

CITY OF PERRIS

MINUTES: Regular City Council Meeting
Date of Meeting: 26 March 2002
Time of Meeting: 6:00 p.m.
Place of Meeting: City Council Chamber-City Hall

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>1. CALL TO ORDER:</p> | <p><i>6:00 p.m. Called to Order</i></p> |
| <p>2. ROLL CALL:</p> <p>Council Members Present: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch</p> <p>Staff Members Present: City Manager Vasquez, Assistant City Manager Apodaca, City Attorney Dunn, Community Director Gutierrez, Finance Director Rogers-Elmore, City Engineer Motlagh, Community Services Director Owens, Police Chief Kestell, Fire Chief Williams and City Clerk Rey.</p> | <p><i>All Present</i></p> |
| <p>3. INVOCATION:</p> | <p><i>Mayor Pro Tem Rogers Performed Invocation</i></p> |
| <p>4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:</p> | <p><i>Mayor Pro Tem Rogers Led the Pledge</i></p> |
| <p>5. PRESENTATIONS: None</p> | |
| <p>6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:</p> <p>To approve the minutes of the Regular City Council Meeting held March 12, 2002.</p> <p>M/S/C (MOTTE/YARBROUGH) To approve the minutes of the Regular City Council Meeting held March 12, 2002.</p> <p>AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch NOES:</p> | <p><i>Regular City Council Meeting Minutes 3/12/02 Approved: 5-0</i></p> |
| <p>7. PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ONLY: None</p> | |

8. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

Councilmember Yarbrough requested to pull the Cooperative Agreement for fire protection, Item A, from the Consent Calendar.

Councilmember Yarbrough Pulled Consent Calendar, Item A, Cooperative Agreement for Fire Protection

A. To approve proposed Cooperative Agreement for fire protection, fire prevention, rescue, and medical aid for the City of Perris for the period of July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2007.

*Riverside County Fire Department's Cooperative Agreement July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2007
Approved: 5-0*

Councilmember Yarbrough asked if the medical aid for medical alert or response of ambulances were part of the agreement.

Councilmember Yarbrough's Query

In response, City Manager Vasquez said the agreement was strictly connected with Riverside County Fire Department's medical services provided, not the ambulance service.

City Manager Vasquez's Response

M/S/C (YARBROUGH/ROGERS) To approve Cooperative Agreement for fire protection, fire prevention, rescue, and medical aid for the City of Perris for the period of July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2007.

*Riverside County Fire Department's Cooperative Agreement July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2007
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

B. To approve the second reading to adopt Ordinance Number 1095, an ordinance providing for the pass through of sewer rates adopted by Eastern Municipal Water District.

*Ordinance Number 1095, Pass through Sewer Rates
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt proposed Ordinance Number 1095 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, PROVIDING FOR THE PASS THROUGH OF SEWER RATES ADOPTED BY EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT.

C. To approve the Rotary Park Phase II project plans and specifications and authorize the City Clerk to advertise for bids to construct the project.

*Rotary Park Phase II Project; City Clerk Authorized to Advertise for Bids to Construct
Approved: 5-0*

M/S/C (YARBROUGH/LANDERS) To approve the balance of the Consent Calendar as presented.

*Balance of Consent Calendar Items B & C
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

9.1 NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. Consideration and discussion to adopt Draft Negative Declaration 2102 and approve Tentative Tract Map Case P01-0181, (Tentative Tract Map 30382): A request to subdivide 14.20 acres into 112 lots for future residential development within R14 Zone (Residential 14 Dwellings per Acre).

*Negative Declaration 2102; Tentative Tract Map 30382 & Proposed Street Names
Approved: 5-0
Community Director Gutierrez's Presentation*

Community Director Gutierrez's introduction included description of the proposal and commented the project was conditioned appropriately; naming of the streets would be approved by City Council; applicant conducted a Narrow Epidemic Plant Study; and the proposal was consistent with the General Plan and zoning requirements. Therefore, staff recommended adoption of Negative Declaration 2102 and approval of the Tentative Tract Map 30382 subjected to the conditions of approval. The applicant reviewed and concurred with the conditions.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Pat Walla, 181 Avenue, San Clemente, employee of Pacific Communities Builder, indicated they were in conformance with the conditions. An additional model was added to the project with a total of four models (2400 square foot one story plan). This was the third project, within the City of Perris, that Pacific Communities Builder had developed.

Pacific Communities Builder, Pat Walla's Comments

Mr. Trammell, 29718 Avida Drive, Menifee, representative of the Church of Jesus Christ, property owners of the property adjacent to the project stipulated they were concerned with the wall that surrounded their building and ensure their interests were held. He asked if there were any requirements the City had for separation of their property and the proposed homes.

Church of Jesus Christ, Mr. Trammell Concerns-Surrounding Walls

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers asked if the common wall situation was reviewed.

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers' Query

In response, Community Director Gutierrez commented the conditions required the developer to install a block wall for separation between the church and homes. The rear yard of the homes would be adjacent to the wall and church property.

Community Director Gutierrez's Response

Mr. Walla, intervened and indicated the developer submitted proposed wall design (6 foot high). What was proposed and agreed upon with the church was to raze the existing wall and replace it. There would be a five-foot easement area. The church had a concern with off-site drainage and the developer's engineer had prepared a document (easement) to vacate and replace it with the City Engineer's standards.

Pacific Communities Builder, Pat Walla's Comments

Councilmember Motte voiced opposition to small lots, but indicated the project was consistent with the General Plan. The General Plan should be reviewed for number of small lots allowed.

*Councilmember
Motte's Comments
Re: Small Lots*

Councilmember Landers stated that when the Barratt Project was debated there was an ordinance adopted that would not allow development of lots under 7200/7500 square feet. It was his assumption that the R-14 Zone allowed condos and apartments only.

*Councilmember
Landers'
Comments Re:
Ordinance that
addresses Lots
under 7200/7500
square feet.*

In reply to the comment, Community Director Gutierrez said the issue of lot size was discussed for a number of years, but the General Plan did allow the density proposed. Zoning R-14 allowed fourteen units per acre and the project proposed 7.9 units per acre. Therefore, the density proposed was less than what was permitted by the General Plan and Zoning. R-14 Zoning allowed four attached product and smaller lots. Councilmember Landers' comments were noted and discussion would be carried forward to the General Plan Amendment process.

*Community
Director
Gutierrez's
Comments Re:
General Plan
allowed Density*

Councilmember Yarbrough asked if the proposal was based on what Mr. Walla, the proponent stated and was it considered the second or third phase.

*Councilmember
Yarbrough's
Comments*

In reply, Mr. Walla said the proposal was the eighth phase and was consistent with what was already in process.

*Pacific
Communities
Builder, Pat
Walla's Response*

Mayor Busch asked if Pacific Communities Builder originally developed the property. It was confirmed that this proposal was originally developed by the applicant.

*Mayor Busch's
Query Re: Original
Developers*

M/S/C (ROGERS/YARBROUGH) To adopt Draft Negative Declaration 2102 and approve Tentative Tract Map Case P01-0181, (Tentative Tract Map 30382) and approve proposed street names as presented in the report.

*Negative
Declaration 2102;
Tentative Tract
Map 30382 &
Proposed Street
Names
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

B. Consideration and discussion to adopt Draft Negative Declaration 2103 and approve Conditional Use Permit 02-0018: A proposal to establish a concrete and asphalt recycling facility on a vacant 4.32 acre parcel in the General Industrial (GI) Zone. The site proposed is located at 1420 Nandina Avenue, the northwest corner of Nandina Avenue and the future extension of Nalini Lane. Applicant: William and Jane Emery.

*Negative
Declaration 2103 &
Conditional Use
Permit 02-0018
Approved: 4-0
Abstain: Yarbrough*

Community Director Gutierrez presentation included description of the proposal/use; gave the location; and indicated the following: single-wide modular office; environmental impacts (noise, air quality) and the project conditioned to receive the appropriate approvals from Air Quality Management District (AQMD) for mitigation; conditioned for adequate screening; walls; block wall required on the east property boundary; front property conditioned for landscaping/block wall; parking proposed met the code requirements; and the project was consistent with the General Plan and zoning. Therefore, staff recommended adoption of Negative Declaration 2103, based on the findings that the proposed project would not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and approval of Conditional Use Permit 02-0018 subject to the conditions of approval.

*Community
Director
Gutierrez's
Presentation*

*Con't. Community
Director
Gutierrez's
Presentation*

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mr. Emery, the applicant, 27791 Golden Ridge, San Juan Capistrano, commented they looked forward in working with the City of Perris and was available to answer any questions.

*Proponent
Mr. Emery's
Comments*

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Yarbrough abstained from discussion and participation of the proposal as the facility he leased was within 500 feet of the proposed project.

*Councilmember
Yarbrough's
Abstention*

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers asked if the modular office was visible from Nandina Avenue. It was confirmed that the office would be difficult to see from Nandina Avenue.

*Mayor Pro Tem
Rogers' Query Re:
Visibility from
Nandina*

Councilmember Motte and Landers voiced favor of the proposed project.

*Councilmember
Motte's &
Councilmember
Landers' Comment*

Mayor Busch asked how long it took to break down the size of product in order not to retain enormous amounts and how many employees would the facility require.

*Mayor Busch's
Query*

In response, Mr. Finley said small contractors and homeowners would be delivering the product (concrete/asphalt). There would be two employees (office and maintenance persons).

*Mr. Finley's
Response*

M/S/C (ROGERS/MOTTE) to adopt Draft Negative Declaration 2103 and approve Conditional Use Permit 02-0018.

*Negative
Declaration 2103 &
Conditional Use
Permit 02-0018
Approved: 4-0
Abstain: Yarbrough*

AYES: Busch, Rogers, Landers, Motte

NOES:

ABSTAIN: Yarbrough

- C. Consideration and discussion to adopt proposed Resolution Number (next in order), a resolution extending the increase of the infrastructure development fees for fire safety pending completion of an impact study.

*Resolution
Extending Increase
of Infrastructure
Development Fees
Withdrawn –
Impact Study to be
presented April 9,
2002*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number (next in order) entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, EXTENDING THE INCREASED INFRASTRUCTURE FEE FOR FIRE SAFETY PENDING COMPLETION OF AN IMPACT STUDY.

Community Director Gutierrez said the item was agendized by error and the Impact Study was completed which would be presented to Council on April 9, 2002. She requested the item to be withdrawn from the agenda.

*Community
Director
Gutierrez's
Comments*

Per City Attorney Dunn a motion was not required to withdraw.

*City Attorney
Dunn's Comment*

- D. To introduce, for first reading, proposed Ordinance Number 1096, Ordinance Amendment Case No. 02-0016, an ordinance amending development standards pertaining to side yard setbacks for single family residential developments.

*Introduction for
First Reading of
Ordinance 1096-
Amending
Development
Standards to Side
Yard Setbacks for
Single Family
Residential
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt proposed Ordinance Number 1096 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AMENDING CHAPTERS 19.08 (DEFINITIONS), 19.22 (RR-A ZONE), 19.25 (R-7 ZONE), 19.26 (R-14 ZONE) AND 19.28 (R-22 ZONE) OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, RELATING TO SIDE YARD SETBACKS DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS FOR SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURES (ORDINANCE AMENDMENT 02-0016).

Community Director Gutierrez indicated the developer had problems in meeting the setback requirements with the current ordinance. In order to accommodate and address development issues within the City staff undertook an ordinance amendment that related to side-yard setbacks. The presentation included description of the proposed side-yard setbacks and exhibits were displayed.

*Community
Director
Gutierrez's
Presentation*

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mr. Prend, Albert E. Webb Associates, representative for Classic Pacific Homes, commented the concept was to develop larger usable lots with larger back yards and aesthetically pleasing front street scene. The amendment would include a setback to adjust the minimum lot width on a cul-de-sac.

*Albert E. Webb
Associates Mr.
Prend's Comments*

Community Director Gutierrez indicated the cul-de-sac setback was not addressed within the staff report, but could be addressed if it was Council's desire. The amendment would not be detriment to the development. The cul-de-sac setback could be reviewed for future development through continuation of the amendment or include it in subsequent amendments to the ordinance.

*Community
Director
Gutierrez's
Comments*

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers felt the additional requirement for the cul-de-sac would not impact this development and recommended to move forward.

*Mayor Pro Tem
Rogers' Comment*

Mr. Eastman, Contract Planner, indicated the proposed amendment was less restrictive than what existed. The amendment was to create development standards that reduced the use of tiered setbacks and encouraged single story structures. The colored streetscape exhibit displayed was what was proposed.

*Contract Planner
Mr. Eastman's
Comments*

Councilmember Yarbrough voiced approval of the ordinance amendment.

*Councilmember
Yarbrough's
Comment*

M/S/C (YARBROUGH/MOTTE) To adopt Ordinance Number 1096, Ordinance Amendment 02-0016 as presented by staff.

*Introduce-First
Reading of
Ordinance 1096-to
Amend
Development
Standards of Side
Yard Setbacks for
Single Family
Residential
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

E. Consideration and discussion to consider a Resolution of Necessity to acquire a permanent street easement in real property by Eminent Domain and waive further reading and adopt the proposed Resolution Number 2933. (Real Property located at the southwest corner of San Jacinto Avenue and Diana Street, City of Perris, County of Riverside, State of California APN 326-140-004 (portion)).

*Resolution 2933-
Resolution of
Necessity to
Acquire Permanent
Street Easement by
Eminent Domain &
Waiver further
Reading
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2933 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF NECESSITY OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS TO ACQUIRE A PERMANENT EASEMENT IN REAL PROPERTY BY EMINENT DOMAIN.

City Attorney Dunn indicated the Eminent Domain action was one of the steps in acquiring the property to widen the street adjacent to the new school.

*City Attorney
Dunn's Comment*

City Engineer Motlagh commented the action was required for the City to proceed with the installation of street improvements adjacent/across the street from proposed Enchanted Heights School. Two years ago the school district and City Council entered into a Memorandum of Understanding and were conditioned for the City to install the improvements with the understanding the school district would install improvements on their side of the street to match. The street was proposed to be widened as stipulated within the General Plan and install a traffic signal at the intersection of Diana and San Jacinto Avenue. In order to install the signal the right-of-way was required and to remain on schedule this action needed to be taken. Within the Proposed Findings the date of October 1, 2001 where a Notice of Exemption was filed needed to be corrected to February 5, 2002.

City Engineer Motlagh's Presentation & Noted the Revision of Date 10/1/01 in Proposed Findings to 2/5/02

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION: None

M/S/C (MOTTE/LANDERS) To adopt Resolution of Necessity to acquire a permanent street easement in real property by Eminent Domain and waive further reading and adopt the proposed Resolution Number 2933.

Resolution 2933- Resolution of Necessity to Acquire Permanent Street Easement by Eminent Domain & Waiver further Reading Approved: 5-0

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch

NOES:

9.2 CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. Consideration and discussion to introduce for first reading proposed Ordinance Number 1097, an ordinance establishing the duties of the Planning Commission. (*Continued from City Council February 12, 2002*)

Introduced First Reading of Ordinance 1097 Designating Duties of Planning Commission Approved: 5-0

To adopt proposed Ordinance Number 1097 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING THE PERRIS ZONING CODE TO DESIGNATE THE DUTIES OF THE PERRIS PLANNING COMMISSION.

Community Director Gutierrez said subsequent to the ordinance which created the Planning Commission, staff was instructed to provide an ordinance that delineated the duties of the commission. The provisions/duties included: 1) recommendations to City Council on the administration to the General Plan; 2) conduct public hearings to make recommendations to Council on matters before them; 3) review of development plans for conformance to the General Plan; 4) authorized to hear and be the final decision makers on conditional use permits, variances; 5) the hearing body for public nuisance cases; the ordinance deletes the Board of Zoning Adjustment that heard public nuisance cases; 6) some provisions in terms of when a conditional use permit was effective the commission must give notice of decision; 7) advisory to Council; there were some application, by State Law, the commission

Community Director Gutierrez's Presentation

could not be the decision makers and would include: specific plans, development agreements, zoning ordinances, but would provide recommendation to City Council; 8) there was provisions for appeals to City Council. The ordinance was drafted by the City Attorney. There were two items that required further review: 1) ordinance language for development plan review was not clear and additional time was required to specify; 2) tract and parcel maps required further language. The amendments would be available in two months for Council's review.

*Con't. Community
Director
Gutierrez's
Presentation*

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Yarbrough commented he understood the conditional use permit was extended automatically and was subject to review if there was an issue. But, in Section 18 of the proposed ordinance an applicant was required to apply for annual review at cost and asked why. If a person had a ten year lease; invested money over the years; and the conditional use permit was withdrawn they would be out of business. He requested elaboration.

*Councilmember
Yarbrough's
Comment & Query
Re: CUP Annual
Review*

In response, Community Director Gutierrez said the current practice and ordinance language required renewal on an annual basis. Most of the conditional use permits processed were recommended for a one year period and was established many years ago. The conditional use permits were not usually permitted in a zone. But, under the conditions it was appropriate; ensured compatibility and allowed the applicant to establish a track record. Every year the applicant requested an extension and the City reviewed for compliance. If in compliance it was recommended for approval for extension. If they do not adhere to the conditions the applicant was informed that they must be in compliance with the conditions. The Council did not have the option of pulling a permit, it was an entitlement, and the applicant had certain legal rights. A revocation hearing would be required and certain findings were required to revoke a permit (adherence to conditions; severe negligent to the conditions of approval). The Council had the option, depending on the use, to grant a permit for more than one year. Current cost for extension was \$300-\$500 which covered administration cost.

*Community
Director
Gutierrez's
Response*

M/S/C (ROGERS/LANDERS) To introduce for first reading proposed Ordinance Number 1097 designating the duties of the Planning Commission.

*Introduced First
Reading Ordinance
1097 Designating
Duties of Planning
Commission
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

10 NON-HEARING ITEMS:

10.1 NEW BUSINESS:

A. Consideration and discussion to approve Eastern Municipal Water District's (EMWD) Cooperative Agreement that provides for reimbursement payment of \$500,000 to the City of Perris towards the construction of Phase I and II of the Enchanted Heights Sewer Rehabilitation and authorize the City Manager to execute and return to EMWD.

*EMWD's
Cooperative
Agreement &
Authorize City
Manager to
Execute & Return
to EMWD
Approved: 5-0*

City Engineer Motlagh presentation included background information and indicated the agreement outlined the following conditions: 1) City of Perris aggressively pursue the loan application (\$2 Million); 2) initiate construction of Phase II within twelve months after completion of Phase I. The time line may be extended by Eastern Municipal Water District (EMWD) if reasonable effort, by the City, had been made to initiate Phase II work; or reimburse EMWD's cost that was contributed toward Phase 2 (\$500,000).

*City Engineer
Motlagh's
Presentation*

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers commented she was glad to accept help from Eastern Municipal Water District and the project was necessary to replace the sewer system that had been long overdue.

*Mayor Pro Tem
Rogers' Comments*

Councilmember Motte inquired on the loan submittal.

*Councilmember
Motte's Query*

In reply, City Engineer Motlagh said the original loan application was submitted about one year ago and after review by State the City was encouraged to submit the final application. The City expected to have the loan within six months. City Engineer Motlagh pointed out a revision to the title of the agreement from Perris Public Utility Authority to City of Perris.

*City Engineer
Motlagh's
Response & Notes
Agreement Title to
be Revised from
Perris Public Utility
Authority to City of
Perris*

Councilmember Landers voiced pleasure that Eastern Municipal Water District was a participant in the project.

*Councilmember
Landers' Comment*

Councilmember Yarbrough said the community benefited from the project and voiced support.

M/S/C (YARBROUGH/LANDERS) To approve Eastern Municipal Water District's (EMWD) Cooperative Agreement and authorize the City Manager to execute and return to EMWD.

*EMWD's
Cooperative
Agreement &
Authorize City
Manager to
Execute & Return
to EMWD
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

B. Consideration and discussion to appoint the City of Perris Planning Commission Members as deemed appropriate by City Council.

Planning Commission Appointments Continued to an Appropriate Time for Council & Applicant

Community Director Gutierrez commented a commissioner must be appointed by Council majority; and indicated the applicant must be a qualified elector of the City and remain so. General background/knowledge in planning was required; and the term of the commission was four years. Council could consider appointment of commissioners tonight; or select a time/date to concentrate on appointments.

Community Director Gutierrez's Presentation

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers asked if there were nine applicants. It was confirmed there were nine applicants.

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers' Query

City Attorney Dunn, for clarification, indicated that Council was not required to appoint everyone tonight; a date could be scheduled for interviews.

City Attorney Dunn's Comments

Mayor Busch requested the applicants present to introduce themselves: Judy Haughney; Ms. Shook; Mr. Martin; Mr. Hammond; Mr. Mitchell; and Mr. Finney.

Introduction of Applicants: Six of Nine Applicants

Councilmember Landers suggested to select three alternate commissioners and to set the stipend at \$100 per month.

Councilmember Landers' Comment

Councilmember Yarbrough agreed with selection of alternates and commented on the amount of education the commissioners would encounter. He suggested to schedule time/date to receive a five minute presentation by each applicant with the alternates in attendance.

In response to the stipend, Community Director Gutierrez indicated the fee was established by ordinance and to up the stipend would require an amendment to the ordinance. It was agreed to consider an amendment.

Community Director Gutierrez's Response

After general discussion regarding appointments it was determined to direct Community Director Gutierrez to contact the applicants to schedule the interviews/appointments for April 3, 2002.

April 3, 2002 Interviews & Appointments

M/S/C (MOTTE/LANDERS) To direct Community Director Gutierrez to contact the applicant to schedule appointments for April 3, 2002.

*Planning
Commission
Appointments for
April 3, 2002*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch

NOES:

10.2 OLD BUSINESS: None

11. PUBLIC COMMENT:

Ms. Haughney commented on the lack of public transportation to Paloma Valley High School in Menifee; the e-mail of her public comment on the lack of bus stops in May Ranch; and the overall lack of public transportation in the area.

*Ms. Haughney's
Comments Re:
Lack of Public
Transportation*

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers commended Public Services Director Owens; Recreation Manager Carter; and Basketball Coordinator Campbell for an excellent Basketball Award Tournament held on March 16th and the excellent recreation programs offered.

*Mayor Pro Tem
Rogers'
Commended the
Recreation
Department*

Councilmember Yarbrough announced the first Community Outreach Program Meeting was to be held on April 6th from 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. in the Enchanted Heights/Indian Hills area, Faith Baptist Church, 1895 Weston Road. He invited the public to attend the future meetings at: Nan Sanders School in June, 2002; Copper Creek Park in August, 2002; May Ranch Park in October, 2002; Rotary Park in November, 2002.

*Councilmember
Yarbrough's
Announcement of
the First
Community
Outreach Program*

Councilmember Motte announced his attendance of the Little League and Bobby Sox opening ceremony. He commented on the completion and the improvement for the area in regards to the Habitat for Humanity's home on Perrisito Street.

*Councilmember
Motte's Comments
Re: Little League;
Bobby Sox; Habitat
for Humanity*

Mayor Busch announced his attendance of the Little League opening and indicated the Perrisito Street home which was very impressive. The Mayor requested Public Services Director Owens to update the community on Rotary Park.

*Mayor Busch's
Announcement &
Request Rotary
Park Update*

Public Services Director Owens commented the Rotary Parks was an eight acre site in partnership with the Perris High School District. The partnership between the school district and the City was established to develop the park for the community. The park consisted of athletic fields a combination softball/baseball and one large regulation soccer field. Phase II portion approved this evening consisted of a pavilion, picnic shelters, table/benches, walkway/security lighting, monument sign, concrete basketball court, volleyball court, tot lot area with play equipment and barbecues. The park would be available, for use, in early July, 2002. Presently, the school district requested to upgrade the ball fields to competitive facilities. The two state grants submitted to fund the expansion and renovation of the Bob Glass Gymnasium was seriously considered by the State Parks/Recreation Department. A state inspector was to be scheduled to inspect the gymnasium.

*Public Services
Director Owens'
Rotary Park
Presentation*

12. CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS: None

13. CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS: None

14. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT:

City Manager Vasquez reported that he and Mayor Busch spent four days lobbying in Washington D.C. Through redistricting the City of Perris had a new congressman, Congressman Darrel Issa. The new district incorporated San Diego, Carlsbad, Oceanside, Murietta, Temecula and Perris. Congressman Issa understood the City's needs; very supportive; and planned a Community Outreach Meeting. The City looked forward to working with the new Congressman and the yield of additional funds to the City.

City Manager Vasquez's Report on Washington D.C. visit with New Congressman Darrel Issa

15. AGENDA ITEMS/MEETING REQUESTS AND REVIEW:

Councilmember Landers requested to schedule a work session with the Police Chief, for public safety, to discuss problems of the City for resolution. There was a huge drug problem that existed within the City and a partner was required to rid/resolve the drug houses.

Councilmember Landers' Request to Resolve the Drug Problems Within the City

City Attorney Dunn commented prior to adjournment to Closed Session certain circumstances had evolved since the agenda was posted and required immediate direction from Council. Therefore, Council was requested to vote to add an item to Closed Session Agenda.

City Attorney Dunn's Request to Add an Item to Closed Session

M/S/C (LANDERS/MOTTE) To add a second case to the Closed Session.

Addition of a Second Case to Closed Session Approved: 5-0

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

Mayor Busch called the Redevelopment Agency Meeting to order.

Mayor Busch cited the Closed Session item and adjourned to Closed Session for City Council and Redevelopment Agency at 7:35p.m.

7:45 p.m. Closed Session Called to Order

16. CLOSED SESSION:

A. Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation
Government Code Section 54956.9 (b) – Two Cases

No Reportable Action Taken

Chairman Busch called the City Council Meeting back to order and requested City Attorney Dunn to report on the Closed Session.

City Council Meeting Called Back to Order

City Attorney Dunn reported Council met in Closed Session to discuss Item 16. A with the addition of an additional case. No reportable action taken.

*City Attorney
Dunn's Closed
Session Report: No
Reportable Action
Taken*

- 17. ADJOURNMENT:** By unanimous consent the Regular City Council Meeting adjourned at 9:25 p.m.

*9:25 p.m. Regular
City Council
Meeting Adjourned*

Respectfully Submitted,

Margaret Rey, City Clerk