

CITY OF PERRIS

MINUTES: Regular City Council Meeting
Date of Meeting: 11 June, 2002
Time of Meeting: 6:00 p.m.
Place of Meeting: City Council Chamber-City Hall

1. CALL TO ORDER:

6:05 p.m. Called to Order

2. ROLL CALL:

All Present

Council Members Present: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch

Staff Members Present: City Manager Vasquez, Assistant City Manager Apodaca, City Attorney Dunn, Community Director Gutierrez, Finance Director Rogers-Elmore, City Engineer White, Public Services Director Owens, and City Clerk Rey.

City Manager Vasquez left the Council Chambers at 6:15 p.m. and returned at 6:25 p.m.

3. INVOCATION:

Reverend Brown Gave Invocation

4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Councilmember Landers Led the Pledge

5. PRESENTATIONS: None

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

To approve the minutes of the City Council Work Session of May 21, 2002, City Council Work Session of May 28, 2002, and Regular City Council of May 28, 2002.

*Minutes of City Council Work Session 5/21/02; Work Session 5/28/02 & Regular City Council 5/28/02
Approved: 5-0*

M/S/C (ROGERS/YARBROUGH) To approve the minutes of the City Council Work Session of May 21, 2002, City Council Work Session of May 28, 2002, and Regular City Council of May 28, 2002.

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

7. PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ONLY:

City Attorney Dunn pointed out that Item 8B, second reading for May Ranch Development Agreement, had minor revisions and language inserted to incorporate Council's directives.

City Attorney Dunn's Comments Re: Revisions to May Ranch Development Agreement

8. CONSENT CALENDAR

- A. To adopt, for second reading, Ordinance Number 1101 authorizing the levy of special tax within Community Facilities District Number 2001-1 (May Farms).

*Ordinance Number 1101
Authorizing Levy Special
Tax within CFD 2001-1
(May Farms)
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt Ordinance Number 1101 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS ACTING IN ITS CAPACITY AS THE LEGISLATIVE BODY OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NUMBER 2001-1 (MAY FARMS) OF THE CITY OF PERRIS AUTHORIZING THE LEVY OF A SPECIAL TAX WITHIN SAID DISTRICT.

- B. To adopt, for second reading, Ordinance Number 1102, approving the Third Amendment to the Development Agreement for May Ranch (DA 02-0092).

*Ordinance Number 1102,
Approving Third
Amendment to May
Ranch Development
Agreement (DA 02-0092)
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt Ordinance Number 1102 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE MAY RANCH SPECIFIC PLAN (DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT 02-0092).

- C. To award the contract to Ayala & Sons for the sum of \$289,994; provide for 10% contingency and authorize the Mayor to sign the contract (Orange/Indian Avenues Pavement Rehabilitation).

*Orange/Indian Avenues
Rehabilitation Contract
Awarded to Ayala & Sons
& Authorize the Mayor to
Execute
Approved: 5-0*

- D. To approve the agreement on Establishing and Developing the Friendly Relations between City of Mannshan, Anui, Peoples Republic of China and City of Perris, California, USA.

*Establishing/Developing
Friendly Relations
Agreement between
Mannshan City, China &
City of Perris
Approved: 5-0*

- E. To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2955 for the annexation of Perris Valley Academy into Maintenance District Number 84-1.

*Resolution Number 2955
Annexation Perris Valley
Academy/Maintenance
District 84-1
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2955 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, ORDERING THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN TERRITORY TO MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1 (PERRIS VALLEY ACADEMY, ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER 310-160-056).

- F. To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2956 for the annexation of Conditional Use Permit 02-0018 into Maintenance District Number 84-1.

*Resolution Number 2956
Annexation Conditional
Use Permit 02-0018 into
Maintenance District
Number 84-1
Approved:5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2956 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, ORDERING THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN TERRITORY TO MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1 (CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 02-0018, ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER 294-180-036).

- G. To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2957 for the annexation of Development Plan Review 01-0210 into Landscape Maintenance District Number 1 and Maintenance District Number 84-1.

*Resolution Number 2957
Annexation DPR 02-0210 into Landscape Maintenance District 1 & Maintenance District 84-1
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2957 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, ORDERING THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN TERRITORY TO LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1 AND MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1 (DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW 01-0210, ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER 306-390-016).

- H. To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2958 for the annexation of Development Plan Review 98-0071 into Landscape Maintenance District Number 1; Maintenance District Number 84-1; and adopt proposed Resolution Number 2959 for annexation of Development Plan Review 98-0071 into Flood Control Maintenance District Number 1.

*Resolution Number 2958
Annexation DPR 98-0071 into Landscape Maintenance District 1 & Maintenance District 84-1
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2958 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, ORDERING THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN TERRITORY TO LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1 AND MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 84-1 (DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW 98-0071, ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER 311-180-029, 311-180-030 & 311-180-049).

*Resolution Number 2959
Annexation DPR 98-0071 into Flood Control Maintenance District 1
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2959 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, ORDERING THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN TERRITORY TO FLOOD CONTROL MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NUMBER 1 (DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW 98-0071, ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER 311-180-029, 311-180-030 & 311-180-049).

- I. Approval of Warrants.

Warrants Approved: 5-0

M/S/C (LANDERS/ROGERS) To approve the Consent Calendar as presented.

*Consent Calendar
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

9.1 NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS:

Ms. Shepherd, Shepherd & Staats, said each year a public meeting was held as part of the process for the annual levy of the maintenance assessments. The public meeting provided public forum and no Council action was required. On June 25th a public hearing would be held which would provide for additional public input and an opportunity for staff to provide additional information. There were no increases proposed for this year's assessments.

*Shepherd & Staats, Ms.
Shepherd's Presentation*

- A. Consideration and discussion on the levy of assessments

Received/Filed

under Maintenance District 84-1 for Fiscal Year 2002-2003.

Maintenance District 84-1, FY 2002-03 for Levy of Assessments

B. Consideration and discussion on the levy of assessments under Landscape Maintenance District Number 1 for Fiscal Year 2002-2003.

Received/Filed Landscape Maintenance District 1, FY 2002-03 for Levy of Assessments

C. Consideration and discussion on the levy of assessments under Flood Control Maintenance District Number1 for Fiscal Year 2002-2003.

Received/Filed Flood Control Maintenance District 1, FY 2002-03 for Levy of Assessments

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mr. Vidal commented on: 1) assessments for maintenance districts did not provide what the citizens paid for (i.e. landscaping not maintained and trees were not replaced); 2) maintenance district money was not used annually.

Mr. Vidal's Comments

9.2 CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. Consideration and discussion to introduce proposed Ordinance Number 1103, Ordinance Amendment 02-0066, amending Chapter 19.50, Chapter 19.54 and Section 19.81.080A. (Continued from May 28, 2002 City Council Meeting).

*Introduction of Ordinance Number 1103, Amending Chapters 19.50, 19.54 & Section 19.81.080A
Approved: 5-0*

To introduce proposed Ordinance Number 1103 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AMENDING CHAPTER 19.50 (DEVELOPMENT PLAN REQUIREMENTS) AND CHAPTER 19.54 (AUTHORITY AND REVIEW PROCEDURES) AND SECTION 19.81.080A (FINDINGS FOR SECOND DWELLING UNITS) OF THE PERRIS MUNICIPAL CODE.

Community Director Gutierrez indicated that this proposal was to address some of the processing issues that dealt with the Planning Commission process. The proposal was continued to allow additional time for review of the language within the ordinance and no input or questions were received. Therefore, it was presented as originally proposed.

Community Director Gutierrez's Introduction

Project Planner, Mr. Miller said with the establishment of the Planning Commission staff reviewed Planning Department's various applications and defined each application as to who the responsible review authority would be (City Council, Planning Commission or Community Development Department). Also, a number of issues were clarified within the ordinance that would reflect existing practices or to clarify the exact intent. The proposed revisions to the ordinance were reflected by strikeouts and additions were underlined.

Project Planner, Mr. Miller's Presentation

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers voiced appreciation of the matrix, which simplified the process with the designated authority.

*Mayor Pro Tem
Rogers' Comment
Re: Matrix*

M/S/C (YARBROUGH/LANDERS) To introduce Ordinance Number 1103 amending Chapter 19.50 (Development Plan Requirements), Chapter 19.54 (Authority and Review Procedures) and Section 19.81.080A (Findings for Second Dwelling Units) of the Perris Municipal Code.

*Introduction of
Ordinance Number 1103,
Amending Chapters
19.50, 19.54 & Section
19.81.080A
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

B. Consideration and discussion to approve Revised Tentative Tract 29994 (Case No. 02-0080); Tentative Tract 30490 (Case Number 02-0078); and Tentative Tract 30518 (Case No. 02-0079). A request to amend various aspects of the May Ranch Specific Plan and approve three tracts within the specific plan. (Continued from May 28, 2002 City Council Meeting).

*To Continue Revised
Tentative Tract 29994,
Tentative Tract Maps
30490 & 30518 to 6/25/02
Council Meeting
Approved: 5-0*

Community Director Gutierrez requested the proposal be continued to the June 25th meeting in order to allow for further discussion on outstanding issues.

*Community Director
Gutierrez's Introduction*

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Yarbrough asked if the request for continuance was from the City or developer.

*Councilmember
Yarbrough's Query Re:
Request for Continuance*

In response, Community Director Gutierrez said it was at the request of staff to address issues.

*Community Director
Gutierrez's Response*

Mayor Busch asked if any of the issues were major. It was confirmed that the issues were considered major.

*Mayor Busch's Query
Re: Major Issues*

M/S/C (ROGERS/MOTTE) To continue approval of Revised Tentative Tract 29994 (Case No. 02-0080); Tentative Tract 30490 (Case Number 02-0078); and Tentative Tract 30518 (Case No. 02-0079) to June 25, 2002 Council Meeting.

*To Continue Revised
Tentative Tract 29994,
Tentative Tract Maps
30490 & 30518 to 6/25/02
Council Meeting
Approved: 5-0*

AYES:
NOES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch

10. NON-HEARING ITEMS:

10.1 NEW BUSINESS:

- A. Consideration and discussion to approve March Joint Powers Utilities Authority Agreement between the Cities of Riverside, Moreno Valley and Perris.

March Joint Powers Utilities Agreement Continued to June 25, 2002 Council Meeting Approved: 5-0

Mr. Johnson, TCA & Associates, said the agreement was intended for utility service for portions of March Base and revisions were forthcoming. The intention of the agreement was to: 1) provide electric service; 2) establish the new joint powers entity (Cities of Riverside, Moreno Valley and Perris); 3) it excluded Riverside County (had no statutory authority to sell electricity at retail); 4) authorized the Authority to provide network services; 5) to serve electricity to the western portion of the March Base (area west of I-215); 6) included options and flexibility to provide other services, not electricity, to the northeast corner of March Base; 7) 99% of the services would be provided on a contract basis by the City of Riverside; and 8) the preliminary cost estimate was favorable to the alternatives (alternatives included services from Edison). The steps to move the concept forward were to draft and approve the JPA Agreement. The agreement spoke for a business plan or the Energy JPA, and contract services. After execution of the agreement the City of Perris was not obligated if they were not comfortable with services provided, business plan or any element. The Utility Authority was structured to be financially independent.

TCA & Associates, Mr. Johnson's Presentation

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Motte asked if the services were restricted to March Base. It was confirmed that services were restricted to the base.

Councilmember Motte's Query Re: Restricted Services

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers asked if the City of Perris was the first City to approve the agreement and why was the City selected.

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers' Query Re: Perris Selected as First City to Approve the Agreement

In response, Mr. Johnson said it was a matter of scheduling for convenience.

TCA & Associates, Mr. Johnson's Response

City Attorney Dunn said in review of the agreement a number of comments were submitted to Joint Powers Authority Council and other cities had concerns on the service area. Therefore, he recommended continuing the proposal to June 25th meeting where there would be a finalized version of the agreement.

City Attorney Dunn's Recommendation Re: Continue Agreement to 6/25/02

M/S/C (LANDERS/ROGERS) To continue the March Joint Powers Utility Authority Agreement to City Council Meeting of June 25, 2002.

March Joint Powers Utilities Agreement Continued to June 25, 2002 Council Meeting Approved: 5-0

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

B. Consideration and discussion to adopt Resolution Number 2960 declaring the results of a special election relating to the establishment of Community Facilities District Number 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety) of the City of Perris.

Resolution Number 2960 Declaring Special Election Results for Establishment of CFD 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety) Approved: 5-0

To adopt proposed Resolution Number 2960 entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, ACTING IN ITS CAPACITY AS THE LEGISLATIVE BODY OF THE COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NUMBER 2001-3 (NORTH PERRIS PUBLIC SAFETY) OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, DECLARING THE RESULTS OF A SPECIAL ELECTION RELATING TO THE LEVY OF A SPECIAL TAX WITHIN SAID DISTRICT AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT.

Mr. Messinger, of Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP, addressed Items B and C, which required separate action. Item 10.1B was the resolution declaring results of the special election, which was held June 10th. Mr. Messinger requested City Clerk Rey to read the results and for Council to take action.

Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP, Mr. Messinger's Presentation

City Clerk Rey cited the results of the CFD 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety) statement of all votes cast, Special Tax Election June 10th. The number of qualified landowners was 914 and the votes cast were 663 with all a vote of YES and zero NOES.

City Clerk Rey's Citation of Votes Cast at 663 for CFD 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety)

Upon adoption of the resolution confirmation, Mr. Messinger said it successfully established the North Perris Public Safety CFD 2001-3. Subsequent to taking action Council would consider the Special Tax Ordinance which authorized the collection of the taxes. The taxes would pay a significant portion of the cost for providing additional public services for the northern portion of the City.

Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP, Mr. Messinger's Comment Re: CFD 2001-3 Establishment

C. Consideration and discussion to introduce for first reading Ordinance Number 1104 authorizing the levy of special tax within Community Facilities District Number 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety) of the City of Perris.

Introduction Ordinance Number 1104 Authorizing Levy of Special Tax within CFD 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety) Approved: 5-0

To introduce for first reading proposed Ordinance Number 1104 entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS ACTING IN ITS CAPACITY AS THE LEGISLATIVE BODY OF THE COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NUMBER 2001-3 (NORTH PERRIS PUBLIC SAFETY) OF THE CITY OF PERRIS AUTHORIZING THE LEVY OF A SPECIAL TAX WITHIN SAID DISTRICT.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mr. Vidal commented on Council’s implementation of a citywide tax, because CFD 2001-3 would not finance a new fire station and additional police.

Mr. Vidal’s Comment

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers thanked the developer for their participation, support and efforts in achieving the establishment of CFD 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety).

M/S/C (ROGERS/LANDERS) to adopt Resolution Number 2960 declaring the results of a special election relating to the establishment of Community Facilities District Number 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety).

*Resolution Number 2960
Declaring Special
Election Results for
Establishment of CFD
2001-3 (North Perris
Public Safety)
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

M/S/C (ROGERS/LANDERS) to introduce for first reading Ordinance Number 1104 authorizing the levy of special tax within Community Facilities District Number 2001-3 (North Perris Public Safety).

*Introduction Ordinance
Number 1104
Authorizing Levy of
Special Tax within CFD
2001-3 (North Perris
Public Safety)
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

10.2 OLD BUSINESS:

A. Consideration and discussion to adopt Resolution (next in order) rescinding the refinancing of the Perris Public Financing Authority Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds relating to the Central and North Redevelopment project area.

*Continue Resolution
Rescinding Refinancing
of PPFA Tax Allocation
Revenue Bonds Relating
to Central/North
Redevelopment Project
Areas to June 25, 2002
Council Meeting
Approved: 5-0*

To adopt Resolution Number (next in order) entitled:

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS RESCINDING THE REFINANCING OF CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS OF THE PERRIS PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF PERRIS PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY TAX ALLOCATION REVENUE BONDS.

City Manager Vasquez recommended continuance of the proposal to the meeting of June 25th.

*City Manager Vasquez’s
Introduction*

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers asked for the approximate dollar amount of the actual proceeds from refinancing of the bonds.

*Mayor Pro Tem Rogers’
Query Re: Bond
Proceeds Dollar Amount*

In response, Mr. Gunn, Gunn & Associates, said when you go through the financing process numbers fall. There were two key approvals for financing to take place: 1) rating agencies; 2) bond insurance. The approval of bond insurance would insure the City/Agency to pay on the bonds and would raise the rating to Triple A if the City went forward with financing.

Gunn & Associates, Mr. Gunn's Comments Re: Refinancing Bond Procedures

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers asked if it gave the City more leverage with the actual cost of the bonds. It was confirmed that it gave leverage.

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers' Query Re: City Leverage

Mr. Gunn said that in addition you would ask the insurers to consider three alternatives. The City would insure the bonds and request a reserved bond surety policy (you would not fund a reserve bond, which was 10% of the size of the issue). Series A Bond refunded the 1992 issue of Series B; Series B Bond refunded the 1992 issue of Series C and both had to be refunded on a current basis. The two bonds, for technical tax reasons, in 1992 were split apart where they could not be accomplished simultaneously. Series A and B had equal status and rated Triple A. Series C was not insured, they carried a S & P Triple B Rating. The payment of those bonds, subordinate to the payment of A and B Bonds, would be separate from the other two bonds. The structure of refinancing was due to the Agency's insufficient revenue to insure all the bonds. By separation and going through a senior, junior type bond to give enough revenues for Series A and B they get the bond insurance. Because of the better interest rate it was important to maximize the amount of bond that were rated Triple A.

Gunn & Associates, Mr. Gunn's Comments Re: Refinancing Bond Procedures

Mayor Busch asked if the cost of insurance was \$160,000, \$105,000 and \$100,000. It was confirmed that the dollar was the issuance cost.

Mayor Busch's Query Re: Cost of Insurance

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers asked if the Agency would receive \$13.7 million at a cost of \$360,000.

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers' Query Re: Cost

Councilmember Motte asked how much of the \$13 million was used to pay the existing debt.

Councilmember Motte's Query Re: Amount to Pay Existing Debt

In response, Mr. Gunn said all the proceeds from Series A and B would pay the existing debt. The current situation reflected the Agency's annual payment of \$1,014,000 towards debt service. If the refunding was approved the debt service would be \$644,000 and would save the City \$370,000 annually. There were three alternatives: 1) City do nothing, and currently the project area did not meet the annual obligations and there were no administrative cost for the City; 2) use the same surety for savings; 3) was what was rescinded. The proposal lowered annual debt service, provided new project money, and provided a present value savings. After payment of the debt service the new money would save the City \$148,000 annually. Since 1992 the Agency had less revenue today.

Mr. Gunn's Response & Comments Re: Annual Amount if Refinanced

Councilmember Motte commented that the City spent \$148,000 less and would receive an additional \$3 million. Mr. Gunn confirmed Councilmember Motte was correct.

*Councilmember Motte's
Comment*

City Attorney Dunn asked what would be the difference in maturity dates if this proposal was approved.

*City Attorney Dunn's
Query Re: Maturity
Difference*

In response, Mr. Gunn said the current rate was .7 and the proposal would rate at .3. Series A and B were officially submitted to the rating agencies. Series C was unofficially submitted and Bond Counsel asked for a rate. Once a rating was issued it was published and nothing could be done to change the rate. The rating agencies said they thought the investment could be achieved on the Series C Bond. There was a sixty (60) day window to final the process. Mr. Gunn recommended Council to appoint a committee to work with Bond Counsel.

*Mr. Gunn's Response &
Comments Re: Rating*

M/S/C (ROGERS/YARBROUGH) To continue adoption of Resolution (next in order) rescinding the refinancing of the Perris Public Financing Authority Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds relating to the Central and North Redevelopment project area to June 25, 2002 meeting.

*Continue Resolution
Rescinding Refinancing
of PPFA Tax Allocation
Revenue Bonds Relating
to Central/North
Redevelopment Project
Areas to June 25, 2002
Council Meeting
Approved: 5-0*

AYES: Rogers, Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Busch
NOES:

11. PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mr. Francis commented on neighborhood security; the telephone outage for one week in his neighborhood; responsibility of the City to resolve an emergency issue; and the exclusion of the City Clerk in competitive salary increases. He commended Councilmember Landers for taking personal action inquiring on the well being of the citizens in the affected neighborhood and calling for police patrol.

Mr. Francis' Comments

Mr. Gibronni commented on an abandoned house located at 1076 West 7th Street that attracted graffiti, vandalism, drug activity, homeless persons, lack of action on this type of matter and requested the City to take action. The house in question was located fifty (50) feet from his property at 1050 West 7th Street. The Fire Chief suggested to level the house by controlled burn.

*Mr. Gibronni's
Comments*

Community Director Gutierrez said the controlled burn was scheduled to occur and staff worked with the property owners and maintenance company to obtain the authorization to move forward. There was an asbestos study in progress. Once authorization was obtained from the property owners there would be no need for Council to take action.

*Community Director
Gutierrez's Comment Re:
Controlled Burn 1076 W.
7th Street.*

Mr. Chambers, Fire Captain, said the burn would occur upon completion of the asbestos study. The asbestos permit would allow inspectors to confirm if there was asbestos or not and it would be determined if it was cost effective to remove the asbestos or burn the structure.

*Fire Captain, Mr.
Chambers' Comments*

Ms. Olson, 1050 W. 7th Street, asked if asbestos was to be removed from the property and if so would the neighborhood be required to vacate. She proposed that such abandoned structures and fields of dried grass be made priorities.

Ms. Olson's Comments

Mr. Vidal commented on the installation of six foot rod iron fences located in the front of houses and indicated that there should be a code on fence height. If there was a code then Code Enforcement was not enforcing it.

Mr. Vidal's Comments

12. CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS:

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers commented that on June 21st, 22nd and 23rd she was to attend the Planning Session for Riverside County Department of Community Action and would represent the City at that function.

Mayor Pro Tem Rogers' Comments

Councilmember Landers voiced concerns on the assurance that a citizen would be called in reference to drug activity on Diana Street and was not called. The issue had to be resolved in an expeditious manner.

Councilmember Landers' Comments

Councilmember Motte commented on demolition of abandoned homes and enforcement in the same manner as the Federal Government.

Councilmember Motte's Comments

In response, City Attorney Dunn said the City Manager and he would meet and submit information on enforcement possibilities. There were cost implications to the abatement of abandoned houses. There were issues in the RICO process (Federal Government process) that racketeering had to be proven, but could be researched. In regards to the issue on Diana Street the property managing company made errors in the process and had to start over.

City Attorney Dunn's Response

13. CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Councilmember Yarbrough reported that the Student of the Year was held June 5th at the Sizzler's Restaurant. The students were responsible and proven that with hard work and discipline they could accomplish their goal. He congratulated all the students and voiced pleasure in attendance of the event.

Councilmember Yarbrough's Report

Councilmember Motte encouraged the public's participation in attendance of the Community Outreach Event and it was an opportunity for the public to meet City Officials and staff. There was a meeting with Caltrans Director in regards to clean up of the entrances to the City.

Councilmember Motte's Comments

14. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT:

City Manager Vasquez commented that the Newsletter would be distributed next week. In response to Police activity, City Manager Vasquez said they were active within the community and arrest rates increased. It was difficult to resolve criminal situations in residences due to property rights of the people and the City was very careful not to violate those rights. The

City Manager Vasquez's Report

Council would receive a report on proposals to deal with abandoned homes, budget recommendations, and other issues. Caltrans proposed to install a roundabout at the I-215 and 4th Street/Redlands Avenue. The roundabout was an efficient way to get on and off certain street directions. Housing stocks were up in the community, building permits were high, and there was more interest in the community in regards to commercial/industrial development. In conduction of the meetings, City Manager Vasquez said meeting participants should be civil, respectful, and refrain from name-calling or embarrassment of a person.

*Con't. City Manager
Vasquez's Report*

15. AGENDA ITEMS/MEETING REQUESTS AND REVIEW:

Councilmember Yarbrough addressed the Planning Commission at their last session and made a request to review their By-laws. He requested Council to also review their By-laws with the possibility of two amendments in reference to the Planning Commission.

*Councilmember
Yarbrough's Request*

16. CLOSED SESSION: None

Mayor Busch requested City Attorney Dunn to report on the Closed Session item at the 5:00 p.m. Special Meeting.

City Attorney Dunn reported that Council met in regards to Item 4A. Closed Session of the Special City Council Meeting, discussion was held and no reportable action taken.

*City Attorney Dunn's
Special Meeting Closed
Session Report*

17. ADJOURNMENT: By unanimous consent the Special City Council Meeting and Regular City Council Meeting was adjourned at 7:25 p.m.

*7:25 p.m. Special City
Council & Regular City
Council Meetings
Adjourned*

Respectfully Submitted,

Margaret Rey, City Clerk