS AND REVIEW:**

Councilmember Landers requested to amend the Code of Conduct and be placed on the agenda for the next meeting.

*Councilmember Landers requests to amend the Code of Conduct*

City Attorney, Eric Dunn asked for an explanation.

Councilmember Landers stated there was no further explanation.

**16. CLOSED SESSION:**

- A. Conference with Legal Counsel  
Existing Litigation  
Government Code Section 54956.9 (a)  
Case # GIN008683  
JAS Pacific vs. City of Perris

*Closed Session at 8:05 p.m.*

City Attorney, Eric Dunn reported on item 16.A existing litigation Case # GIN008683 JAS Pacific vs. City of Perris direction was given to staff but no reportable action taken.

*City Attorney, Eric Dunn reported on item 16.A existing litigation Case #GIN008683 JAS Pacific vs. City of Perris direction was given to staff but no reportable action taken*

- B. Public Employee Performance Evaluation  
Government Code Section 54957  
City Attorney

City Attorney, Eric Dunn reported on item 16.B Public Employee Performance Evaluation – City Attorney discussion was held but no action taken.

*City Attorney, Eric Dunn reported on item 16.B Public Employee Performance Evaluation – City Attorney discussion was held but no action taken*

- 17. **ADJOURNMENT:** By unanimous consent the Regular City Council Meeting was adjourned at 8:55 p.m.

*Adjournment unanimous consent.*

Respectfully submitted,

---

Margaret Rey, City Clerk