

Appendix I

Energy Analysis



**OLC3 (DPR22-00006, TPM22-
05048, SPA22-05047)**
ENERGY ANALYSIS
CITY OF PERRIS

PREPARED BY:

Haseeb Qureshi
hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

Michael Tirohn
mtirohn@urbanxroads.com

JUNE 21, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
APPENDICES	II
LIST OF EXHIBITS	II
LIST OF TABLES	II
LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS	III
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
ES.1 Summary of Findings.....	1
ES.2 Regulatory Requirements	1
ES.2 Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan (PVCCSP) Environmental Impact Report (PVCCSP EIR) Mitigation Measures.....	2
1 INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 Site Location.....	4
1.2 Project Description.....	4
2 EXISTING CONDITIONS	8
2.1 Overview	8
2.2 Electricity.....	10
2.3 Natural Gas	12
2.4 Transportation Energy Resources.....	15
3 REGULATORY BACKGROUND	18
3.1 Federal Regulations.....	18
3.2 California Regulations	18
4 PROJECT ENERGY DEMANDS AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES	24
4.1 Evaluation Criteria.....	24
4.2 Methodology.....	24
4.3 Construction Energy Demands	25
4.4 Operational Energy Demands	34
4.5 Summary	36
5 CONCLUSIONS	40
6 REFERENCES	43
7 CERTIFICATIONS	46

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX 4.1: CALEEMOD EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS
- APPENDIX 4.2: EMFAC2021

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP.....5

EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN.....6

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS1

TABLE 2-1: TOTAL ELECRICITY SYSTEM POWER (CALIFORNIA 2020).....9

TABLE 2-2: SCE 2020 POWER CONTENT MIX12

TABLE 4-1: CONSTRUCTION DURATION.....25

TABLE 4-2: CONSTRUCTION POWER COST26

TABLE 4-3: CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE26

TABLE 4-4: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ASSUMPTIONS27

TABLE 4-5: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES.....29

TABLE 4-6: CONSTRUCTION TRIPS AND VMT30

TABLE 4-7: CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES.....31

TABLE 4-8: CONSTRUCTION VENDOR AND HAULING FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES32

TABLE 4-9: TOTAL PROJECT-GENERATED TRAFFIC ANNUAL FUEL CONSUMPTION34

TABLE 4-10: PROJECT ANNUAL OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMAND SUMMARY35

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

%	Percent
(1)	Reference
AGSP	Airport Gateway Specific Plan
AQIA	<i>OLC3 Air Quality Impact Analysis</i>
BACM	Best Available Control Measures
BTU	British Thermal Units
CaIEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
City	City of Perris
CPEP	Clean Power and Electrification Pathway
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
DMV	Department of Motor Vehicles
EIA	Energy Information Administration
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EMFAC	EMissions FACtor
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GWh	Gigawatt Hour
HHDT	Heavy-Heavy Duty Trucks
hp-hr-gal	Horsepower Hours Per Gallon
IEPR	Integrated Energy Policy Report
ISO	Independent Service Operator
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
kBTU	Thousand-British Thermal Units
kWh	Kilowatt Hour
LDA	Light Duty Auto
LDT1/LDT2	Light-Duty Trucks
LHDT1/LHDT2	Light-Heavy Duty Trucks
MARB/IPA	March Air Reserve Base/Inland Port Airport
MDV	Medium Duty Trucks
MHDT	Medium-Heavy Duty Trucks

MMcfd	Million Cubic Feet Per Day
mpg	Miles Per Gallon
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric
Project	OLC3
PV	Photovoltaic
PVCCSP	Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan
PVCCSP EIR	<i>Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2009081086</i>
SCAB	South Coast Air Basin
SCE	Southern California Edison
SDAB	San Diego Air Basin
sf	Square Feet
SoCalGas	Southern California Gas
TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21 st Century
TRUs	Transportation Refrigeration Units
U.S.	United States
VMT	Vehicle Miles Traveled

This page intentionally left blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The results of this *OLC3 Energy Analysis* is summarized below based on the significance criteria in Section 5 of this report consistent with Appendix G of the Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (*State CEQA Guidelines*) (1). Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for potential energy impacts under CEQA.

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS

Analysis	Report Section	Significance Findings	
		Unmitigated	Mitigated
Energy Impact #1: Would the Project result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?	5.0	<i>Less Than Significant</i>	<i>n/a</i>
Energy Impact #2: Would the Project conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?	5.0	<i>Less Than Significant</i>	<i>n/a</i>

ES.2 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The Project would be required to comply with regulations imposed by the federal and state agencies that regulate energy use and consumption through various means and programs. Those that are directly and indirectly applicable to the Project and that would assist in the reduction of energy usage include:

- Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA)
- The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21)
- Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR)
- State of California Energy Plan
- California Code Title 24, Part 6, Energy Efficiency Standards
- California Code Title 24, Part 11, California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen)
- AB 1493 Pavley Regulations and Fuel Efficiency Standards
- California’s Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS)
- Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015 (SB 350)

Consistency with the above regulations is discussed in detail in section 5 of this report.

ES.2 PERRIS VALLEY COMMERCE CENTER SPECIFIC PLAN (PVCCSP) ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (PVCCSP EIR) MITIGATION MEASURES

The Project site is located within the PVCC SP area planning. As such, the Project is required to comply with the applicable *Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2009081086* mitigation measures (MMs) (2).

The applicable PVCC SP EIR mitigation measures for air quality are shown below and are required for the Project, these select measures would also assist in the reduction of energy usage. As a conservative measure, to provide a worst-case disclosure of the Project's impacts, no credit has been assumed from the following measures, yet, per above, the project will be required to comply with them.

MM Air 19

In order to reduce energy consumption from the individual implementing development projects, applicable plans (e.g., electrical plans, improvement maps) submitted to the City shall include the installation of energy-efficient street lighting throughout the project sites. These plans shall be reviewed and approved by the applicable City Department (e.g., City of Perris' Building Division) prior to conveyance of applicable streets.

MM Air 20

Each implementing development project shall be encouraged to implement, at a minimum, an increase in each building's energy efficiency 15 percent (%) beyond Title 24, and reduce indoor water use by 25%. All reductions would be documented through a checklist to be submitted prior to issuance of building permits for the implementing development project with building plans and calculations.

This page intentionally left blank

1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the energy analysis prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc., for the proposed OLC3 Project (Project). The purpose of this report is to ensure that energy implication is considered by the City of Perris (Lead Agency), as the lead agency, and to quantify anticipated energy usage associated with construction and operation of the proposed Project, determine if the usage amounts are efficient, typical, or wasteful for the land use type, and to emphasize avoiding or reducing inefficient, wasteful, and unnecessary consumption of energy.

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The proposed OLC3 site is located on the southeast corner of Perris Boulevard and Perry Street within the City of Perris' *Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan* (PVCCSP) planning area as shown on Exhibit 1-A. March Air Reserve Base/Inland Port Airport (MARB/IPA) is located approximately 1.5 miles northwest of the Project site boundary.

The Project site is currently undeveloped. According to the PVCCSP, the Project site is designated for Commercial uses. The Commercial designation provides for retail, professional office, and service-oriented business activities which serve the entire City, as well as the surrounding neighborhoods. This zone combines the General Plan Land Use designation of Community Commercial and Commercial Neighborhood (3).

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project is to consist of 774,419 square feet of non-refrigerated High-Cube Fulfillment Center Warehouse use and up to 70,000 square feet of Retail and Restaurant uses (comprised of 30,825 square feet of Strip Retail Plaza use, 5,000 square feet of High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant use, 23,775 square feet of Fast-Food Restaurant Without Drive-Through Window use in-line with the retail use, and 10,400 square feet of Fast-Food Restaurant With Drive-Through Window use). The Project is anticipated to be constructed in a single phase by the year 2024. A preliminary site plan is shown on Exhibit 1-B.

It should be noted, the Project description for the retail portion of the site has been updated since the time this study has been prepared. The updated Project description for the retail portion now consists of 39,825 square feet of retail use and 14,775 square feet of Fast-food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window use. The land uses evaluated within this study generate more trips, and consequently more emissions compared to the updated Project description. Therefore, the analysis provided in this report presents a conservative analysis of anticipated impacts.


EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS

LEGEND:
 N
 Site Boundary

EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN



This page intentionally left blank

2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section provides an overview of the existing energy conditions in the Project region.

2.1 OVERVIEW

The most recent data for California's estimated total energy consumption and natural gas consumption is from 2019, released by the United States (U.S.) Energy Information Administration's (EIA) California State Profile and Energy Estimates in 2021 and included (4):

- As of 2019, approximately 7,802 trillion British Thermal Unit (BTU) of energy was consumed
- As of 2019, approximately 662 million barrels of petroleum
- As of 2019, approximately 2,144 billion cubic feet of natural gas
- As of 2019, approximately 1 million short tons of coal

The California Energy Commission's (CEC) Transportation Energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030 was released in order to support the 2017 Integrated Energy Policy Report. The Transportation energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030 lays out graphs and data supporting their projections of California's future transportation energy demand. The projected inputs consider expected variable changes in fuel prices, income, population, and other variables. Predictions regarding fuel demand included:

- Gasoline demand in the transportation sector is expected to decline from approximately 15.8 billion gallons in 2017 to between 12.3 billion and 12.7 billion gallons in 2030 (5)
- Diesel demand in the transportation sector is expected to rise, increasing from approximately 3.7 billion diesel gallons in 2015 to approximately 4.7 billion in 2030 (5)
- Data from the Department of Energy states that approximately 3.9 billion gallons of diesel fuel were consumed in 2019 (6)

The most recent data provided by the EIA for energy use in California by demand sector is from 2018 and is reported as follows:

- Approximately 39.3% transportation
- Approximately 23.2% industrial
- Approximately 18.7% residential
- Approximately 18.9% commercial (7)

In 2020, total system electric generation for California was 272,576 gigawatt hours (GWh). California's massive electricity in-state generation system generated approximately 190,913 GWh which accounted for approximately 70% of the electricity it uses; the rest was imported from the Pacific Northwest (15%) and the U.S. Southwest (15%) (8). Natural gas is the main source for electricity generation at 42.97% of the total in-state electric generation system power as shown in Table 2-1.

TABLE 2-1: TOTAL ELECRCITY SYSTEM POWER (CALIFORNIA 2020)

Fuel Type	California In-State Generation (GWh)	% of California In-State Generation	Northwest Imports (GWh)	Southwest Imports (GWh)	Total Imports (GWh)	% of Imports	Total California Energy Mix	Total California Power Mix
Coal	317	0.17%	194	6,963	7,157	8.76%	7,474	2.74%
Natural Gas	92,298	48.35%	70	8,654	8,724	10.68%	101,022	37.06%
Oil	30	0.02%	-	-	0	0.00%	30	0.01%
Other (Waste Heat/Petroleum Coke)	384	0.20%	125	9	134	0.16%	518	0.19%
Nuclear	16,280	8.53%	672	8,481	9,154	11.21%	25,434	9.33%
Large Hydro	17,938	9.40%	14,078	1,259	15,337	18.78%	33,275	12.21%
Unspecified	-	0.00%	12,870	1,745	14,615	17.90%	14,615	5.36%
Non-Renewable and Unspecified Totals	127,248	66.65%	28,009	27,111	55,120	67.50%	182,368	66.91%
Biomass	5,680	2.97%	975	25	1,000	1.22%	6,679	2.45%
Geothermal	11,345	5.94%	166	1,825	1,991	2.44%	13,336	4.89%
Small Hydro	3,476	1.82%	320	2	322	0.39%	3,798	1.39%
Solar	29,456	15.43%	284	6,312	6,596	8.08%	36,052	13.23%
Wind	13,708	7.18%	11,438	5,197	16,635	20.37%	30,343	11.13%
Renewable Totals	63,665	33.35%	13,184	13,359	26,543	32.50%	90,208	33.09%
System Totals	190,913	100.00%	41,193	40,471	81,663	100.00%	272,576	100.00%

Source: CECs 2020 Total System Electric Generation

An updated summary of, and context for energy consumption and energy demands within the State is presented in “U.S. Energy Information Administration, California State Profile and Energy Estimates, Quick Facts” excerpted below (9):

- California was the seventh-largest producer of crude oil among the 50 states in 2019, and, as of January 2020, it ranked third in oil refining capacity. Foreign suppliers, led by Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Ecuador, and Colombia, provided more than half of the crude oil refined in California in 2019.
- California is the largest consumer of both jet fuel and motor gasoline among the 50 states and accounted for 17% of the nation’s jet fuel consumption and 11% of motor gasoline consumption in 2019. The state is the second-largest consumer of all petroleum products combined, accounting for 10% of the U.S. total. In 2018, California’s energy consumption was the second highest among the states, but its per capita energy consumption was the fourth-lowest due in part to its mild climate and its energy efficiency programs.
- In 2019, California was the nation’s top producer of electricity from solar, geothermal, and biomass energy and the state was second in the nation in conventional hydroelectric power generation.
- In 2019, California was the fourth largest electricity producer in the nation, but the state was also the nation’s largest importer of electricity and received about 28% of its electricity supply from generating facilities outside of California, including imports from Mexico.

As indicated above, California is one of the nation’s leading energy-producing states, and California’s per capita energy use is among the nation’s most efficient. Given the nature of the Project, the remainder of this discussion will focus on the three sources of energy that are most relevant to the Project—namely, electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel for vehicle trips associated with the uses planned for the Project.

2.2 ELECTRICITY

The usage associated with electricity use were calculated using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) version 2022.1. The Southern California region’s electricity reliability has been of concern for the past several years due to the planned retirement of aging facilities that depend upon once-through cooling technologies, as well as the June 2013 retirement of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (San Onofre). While the once-through cooling phase-out has been ongoing since the May 2010 adoption of the State Water Resources Control Board’s once-through cooling policy, the retirement of San Onofre complicated the situation. California ISO studies revealed the extent to which the South California Air Basin (SCAB) and the San Diego Air Basin (SDAB) region were vulnerable to low-voltage and post-transient voltage instability concerns. A preliminary plan to address these issues was detailed in the 2013 Integrative Energy Policy Report (IEPR) after a collaborative process with other energy agencies, utilities, and air districts. Similarly, the subsequent 2021 IEPR’s provides information and policy recommendations on advancing a clean, reliable, and affordable energy system (10).

Electricity is currently provided to the Project by Southern California Edison (SCE). SCE provides electric power to more than 15 million persons in 15 counties and in 180 incorporated cities, within a service area encompassing approximately 50,000 square miles. Based on SCE's 2020 Power Content Label Mix, SCE derives electricity from varied energy resources including: fossil fuels, hydroelectric generators, nuclear power plants, geothermal power plants, solar power generation, and wind farms. SCE also purchases from independent power producers and utilities, including out-of-state suppliers (11).

California's electricity industry is an organization of traditional utilities, private generating companies, and state agencies, each with a variety of roles and responsibilities to ensure that electrical power is provided to consumers. The California Independent Service Operator (ISO) is a nonprofit public benefit corporation and is the impartial operator of the State's wholesale power grid and is charged with maintaining grid reliability, and to direct uninterrupted electrical energy supplies to California's homes and communities. While utilities still own transmission assets, the ISO routes electrical power along these assets, maximizing the use of the transmission system and its power generation resources. The ISO matches buyers and sellers of electricity to ensure that enough power is available to meet demand. To these ends, every five minutes the ISO forecasts electrical demands, accounts for operating reserves, and assigns the lowest cost power plant unit to meet demands while ensuring adequate system transmission capacities and capabilities (12).

Part of the ISO's charge is to plan and coordinate grid enhancements to ensure that electrical power is provided to California consumers. To this end, utilities file annual transmission expansion/modification plans to accommodate the State's growing electrical needs. The ISO reviews and either approves or denies the proposed additions. In addition, and perhaps most importantly, the ISO works with other areas in the western United States electrical grid to ensure that adequate power supplies are available to the State. In this manner, continuing reliable and affordable electrical power is assured to existing and new consumers throughout the State.

Tables 2-2 identifies SCE's specific proportional shares of electricity sources in 2020. As indicated in Table 2-2, the 2020 SCE Power Mix has renewable energy at 30.9% of the overall energy resources. Geothermal resources are at 5.5%, wind power is at 9.4%, large hydroelectric sources are at 3.3%, solar energy is at 15.1%, and coal is at 0% (11).

TABLE 2-2: SCE 2020 POWER CONTENT MIX

Energy Resources	2020 SCE Power Mix
Eligible Renewable	30.9%
Biomass & Waste	0.1%
Geothermal	5.5%
Eligible Hydroelectric	0.8%
Solar	15.1%
Wind	9.4%
Coal	0.0%
Large Hydroelectric	3.3%
Natural Gas	15.2%
Nuclear	8.4%
Other	0.3%
Unspecified Sources of power*	42.0%
Total	100%

* "Unspecified sources of power" means electricity from transactions that are not traceable to specific generation sources

2.3 NATURAL GAS

The following summary of natural gas customers and volumes, supplies, delivery of supplies, storage, service options, and operations is excerpted from information provided by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).

“The CPUC regulates natural gas utility service for approximately 10.8 million customers that receive natural gas from Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E), Southern California Gas (SoCalGas), San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), Southwest Gas, and several smaller natural gas utilities. The CPUC also regulates independent storage operators: Lodi Gas Storage, Wild Goose Storage, Central Valley Storage and Gill Ranch Storage.

California's natural gas utilities provide service to over 11 million gas meters. SoCalGas and PG&E provide service to about 5.9 million and 4.3 million customers, respectively, while SDG&E provides service to over 800, 000 customers. In 2018, California gas utilities forecasted that they would deliver about 4740 million cubic feet per day (MMcfd) of gas to their customers, on average, under normal weather conditions.

The overwhelming majority of natural gas utility customers in California are residential and small commercials customers, referred to as "core" customers. Larger volume gas customers, like electric generators and industrial customers, are called "noncore" customers. Although very small in number relative to core customers, noncore customers consume about 65% of the natural gas delivered by the state's natural gas utilities, while core customers consume about 35%.

A significant amount of gas (about 19%, or 1131 MMcfd, of the total forecasted California consumption in 2018) is also directly delivered to some California large volume consumers, without being transported over the regulated utility pipeline system. Those customers, referred to as "bypass" customers, take service directly from interstate pipelines or directly from California producers.

SDG&E and Southwest Gas' southern division are wholesale customers of SoCalGas, i.e., they receive deliveries of gas from SoCalGas and in turn deliver that gas to their own customers. (Southwest Gas also provides natural gas distribution service in the Lake Tahoe area.) Similarly, West Coast Gas, a small gas utility, is a wholesale customer of PG&E. Some other wholesale customers are municipalities like the cities of Palo Alto, Long Beach, and Vernon, which are not regulated by the CPUC.

Natural gas from out-of-state production basins is delivered into California via the interstate natural gas pipeline system. The major interstate pipelines that deliver out-of-state natural gas to California gas utilities are Gas Transmission Northwest Pipeline, Kern River Pipeline, Transwestern Pipeline, El Paso Pipeline, Ruby Pipeline, Mojave Pipeline, and Tuscarora. Another pipeline, the North Baja - Baja Norte Pipeline takes gas off the El Paso Pipeline at the California/Arizona border and delivers that gas through California into Mexico. While the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulates the transportation of natural gas on the interstate pipelines, and authorizes rates for that service, the California Public Utilities Commission may participate in FERC regulatory proceedings to represent the interests of California natural gas consumers.

The gas transported to California gas utilities via the interstate pipelines, as well as some of the California-produced gas, is delivered into the PG&E and SoCalGas intrastate natural gas transmission pipeline systems (commonly referred to as California's "backbone" pipeline system). Natural gas on the utilities' backbone pipeline systems is then delivered to the local transmission and distribution pipeline systems, or to natural gas storage fields. Some large volume noncore customers take natural gas delivery directly off the high-pressure backbone and local transmission pipeline systems, while core customers and other noncore customers take delivery off the utilities' distribution pipeline systems. The state's natural gas utilities operate over 100,000 miles of transmission and distribution pipelines, and thousands more miles of service lines.

Bypass customers take most of their deliveries directly off the Kern/Mojave pipeline system, but they also take a significant amount of gas from California production.

PG&E and SoCalGas own and operate several natural gas storage fields that are located within their service territories in northern and southern California, respectively. These storage fields, and four independently owned storage utilities - Lodi Gas Storage, Wild Goose Storage, Central Valley Storage, and Gill Ranch Storage - help meet peak seasonal and daily natural gas demand and allow California natural gas customers to secure natural gas supplies more efficiently. PG&E is a 25% owner of the Gill Ranch Storage field. These storage fields provide a significant amount of infrastructure capacity to help meet

California's natural gas requirements, and without these storage fields, California would need much more pipeline capacity in order to meet peak gas requirements.

Prior to the late 1980s, California regulated utilities provided virtually all natural gas services to all their customers. Since then, the Commission has gradually restructured the California gas industry in order to give customers more options while assuring regulatory protections for those customers that wish to, or are required to, continue receiving utility-provided services.

The option to purchase natural gas from independent suppliers is one of the results of this restructuring process. Although the regulated utilities procure natural gas supplies for most core customers, core customers have the option to purchase natural gas from independent natural gas marketers, called "core transport agents" (CTA). Contact information for core transport agents can be found on the utilities' web sites. Noncore customers, on the other hand, make natural gas supply arrangements directly with producers or with marketers.

Another option resulting from the restructuring process occurred in 1993, when the Commission removed the utilities' storage service responsibility for noncore customers, along with the cost of this service from noncore customers' transportation rates. The Commission also encouraged the development of independent storage fields, and in subsequent years, all the independent storage fields in California were established. Noncore customers and marketers may now take storage service from the utility or from an independent storage provider (if available), and pay for that service, or may opt to take no storage service at all. For core customers, the Commission assures that the utility has adequate storage capacity set aside to meet core requirements, and core customers pay for that service.

In a 1997 decision, the Commission adopted PG&E's "Gas Accord", which unbundled PG&E's backbone transmission costs from noncore transportation rates. This decision gave customers and marketers the opportunity to obtain pipeline capacity rights on PG&E's backbone transmission pipeline system, if desired, and pay for that service at rates authorized by the Commission. The Gas Accord also required PG&E to set aside a certain amount of backbone transmission capacity in order to deliver gas to its core customers. Subsequent Commission decisions modified and extended the initial terms of the Gas Accord. The "Gas Accord" framework is still in place today for PG&E's backbone and storage rates and services and is now simply referred to as PG&E Gas Transmission and Storage (GT&S).

In a 2006 decision, the Commission adopted a similar gas transmission framework for Southern California, called the "firm access rights" system. SoCalGas and SDG&E implemented the firm access rights (FAR) system in 2008, and it is now referred to as the backbone transmission system (BTS) framework. As under the PG&E backbone transmission system, SoCalGas backbone transmission costs are unbundled from noncore transportation rates. Noncore customers and marketers may obtain, and pay for, firm backbone transmission capacity at various receipt points on the SoCalGas system. A

certain amount of backbone transmission capacity is obtained for core customers to assure meeting their requirements.

Many if not most noncore customers now use a marketer to provide for several of the services formerly provided by the utility. That is, a noncore customer may simply arrange for a marketer to procure its supplies, and obtain any needed storage and backbone transmission capacity, in order to assure that it will receive its needed deliveries of natural gas supplies. Core customers still mainly rely on the utilities for procurement service, but they have the option to take procurement service from a CTA. Backbone transmission and storage capacity is either set aside or obtained for core customers in amounts to assure very high levels of service.

In order properly operate their natural gas transmission pipeline and storage systems, PG&E and SoCalGas must balance the amount of gas received into the pipeline system and delivered to customers or to storage fields. Some of these utilities' storage capacity is dedicated to this service, and under most circumstances, customers do not need to precisely match their deliveries with their consumption. However, when too much or too little gas is expected to be delivered into the utilities' systems, relative to the amount being consumed, the utilities require customers to more precisely match up their deliveries with their consumption. And, if customers do not meet certain delivery requirements, they could face financial penalties. The utilities do not profit from these financial penalties - the amounts are then returned to customers as a whole. If the utilities find that they are unable to deliver all the gas that is expected to be consumed, they may even call for a curtailment of some gas deliveries. These curtailments are typically required for just the largest, noncore customers. It has been many years since there has been a significant curtailment of core customers in California." (13)

As indicated in the preceding discussions, natural gas is available from a variety of in-state and out-of-state sources and is provided throughout the state in response to market supply and demand. Complementing available natural gas resources, biogas may soon be available via existing delivery systems, thereby increasing the availability and reliability of resources in total. The CPUC oversees utility purchases and transmission of natural gas to ensure reliable and affordable natural gas deliveries to existing and new consumers throughout the State.

2.4 TRANSPORTATION ENERGY RESOURCES

The Project would generate additional vehicle trips with resulting consumption of energy resources, predominantly gasoline and diesel fuel. The Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) identified 36.2 million registered vehicles in California (14), and those vehicles consume an estimated 17.2 billion gallons of fuel each year¹. Gasoline (and other vehicle fuels) are commercially provided commodities and would be available to the Project patrons and employees via commercial outlets.

¹ Fuel consumptions estimated utilizing information from EMFAC2021.

California's on-road transportation system includes 396,616 lane miles, more than 26.6 million passenger vehicles and light trucks, and almost 9.0 million medium- and heavy-duty vehicles (14). While gasoline consumption has been declining since 2008 it is still by far the dominant fuel. California is the second-largest consumer of petroleum products, after Texas, and accounts for 10% of the nation's total consumption. The state is the largest U.S. consumer of motor gasoline and jet fuel, and 85% of the petroleum consumed in California is used in the transportation sector (15).

California accounts for less than 1% of total U.S. natural gas reserves and production. As with crude oil, California's natural gas production has experienced a gradual decline since 1985. In 2019, about 37% of the natural gas delivered to consumers went to the state's industrial sector, and about 28% was delivered to the electric power sector. Natural gas fueled more than two-fifths of the state's utility-scale electricity generation in 2019. The residential sector, where two-thirds of California households use natural gas for home heating, accounted for 22% of natural gas deliveries. The commercial sector received 12% of the deliveries to end users and the transportation sector consumed the remaining 1% (15).

This page intentionally left blank

3 REGULATORY BACKGROUND

Federal and state agencies regulate energy use and consumption through various means and programs. On the federal level, the United States Department of Transportation, the United States Department of Energy, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are three federal agencies with substantial influence over energy policies and programs. On the state level, the CPUC and the CEC are two agencies with authority over different aspects of energy. Relevant federal and state energy-related laws and plans are summarized below.

3.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

3.1.1 INTERMODAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EFFICIENCY ACT OF 1991 (ISTEA)

The ISTEA promoted the development of inter-modal transportation systems to maximize mobility as well as address national and local interests in air quality and energy. ISTEA contained factors that Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) were to address in developing transportation plans and programs, including some energy-related factors. To meet the new ISTEA requirements, MPOs adopted explicit policies defining the social, economic, energy, and environmental values guiding transportation decisions.

3.1.2 THE TRANSPORTATION EQUITY ACT FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (TEA-21)

The TEA-21 was signed into law in 1998 and builds upon the initiatives established in the ISTEA legislation, discussed above. TEA-21 authorizes highway, highway safety, transit, and other efficient surface transportation programs. TEA-21 continues the program structure established for highways and transit under ISTEA, such as flexibility in the use of funds, emphasis on measures to improve the environment, and focus on a strong planning process as the foundation of good transportation decisions. TEA-21 also provides for investment in research and its application to maximize the performance of the transportation system through, for example, deployment of Intelligent Transportation Systems, to help improve operations and management of transportation systems and vehicle safety.

3.2 CALIFORNIA REGULATIONS

3.2.1 INTEGRATED ENERGY POLICY REPORT (IEPR)

Senate Bill 1389 (Bowen, Chapter 568, Statutes of 2002) requires the CEC to prepare a biennial integrated energy policy report that assesses major energy trends and issues facing the state's electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel sectors and provides policy recommendations to conserve resources; protect the environment; ensure reliable, secure, and diverse energy supplies; enhance the state's economy; and protect public health and safety (Public Resources Code § 25301[a]). The CEC prepares these assessments and associated policy recommendations every two years, with updates in alternate years, as part of the Integrated Energy Policy Report.

The 2021 IEPR was adopted February 22, 2022, and continues to work towards improving electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel energy use in California. The 2021 IEPR provides

the results of the CEC’s assessments of a variety of energy issues facing California. Many of these issues will require action if the state is to meet its climate, energy, air quality, and other environmental goals while maintaining reliability and controlling costs. Additionally, the 2021 IEPR provides the results of the CEC’s assessments of a variety of energy issues facing California. Many of these issues will require action if the state is to meet its climate, energy, air quality, and other environmental goals while maintaining reliability and controlling costs (16).

3.2.2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY PLAN

The CEC is responsible for preparing the State Energy Plan, which identifies emerging trends related to energy supply, demand, conservation, public health and safety, and the maintenance of a healthy economy. The Plan calls for the state to assist in the transformation of the transportation system to improve air quality, reduce congestion, and increase the efficient use of fuel supplies with the least environmental and energy costs. To further this policy, the plan identifies several strategies, including assistance to public agencies and fleet operators and encouragement of urban designs that reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and accommodate pedestrian and bicycle access.

3.2.3 CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 6, ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24 Part 6: The California Energy Code was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California’s energy consumption.

The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods. CCR, Title 24, Part 11: California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on August 1, 2009, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission.

CALGreen is updated on a regular basis, with the most recent approved update consisting of the 2022 California Green Building Code Standards that will be effective on January 1, 2023. The CEC anticipates that the 2022 energy code will provide \$1.5 billion in consumer benefits and reduce GHG emissions by 10 million metric tons (17). The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made. These require, among other items (18):

NONRESIDENTIAL MANDATORY MEASURES

- Short-term bicycle parking. If the new project or an additional alteration is anticipated to generate visitor traffic, provide permanently anchored bicycle racks within 200 feet of the visitors’ entrance, readily visible to passers-by, for 5% of new visitor motorized vehicle parking spaces being added, with a minimum of one two-bike capacity rack (5.106.4.1.1).
- Long-term bicycle parking. For new buildings with tenant spaces that have 10 or more tenant-occupants, provide secure bicycle parking for 5% of the tenant-occupant vehicular parking spaces with a minimum of one bicycle parking facility (5.106.4.1.2).

- Designated parking for clean air vehicles. In new projects or additions to alterations that add 10 or more vehicular parking spaces, provide designated parking for any combination of low-emitting, fuel-efficient and carpool/van pool vehicles as shown in Table 5.106.5.2 (5.106.5.2).
- EV charging stations. New construction shall facilitate the future installation of EV supply equipment. The compliance requires empty raceways for future conduit and documentation that the electrical system has adequate capacity for the future load. The number of spaces to be provided for is contained in Table 5.106.5.3.3 (5.106.5.3). Additionally, Table 5.106.5.4.1 specifies requirements for the installation of raceway conduit and panel power requirements for medium- and heavy-duty electric vehicle supply equipment for warehouses, grocery stores, and retail stores.
- Outdoor light pollution reduction. Outdoor lighting systems shall be designed to meet the backlight, uplight and glare ratings per Table 5.106.8 (5.106.8).
- Construction waste management. Recycle and/or salvage for reuse a minimum of 65% of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste in accordance with Section 5.408.1.1, 5.405.1.2, or 5.408.1.3; or meet a local construction and demolition waste management ordinance, whichever is more stringent (5.408.1).
- Excavated soil and land clearing debris. 100% of trees, stumps, rocks and associated vegetation and soils resulting primarily from land clearing shall be reuse or recycled. For a phased project, such material may be stockpiled on site until the storage site is developed (5.408.3).
- Recycling by Occupants. Provide readily accessible areas that serve the entire building and are identified for the depositing, storage, and collection of non-hazardous materials for recycling, including (at a minimum) paper, corrugated cardboard, glass, plastics, organic waste, and metals or meet a lawfully enacted local recycling ordinance, if more restrictive (5.410.1).
- Water conserving plumbing fixtures and fittings. Plumbing fixtures (water closets and urinals) and fittings (faucets and showerheads) shall comply with the following:
 - Water Closets. The effective flush volume of all water closets shall not exceed 1.28 gallons per flush (5.303.3.1)
 - Urinals. The effective flush volume of wall-mounted urinals shall not exceed 0.125 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.1). The effective flush volume of floor-mounted or other urinals shall not exceed 0.5 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.2).
 - Showerheads. Single showerheads shall have a minimum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute and 80 psi (5.303.3.3.1). When a shower is served by more than one showerhead, the combine flow rate of all showerheads and/or other shower outlets controlled by a single valve shall not exceed 1.8 gallons per minute at 80 psi (5.303.3.3.2).
 - Faucets and fountains. Nonresidential lavatory faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 0.5 gallons per minute at 60 psi (5.303.3.4.1). Kitchen faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute of 60 psi (5.303.3.4.2). Wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute (5.303.3.4.3). Metering faucets shall not deliver more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.4). Metering faucets for wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate not more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.5).

- Outdoor potable water uses in landscaped areas. Nonresidential developments shall comply with a local water efficient landscape ordinance or the current California Department of Water Resources' Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (MWELO), whichever is more stringent (5.304.1).
- Water meters. Separate submeters or metering devices shall be installed for new buildings or additions in excess of 50,000 sf or for excess consumption where any tenant within a new building or within an addition that is project to consume more than 1,000 gallons per day (GPD) (5.303.1.1 and 5.303.1.2).
- Outdoor water uses in rehabilitated landscape projects equal or greater than 2,500 sf. Rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscape area equal to or greater than 2,500 sf requiring a building or landscape permit (5.304.3).
- Commissioning. For new buildings 10,000 sf and over, building commissioning shall be included in the design and construction processes of the building project to verify that the building systems and components meet the owner's or owner representative's project requirements (5.410.2).

3.2.4 AB 1493 PAVLEY REGULATIONS AND FUEL EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

California AB 1493, enacted on July 22, 2002, required CARB to develop and adopt regulations that reduce GHGs emitted by passenger vehicles and light duty trucks. Under this legislation, CARB adopted regulations to reduce GHG emissions from non-commercial passenger vehicles (cars and light-duty trucks). Although aimed at reducing GHG emissions, specifically, a co-benefit of the Pavley standards is an improvement in fuel efficiency and consequently a reduction in fuel consumption.

3.2.5 CALIFORNIA'S RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD (RPS)

First established in 2002 under Senate Bill (SB) 1078, California's Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) requires retail sellers of electric services to increase procurement from eligible renewable resources to 33% of total retail sales by 2020 (19).

3.2.6 CLEAN ENERGY AND POLLUTION REDUCTION ACT OF 2015 (SB 350)

In October 2015, the legislature approved, and the Governor signed SB 350, which reaffirms California's commitment to reducing its GHG emissions and addressing climate change. Key provisions include an increase in the renewables portfolio standard (RPS), higher energy efficiency requirements for buildings, initial strategies towards a regional electricity grid, and improved infrastructure for electric vehicle charging stations. Specifically, SB 350 requires the following to reduce statewide GHG emissions:

- Increase the amount of electricity procured from renewable energy sources from 33% to 50% by 2030, with interim targets of 40% by 2024, and 25% by 2027.
- Double the energy efficiency in existing buildings by 2030. This target will be achieved through the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC), the CEC, and local publicly owned utilities.

- Reorganize the Independent System Operator (ISO) to develop more regional electricity transmission markets and to improve accessibility in these markets, which will facilitate the growth of renewable energy markets in the western United States (California Leginfo 2015).

This page intentionally left blank

4 PROJECT ENERGY DEMANDS AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES

4.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Appendix F of the *State CEQA Guidelines* (1), states that the means of achieving the goal of energy conservation includes the following:

- Decreasing overall per capita energy consumption;
- Decreasing reliance on fossil fuels such as coal, natural gas, and oil; and
- Increasing reliance on renewable energy sources.

In compliance with Appendix G of the *State CEQA Guidelines* (1), this report analyzes the project's anticipated energy use during construction and operations to determine if the Project would:

- Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation; or
- Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency

4.2 METHODOLOGY

Information from the CalEEMod version 2022 outputs for the *OLC3 Air Quality Impact Analysis* (AQIA) (20) was utilized in this analysis, detailing Project related construction equipment, transportation energy demands, and facility energy demands.

4.2.1 CAL EEMOD

In May 2021, the SCAQMD, in conjunction with the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) and other California air districts, released the latest version of the CalEEMod Version 2022. The purpose of this model is to calculate construction-source and operational-source criteria pollutants and GHG emissions from direct and indirect sources as well as energy usage. (21). Accordingly, the latest version of CalEEMod has been used to determine the proposed Project's anticipated transportation and facility energy demands. Outputs from the annual model runs are provided in Appendices 4.1 through Appendices 4.4.

4.2.2 EMISSION FACTORS MODEL

On May 2, 2022, the EPA approved the 2021 version of the EMISSIONS FACTOR model (EMFAC) web database for use in State Implementation Plan and transportation conformity analyses. EMFAC2021 is a mathematical model that was developed to calculate emission rates, fuel consumption, VMT from motor vehicles that operate on highways, freeways, and local roads in California and is commonly used by the CARB to project changes in future emissions from on-road mobile sources (22). This energy study utilizes the different fuel types for each vehicle class from the annual EMFAC2021 emission inventory in order to derive the average vehicle fuel economy which is then used to determine the estimated annual fuel consumption associated with vehicle usage during Project construction and operational activities. For purposes of analysis, the 2023 and 2024 analysis years were utilized to determine the average vehicle fuel

economy used throughout the duration of the Project. Output from the EMFAC2021 model run is provided in Appendix 4.2.

4.3 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY DEMANDS

The focus within this section is the energy implications of the construction process, specifically the power cost from on-site electricity consumption during construction of the proposed Project.

4.3.1 CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

The total Project construction power costs is the summation of the products of the area (sf) by the construction duration and the typical power cost.

CONSTRUCTION DURATION

For purposes of analysis, construction of Project (retail and industrial components) is expected to last approximately 16 months, commencing in January 2023 and being completed in April 2024 (20). The construction schedule utilized in the analysis, shown in Table 4-1, represents a “worst-case” analysis scenario. The duration of construction activity and associated equipment represents a reasonable approximation of the expected construction fleet as required per the *State CEQA Guidelines* (1).

TABLE 4-1: CONSTRUCTION DURATION

Construction Activity	Start Date	End Date	Days
Site Preparation	1/1/2023	1/13/2023	10
Grading	1/14/2023	2/24/2023	30
Building Construction	2/25/2023	4/19/2024	300
Paving	3/23/2024	4/19/2024	20
Architectural Coating	2/24/2024	4/19/2024	40

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

The *2022 National Construction Estimator* identifies a typical power cost per 1,000 sf of construction per month of \$2.41, which was used to calculate the Project’s total construction power cost (23).

As shown on Table 4-2, the total power cost of the on-site electricity usage during the construction of the Project is estimated to be approximately \$58,473.12.

TABLE 4-2: CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

Land Use	Power Cost (per 1,000 SF of construction per month)	Size (1,000 SF)	Construction Duration (months)	Project Construction Power Cost
High-Cube Fulfillment	\$2.41	774.419	16	\$29,861.60
Parking Lot	\$2.41	672.000	16	\$25,912.32
Strip Retail	\$2.41	30.825	16	\$1,188.61
High Turnover Restaurant	\$2.41	5.000	16	\$192.80
Fast Food Without Drive-Thru	\$2.41	23.775	16	\$916.76
Fast Food With Drive-Thru	\$2.41	10.400	16	\$401.02
CONSTRUCTION POWER COST				\$58,473.12

4.3.2 CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

The total Project construction electricity usage is the summation of the products of the power cost (estimated in Table 4-2) by the utility provider cost per kilowatt hour (kWh) of electricity.

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

The SCE’s general service rate schedule were used to determine the Project’s electrical usage. As of January 1, 2022, SCE’s general service rate is \$0.13 per kilowatt hours (kWh) of electricity for industrial services (24). As shown on Table 4-3, the total electricity usage from on-site Project construction related activities is estimated to be approximately 443,920 kWh.

TABLE 4-3: CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

Land Use	Cost per kWh	Project Construction Electricity Usage (kWh)
High-Cube Fulfillment	\$0.13	226,705
Parking Lot	\$0.13	196,723
Strip Retail	\$0.13	9,024
High Turnover Restaurant	\$0.13	1,464
Fast Food Without Drive-Thru	\$0.13	6,960
Fast Food With Drive-Thru	\$0.13	3,045
CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE		443,920

4.3.3 CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL ESTIMATES

Fuel consumed by construction equipment would be the primary energy resource expended over the course of Project construction.

CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

Consistent with industry standards and typical construction practices, each piece of equipment listed in Table 4-4 will operate up to a total of eight (8) hours per day, or more than two-thirds of the period during which construction activities are allowed pursuant to the code. It should be noted that most pieces of equipment would likely operate for fewer hours per day. A summary of construction equipment assumptions by phase is provided at Table 4-4.

TABLE 4-4: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ASSUMPTIONS

Construction Activity	Equipment	Amount	Hours Per Day
Site Preparation	Crawler Tractors	4	8
	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8
Grading	Crawler Tractors	2	8
	Excavators	2	8
	Graders	1	8
	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8
	Scrapers	2	8
Building/Vertical Construction	Cranes	1	8
	Forklifts	3	8
	Generator Sets	1	8
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8
	Welders	1	8
Paving	Pavers	2	8
	Paving Equipment	2	8
	Rollers	2	8
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	8

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL CONSUMPTION

Project construction activity timeline estimates, construction equipment schedules, equipment power ratings, load factors, and associated fuel consumption estimates are presented in Table 4-5. The aggregate fuel consumption rate for all equipment is estimated at 18.5 horsepower hour per gallon (hp-hr-gal.), obtained from CARB 2018 Emissions Factors Tables and cited fuel consumption rate factors presented in Table D-24 of the Moyer guidelines (25). For the purposes of this analysis, the calculations are based on all construction equipment being diesel-powered which is consistent with industry standards.

Diesel fuel would be supplied by existing commercial fuel providers serving the Project area and region². As presented in Table 4-5, Project construction activities would consume an estimated 49,839 gallons of diesel fuel. Project construction would represent a “single-event” diesel fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources for this purpose.

² Based on Appendix A of the CalEEMod User’s Guide, Construction consists of several types of off-road equipment. Since the majority of the off-road construction equipment used for construction projects are diesel fueled, CalEEMod assumes all of the equipment operates on diesel fuel.

TABLE 4-5: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Activity/Duration	Duration (Days)	Equipment	HP Rating	Quantity	Usage Hours	Load Factor	HP-hrs/day	Total Fuel Consumption (gal. diesel fuel)
Site Preparation	10	Rubber Tired Dozers	367	3	8	0.4	3,523	1,904
		Crawler Tractors	87	4	8	0.43	1,197	647
Grading	30	Excavators	36	2	8	0.38	219	355
		Graders	148	1	8	0.41	485	787
		Rubber Tired Dozers	367	1	8	0.4	1,174	1,904
		Scrapers	423	2	8	0.48	3,249	5,268
		Crawler Tractors	87	2	8	0.43	599	971
Building Construction	300	Cranes	367	1	8	0.29	851	13,807
		Forklifts	82	3	8	0.2	394	6,383
		Generator Sets	14	1	8	0.74	83	1,344
		Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	84	3	8	0.37	746	12,096
		Welders	46	1	8	0.45	166	2,685
Paving	20	Pavers	81	2	8	0.42	544	588
		Paving Equipment	89	2	8	0.36	513	554
		Rollers	36	2	8	0.38	219	237
Architectural Coating	40	Air Compressors	37	1	8	0.48	142	307
CONSTRUCTION FUEL DEMAND (GALLONS DIESEL FUEL)								49,839

4.3.3 CONSTRUCTION TRIPS AND VMT

Construction generates on-road vehicle emissions from vehicle usage for workers, hauling, and vendors commuting to and from the site. The number of workers, hauling, and vendor trips are presented below in Table 4-6. It should be noted that for Vendor Trips, specifically, CalEEMod only assigns Vendor Trips to the Building Construction phase. Vendor trips would likely occur during all phases of construction. As such, the CalEEMod defaults for Vendor Trips have been adjusted based on a ratio of the total vendor trips to the number of days of each subphase of activity.

TABLE 4-6: CONSTRUCTION TRIPS AND VMT

Construction Activity	Worker Trips Per Day	Vendor Trips Per Day	Hauling Trips Per Day
Site Preparation	18	4	0
Grading	20	12	250
Building Construction	352	122	0
Paving	15	0	0
Architectural Coating	70	0	0

4.3.4 CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL ESTIMATES

With respect to estimated VMT for the Project, the construction worker trips (personal vehicles used by workers commuting to the Project from home) would generate an estimated 2,026,120 VMT during the 12 months of construction (20). Based on CalEEMod methodology, it is assumed that 50% of all construction worker trips are from light-duty-auto vehicles (LDA), 25% are from light-duty-trucks (LDT1³), and 25% are from light-duty-trucks (LDT2⁴). Data regarding Project related construction worker trips were based on CalEEMod defaults utilized within the AQIA.

Vehicle fuel efficiencies for LDA, LDT1, and LDT2 were estimated using information generated within the 2021 version of the EMFAC developed by CARB. EMFAC2021 is a mathematical model that was developed to calculate emission rates, fuel consumption, and VMT from motor vehicles that operate on highways, freeways, and local roads in California and is commonly used by the CARB to project changes in future emissions from on-road mobile sources (22). EMFAC2021 was run for the LDA, LDT1, and LDT2 vehicle class within the California sub-area for the 2023 and 2024 calendar years. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.2.

Table 4-7 provides an estimated annual fuel consumption resulting from Project construction worker trips. Based on Table 4-7, it is estimated that 74,730 gallons of fuel will be consumed related to construction worker trips during full construction of the Project.

³ Vehicles under the LDT1 category have a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of less than 6,000 lbs. and equivalent test weight (ETW) of less than or equal to 3,750 lbs.

⁴ Vehicles under the LDT2 category have a GVWR of less than 6,000 lbs. and ETW between 3,751 lbs. and 5,750 lbs.

It should be noted that construction worker trips would represent a “single-event” gasoline fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of fuel resources for this purpose.

TABLE 4-7: CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Year	Construction Activity	Duration (Days)	Worker Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	VMT	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel
							Consumption (gallons)
2023	LDA						
	Site Preparation	10	9	18.5	1,665	30.60	54
	Grading	30	10	18.5	5,550	30.60	181
	Building Construction	220	176	18.5	716,320	30.60	23,408
	LDT1						
	Site Preparation	10	5	18.5	833	24.15	34
	Grading	30	5	18.5	2,775	24.15	115
	Building Construction	220	88	18.5	358,160	24.15	14,830
	LDT2						
	Site Preparation	10	5	18.5	833	23.88	35
	Grading	30	5	18.5	2,775	23.88	116
	Building Construction	220	88	18.5	358,160	23.88	14,997
2024	LDA						
	Building Construction	80	176	18.5	260,480	31.51	8,268
	Paving	20	8	18.5	2,775	31.51	88
	Architectural Coating	40	36	18.5	26,270	31.51	834
	LDT1						
	Building Construction	80	88	18.5	130,240	24.62	5,289
	Paving	20	4	18.5	1,388	24.62	56
	Architectural Coating	40	18	18.5	13,135	24.62	533
	LDT2						
	Building Construction	80	88	18.5	130,240	24.57	5,300
	Paving	20	4	18.5	1,388	24.57	56
	Architectural Coating	40	18	18.5	13,135	24.57	535
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL CONSUMPTION							74,730

4.3.5 CONSTRUCTION VENDOR AND HAULING FUEL ESTIMATES

With respect to estimated VMT, the construction vendor trips (vehicles that deliver materials to the site during construction) and haul truck trips (vehicles that haul soil during grading activities) would generate an estimated 527,400 VMT along area roadways for the Project over the duration of construction activity (20). It is assumed that 50% of all vendor trips are from medium-heavy duty trucks (MHDT) and 50% are from heavy-heavy duty trucks (HHDT). It is assumed that all haul truck trips are from HHDTs. These assumptions are consistent with the CalEEMod defaults utilized within the within the AQIA (20). Vehicle fuel efficiencies for MHDTs and HHDTs were estimated using information generated within EMFAC2021. EMFAC2021 was run for the MHDT and HHDT vehicle classes within the California sub-area for the 2023 and 2024 calendar years. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.2.

Based on Table 4-8, it is estimated that 77,986 gallons of fuel will be consumed related to construction vendor and hauling trips during full construction of the Project.

TABLE 4-8: CONSTRUCTION VENDOR AND HAULING FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Year	Construction Activity	Duration (Days)	Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	VMT	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel
							Consumption (gallons)
2023	MHDT						
	Site Preparation	10	2	10.2	204	8.42	24
	Grading	30	6	10.2	1,836	8.42	218
	Building Construction	220	61	10.2	136,884	8.42	16,254
	HHDT (Vendor)						
	Site Preparation	10	2	10.2	204	6.04	34
	Grading	30	6	10.2	1,836	6.04	304
	Building Construction	220	61	10.2	136,884	6.04	22,653
	HHDT (Hauling)						
Grading	30	250	20	150,000	6.12	24,506	
2024	MHDT						
	Building Construction	80	61	10.2	49,776	8.49	5,860
	HHDT (Vendor)						
	Building Construction	80	61	10.2	49,776	6.12	8,132
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION VENDOR AND HAULING FUEL CONSUMPTION							77,986

It should be noted that Project construction vendor trips would represent a “single-event” diesel fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources for this purpose.

4.3.6 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY EFFICIENCY/CONSERVATION MEASURES

Starting in 2014, CARB adopted the nation's first regulation aimed at cleaning up off-road construction equipment such as bulldozers, graders, and backhoes. These requirements ensure fleets gradually turnover the oldest and dirtiest equipment to newer, cleaner models and prevent fleets from adding older, dirtier equipment. As such, the equipment used for Project construction would conform to CARB regulations and California emissions standards. It should also be noted that there are no unusual Project characteristics or construction processes that would require the use of equipment that would be more energy intensive than is used for comparable activities; or equipment that would not conform to current emissions standards (and related fuel efficiencies). Equipment employed in the construction of the Project would therefore not result in inefficient wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of fuel.

Construction contractors would be required to comply with applicable CARB regulation regarding retrofitting, repowering, or replacement of diesel off-road construction equipment. Additionally, CARB has adopted the Airborne Toxic Control Measure to limit heavy-duty diesel motor vehicle idling in order to reduce public exposure to diesel particulate matter and other Toxic Air Contaminants. Compliance with anti-idling and emissions regulations would result in a more efficient use of construction-related energy and the minimization or elimination of wasteful or unnecessary consumption of energy. Idling restrictions and the use of newer engines and equipment would result in less fuel combustion and energy consumption.

Additional construction-source energy efficiencies would occur due to required California regulations and best available control measures (BACM). For example, CCR Title 13, Motor Vehicles, section 2449(d)(3) Idling, limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than five minutes, thereby precluding unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. Section 2449(d)(3) requires that grading plans shall reference the requirement that a sign shall be posted on-site stating that construction workers need to shut off engines at or before five minutes of idling.” In this manner, construction equipment operators are required to be informed that engines are to be turned off at or prior to five minutes of idling. Enforcement of idling limitations is realized through periodic site inspections conducted by City building officials, and/or in response to citizen complaints.

A full analysis related to the energy needed to form construction materials is not included in this analysis due to a lack of detailed Project-specific information on construction materials. At this time, an analysis of the energy needed to create Project-related construction materials would be extremely speculative and thus has not been prepared.

In general, the construction processes promote conservation and efficient use of energy by reducing raw materials demands, with related reduction in energy demands associated with raw materials extraction, transportation, processing, and refinement. Use of materials in bulk reduces energy demands associated with preparation and transport of construction materials as well as

the transport and disposal of construction waste and solid waste in general, with corollary reduced demands on area landfill capacities and energy consumed by waste transport and landfill operations.

4.4 OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMANDS

Energy consumption in support of or related to Project operations would include transportation energy demands (energy consumed by passenger car and truck vehicles accessing the Project site) and facilities energy demands (energy consumed by building operations and site maintenance activities).

4.4.1 TRANSPORTATION ENERGY DEMANDS

Energy that would be consumed by Project-generated traffic is a function of total VMT and estimated vehicle fuel economies of vehicles accessing the Project site. The VMT per vehicle class can be determined by evaluated in the vehicle fleet mix and the total VMT. As with worker and vendors trips, operational vehicle fuel efficiencies were estimated using information generated within EMFAC2021 developed by CARB (22). EMFAC2021 was run for the Riverside County area for the 2024 calendar year. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.2.

As summarized on Table 4-9 the Project will result in 48,633,426 annual VMT and an estimated annual fuel consumption of 2,439,088 gallons of fuel.

TABLE 4-9: TOTAL PROJECT-GENERATED TRAFFIC ANNUAL FUEL CONSUMPTION

Vehicle Type	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Annual VMT	Estimated Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons)
LDA	31.51	22,529,232	715,073
LDT1	24.62	1,824,669	74,106
LDT2	24.57	8,959,048	364,599
MDV	19.79	7,313,382	369,616
LHDT1	16.16	1,556,356	96,299
LHDT2	15.52	439,402	28,320
MHDT	8.49	951,998	112,083
HHDT	6.12	3,639,440	594,588
OBUS	6.45	23,384	3,623
UBUS	4.49	14,816	3,300
MCY	41.75	1,077,448	25,805
SBUS	6.41	50,567	7,894
MH	5.79	253,685	43,782
TOTAL (ALL VEHICLES)		48,633,426	2,439,088

mpg= miles per gallon; LDA= light-duty auto; LDT1= light-duty truck; LDT2= light-duty truck; MDV= medium-duty truck; LHDT1= light-heavy-duty truck; LHDT2= light-heavy-duty truck; MHDT= medium-heavy-duty truck; HHDT= heavy-heavy-duty truck; OBUS= other bus; UBUS= urban bus; MCY= motorcycle; SBUS= school bus; MH= motor home

4.4.2 FACILITY ENERGY DEMANDS

It is conservatively assumed that the proposed Project would include installation of two diesel-fueled emergency fire pumps rated at 190 brake horsepower (bhp) each. The analysis assumed that each pump could potentially operate for up to half an hour per day, one day per week, for a total of 26 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes. Based on SCAQMD’s default diesel fuel consumption factor of 0.0511 gallons of fuel per bhp-hour, it is estimated that the two engines each operating for 26 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes would consume a total of approximately 505 gallons of diesel fuel per year.

4.4.3 FACILITY ENERGY DEMANDS

Project building operations activities would result in the consumption of natural gas and electricity, which would be supplied to the Project by SoCalGas and SCE, respectively. Annual natural gas and electricity demands of the Project are summarized in Table 4-10.

TABLE 4-10: PROJECT ANNUAL OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMAND SUMMARY

Land Use	Natural Gas Demand (kBTU/year)	Electricity Demand (kWh/year)
High-Cube Fulfillment	3,564,148	0
Parking Lot	589,003	0
Strip Retail	300,802	182,551
High Turnover Restaurant	175,575	570,294
Fast Food Without Drive-Thru	834,857	2,711,749
Fast Food With Drive-Thru	365,195	1,186,212
TOTAL PROJECT ENERGY DEMAND	5,829,580	4,650,806

4.4.4 OPERATIONAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY/CONSERVATION MEASURES

Energy efficiency/energy conservation attributes of the Project would be complemented by increasingly stringent state and federal regulatory actions addressing vehicle fuel economies and vehicle emissions standards; and enhanced building/utilities energy efficiencies mandated under California building codes (e.g., Title24, California Green Building Standards Code).

ENHANCED VEHICLE FUEL EFFICIENCIES

Project annual fuel consumption estimates presented previously in Table 4-9 represent likely potential maximums that would occur for the Project. Under subsequent future conditions, average fuel economies of vehicles accessing the Project site can be expected to improve as older, less fuel-efficient vehicles are removed from circulation, and in response to fuel economy and emissions standards imposed on newer vehicles entering the circulation system.

Enhanced fuel economies realized pursuant to federal and state regulatory actions, and related transition of vehicles to alternative energy sources (e.g., electricity, natural gas, biofuels,

hydrogen cells) would likely decrease future gasoline fuel demands per VMT. Location of the Project proximate to regional and local roadway systems tends to reduce VMT within the region, acting to reduce regional vehicle energy demands.

4.5 SUMMARY

4.5.1 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY DEMANDS

The estimated power cost of on-site electricity usage during the construction of the Project is assumed to be approximately \$58,473.12. Additionally, based on the assumed power cost, it is estimated that the total electricity usage during construction, after full Project build-out, is calculated to be approximately 443,920 kWh.

Construction equipment used by the Project would result in single event consumption of approximately 49,839 gallons of diesel fuel. Construction equipment use of fuel would not be atypical for the type of construction proposed because there are no aspects of the Project's proposed construction process that are unusual or energy-intensive, and Project construction equipment would conform to the applicable CARB emissions standards, acting to promote equipment fuel efficiencies.

CCR Title 13, Title 13, Motor Vehicles, section 2449(d)(3) Idling, limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than 5 minutes, thereby precluding unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. BACMs inform construction equipment operators of this requirement. Enforcement of idling limitations is realized through periodic site inspections conducted by City building officials, and/or in response to citizen complaints.

Construction worker trips for full construction of the Project would result in the estimated fuel consumption of 74,730 gallons of fuel. Additionally, fuel consumption from construction vendor trips (MHDTs and HHDTs) and haul trucks (HHDTs) will total approximately 77,986 gallons. Diesel fuel would be supplied by City and regional commercial vendors. Indirectly, construction energy efficiencies and energy conservation would be achieved using bulk purchases, transport and use of construction materials. The 2021 IEPR released by the CEC has shown that fuel efficiencies are getting better within on and off-road vehicle engines due to more stringent government requirements (16). As supported by the preceding discussions, Project construction energy consumption would not be considered inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

4.5.2 OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMANDS

TRANSPORTATION ENERGY DEMANDS

Annual vehicular trips and related VMT generated by the operation of the Project would result in a fuel demand of 2,439,088 gallons of fuel.

Fuel would be provided by current and future commercial vendors. Trip generation and VMT generated by the Project are consistent with other retail and industrial uses of similar scale and

configuration, as reflected respectively in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (11th Ed., 2021); and CalEEMod. As such, Project operations would not result in excessive and wasteful vehicle trips and VMT, nor excess and wasteful vehicle energy consumption compared to other industrial uses.

It should be noted that the state strategy for the transportation sector for medium and heavy-duty trucks is focused on making trucks more efficient and expediting truck turnover rather than reducing VMT from trucks. This is in contrast to the passenger vehicle component of the transportation sector where both per-capita VMT reductions and an increase in vehicle efficiency are forecasted to be needed to achieve the overall state emissions reductions goals.

Heavy duty trucks involved in goods movements are generally controlled on the technology side and through fleet turnover of older trucks and engines to newer and cleaner trucks and engines. The first battery-electric heavy-heavy duty trucks are being tested this year and SCAQMD is looking to integrate this new technology into large-scale truck operations. The following state strategies reduce GHG emissions and energy demand from the medium and heavy-duty trucks:

- CARB's Mobile Source Strategy focuses on reducing GHGs through the transition to zero and low emission vehicles and from medium-duty and heavy-duty trucks.
- CARB's Sustainable Freight Action Plan establishes a goal to improve freight efficiency by 25% by 2030, deploy over 100,000 freight vehicles and equipment capable of zero emission operation and maximize both zero and near-zero emission freight vehicles and equipment powered by renewable energy by 2030.
- CARB's Emissions Reduction Plan for Ports and Goods Movement (Goods Movement Plan) in California focuses on reducing heavy-duty truck-related emissions focus on establishment of emissions standards for trucks, fleet turnover, truck retrofits, and restriction on truck idling (CARB 2006). While the focus of Goods Movement Plan is to reduce criteria air pollutant and air toxic emissions, the strategies to reduce these pollutants would also generally have a beneficial effect in reducing GHG emissions.
- CARB's On-Road Truck and Bus Regulation (2010) requires diesel trucks and buses that operate in California to be upgraded to reduce emissions. Newer heavier trucks and buses must meet particulate matter filter requirements beginning January 1, 2012. Lighter and older heavier trucks must be replaced starting January 1, 2015. By January 1, 2023, nearly all trucks and buses will need to have 2010 model year engines or equivalent (26).
- CARB's Heavy-Duty (Tractor-Trailer) GHG Regulation requires SmartWay tractor trailers that include idle-reduction technologies, aerodynamic technologies, and low-rolling resistant tires that would reduce fuel consumption and associated GHG emissions.

The proposed Project would implement project design features that would facilitate the accessibility, parking, and loading of trucks on site.

Enhanced fuel economies realized pursuant to federal and state regulatory actions, and related transition of vehicles to alternative energy sources (e.g., electricity, natural gas, biofuels, hydrogen cells) would likely decrease future gasoline fuel demands per VMT. The location of the Project proximate to regional and local roadway systems tends to reduce VMT within the region, acting to reduce regional vehicle energy demands. The Project would implement sidewalks,

facilitating and encouraging pedestrian access. Facilitating pedestrian and bicycle access would reduce VMT and associated energy consumption. In compliance with the California Green Building Standards Code and City requirements, the Project would promote the use of bicycles as an alternative means of transportation by providing short-term and/or long-term bicycle parking accommodations. As supported by the preceding discussions, Project transportation energy consumption would not be considered inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

FACILITY ENERGY DEMANDS

Project facility operational energy demands are estimated at: 4,650,806 kBTU/year of natural gas; and 5,829,580 kWh/year of electricity. Natural gas would be supplied to the Project by SoCalGas; electricity would be supplied by SCE. The Project includes conventional industrial and retail uses reflecting contemporary energy efficient/energy conserving designs and operational programs. The Project does not include uses that are inherently energy intensive and the energy demands in total would be comparable to other industrial uses and retail of similar scale and configuration.

Lastly, the Project will comply with the applicable Title 24 standards. Compliance itself with applicable Title 24 standards will ensure that the Project energy demands would not be inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

This page intentionally left blank

5 CONCLUSIONS

5.1 ENERGY IMPACT 1

Would the Project result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?

As supported by the preceding analyses, Project construction and operations would not result in the inefficient, wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of energy. The Project would therefore not cause or result in the need for additional energy producing or transmission facilities. The Project would not engage in wasteful or inefficient uses of energy and aims to achieve energy conservations goals within the State of California.

5.2 ENERGY IMPACT 2

Would the Project conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?

The Project's consistency with the applicable state and local plans is discussed below.

CONSISTENCY WITH ISTE A

Transportation and access to the Project site is provided by the local and regional roadway systems. The Project would not interfere with, nor otherwise obstruct intermodal transportation plans or projects that may be realized pursuant to the ISTE A because SCAG is not planning for intermodal facilities on or through the Project site.

CONSISTENCY WITH TEA-21

The Project site is located along major transportation corridors with proximate access to the Interstate freeway system. The site selected for the Project facilitates access, acts to reduce vehicle miles traveled, takes advantage of existing infrastructure systems, and promotes land use compatibilities through collocation of similar uses. The Project supports the strong planning processes emphasized under TEA-21. The Project is therefore consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation of TEA-21.

CONSISTENCY WITH IEPR

Electricity would be provided to the Project by SCE. SCE's *Clean Power and Electrification Pathway* (CPEP) white paper builds on existing state programs and policies. As such, the Project is consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation the goals presented in the 2021 IEPR.

Additionally, the Project will comply with the applicable Title 24 standards which would ensure that the Project energy demands would not be inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary. As such, development of the proposed Project would support the goals presented in the 2021 IEPR.

CONSISTENCY WITH STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY PLAN

The Project site is located along major transportation corridors with proximate access to the Interstate freeway system. The site selected for the Project facilitates access and takes advantage of existing infrastructure systems. The Project therefore supports urban design and planning processes identified under the State of California Energy Plan, is consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation of the State of California Energy Plan.

CONSISTENCY WITH CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 6, ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

The 2022 version of Title 24 was adopted by the CEC and will become effective on January 1, 2023. The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made. The CEC anticipates that the 2022 energy code will provide \$1.5 billion in consumer benefits and reduce GHG emissions by 10 million metric tons (17). Therefore, the Project would not result in a significant impact on energy resources (27). The proposed Project would be subject to Title 24 standards.

CONSISTENCY WITH CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 11, CALGREEN

As previously stated, CCR, Title 24, Part 11: CALGreen is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on January 1, 2009, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission. CALGreen is updated on a regular basis, with the most recent approved update consisting of the 2022 California Green Building Code Standards that were published on July 1, 2022 and will become effective on January 1, 2023. The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made.

CONSISTENCY WITH AB 1493

AB 1493 is not applicable to the Project as it is a statewide measure establishing vehicle emissions standards. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of the requirements under AB 1493.

CONSISTENCY WITH RPS

California's RPS is not applicable to the Project as it is a statewide measure that establishes a renewable energy mix. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of the requirements under RPS.

CONSISTENCY WITH SB 350

The proposed Project would use energy from SCE, which have committed to diversify their portfolio of energy sources by increasing energy from wind and solar sources. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of SB 350. Additionally, the Project would be designed and constructed to implement the energy efficiency measures for new retail and industrial developments.

As shown above, the Project would not conflict with any of the state or local plans. As such, a less than significant impact is expected.

This page intentionally left blank

6 REFERENCES

1. **Association of Environmental Professionals.** *Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act.* As amended in 2018.
2. **City of Perris.** *Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report.* Perris : s.n., 2011.
3. —. *Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan.* 2022.
4. **United States Energy Information Administration.** California State Profile and Energy Estimates. [Online] <https://www.eia.gov/state/data.php?sid=CA#ConsumptionExpenditures>.
5. **California Energy Commission.** *Transportation Energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030.* 2018.
6. **United States Department of Energy.** Alternative Fuels Data Center. [Online] <https://afdc.energy.gov/states/ca>.
7. **United States Energy Information Administration.** California Energy Consumption by End-Use Sector. *California State Profile and Energy Estimates.* [Online] <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA#tabs-2>.
8. **California Energy Commission.** 2020 Total System Electric Generation. [Online] <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2020-total-system-electric-generation>.
9. **United States Energy Information Administration.** California State Profile and Energy Estimates. [Online] <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA>.
10. **California Energy Commission.** Integrated Energy Policy Report. [Online] <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/reports/integrated-energy-policy-report>.
11. —. 2020 Power Content Label - Southern California Edison. [Online] <https://www.energy.ca.gov/filebrowser/download/3902>.
12. **California ISO.** Understanding the ISO. [Online] <http://www.caiso.com/about/Pages/OurBusiness/UnderstandingtheISO/default.aspx>.
13. **California Public Utilities Commission.** Natural Gas and California. [Online] <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/natural-gas/natural-gas-and-california>.
14. **Department of Motor Vehicles.** *State of California Department of Motor Vehicles Statistics For Publication January Through December 2021.* 2021.
15. **United States Energy Information Administration.** California Analysis. *Energy Information Administration.* [Online] <https://www.eia.gov/beta/states/states/ca/analysis>.
16. **California Energy Commission Staff.** 2020 Integrated Energy Policy Report Update. [Online] 2020. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/reports/integrated-energy-policy-report/2020-integrated-energy-policy-report-update>.
17. **California Energy Commission.** Energy Commission Adopts Updated Building Standards to Improve Efficiency, Reduce Emissions from Homes and Businesses. [Online] August 11, 2021. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/news/2021-08/energy-commission-adopts-updated-building-standards-improve-efficiency-reduce-0>.
18. **California Department of General Services.** 2022 CALGreen Code. *CALGreen.* [Online] <https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/CAGBC2022P1>.
19. **California Energy Commission.** Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS). [Online] 2002. <http://www.energy.ca.gov/portfolio/>.

20. **Urban Crossroads, Inc.** *OLC3 Air Quality Impact Analysis*. 2022.
21. **California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA)**. California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). [Online] September 2016. www.caleemod.com.
22. **California Department of Transportation**. EMFAC Software. [Online] <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/air/pages/emfac.htm>.
23. **Pray, Richard**. *2022 National Construction Estimator*. Carlsbad : Craftsman Book Company, 2022.
24. **Southern California Edison**. Schedule GS-1 General Service. *Regulatory Information - Rates Pricing*. [Online] https://library.sce.com/content/dam/sce-doclib/public/regulatory/tariff/electric/schedules/general-service-&-industrial-rates/ELECTRIC_SCHEDULES_GS-1.pdf.
25. **California Air Resources Board**. *Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects For Evaluating Motor Vehicle Registration Fee Projects And Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Projects, Emission Factor Tables*. 2018.
26. —. Truck and Bus Regulation. [Online] <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/truck-and-bus-regulation>.
27. **The California Energy Commission**. 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards. *California Energy Commission*. [Online] 2022. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2022-building-energy-efficiency>.

This page intentionally left blank

7 CERTIFICATIONS

The contents of this energy analysis report represent an accurate depiction of the environmental impacts associated with the proposed OLC3. The information contained in this energy analysis report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at hqureshi@urbanxroads.com.

Haseeb Qureshi
Principal
Urban Crossroads, Inc.
hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

EDUCATION

Master of Science in Environmental Studies
California State University, Fullerton • May 2010

Bachelor of Arts in Environmental Analysis and Design
University of California, Irvine • June 2006

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

AEP – Association of Environmental Professionals
AWMA – Air and Waste Management Association
ASTM – American Society for Testing and Materials

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Planned Communities and Urban Infill – Urban Land Institute • June 2011
Indoor Air Quality and Industrial Hygiene – EMSL Analytical • April 2008
Principles of Ambient Air Monitoring – California Air Resources Board • August 2007
AB2588 Regulatory Standards – Trinity Consultants • November 2006
Air Dispersion Modeling – Lakes Environmental • June 2006

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 4.1:
CALEEMOD EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS

This page intentionally left blank

14428 OLC3 Construction Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Site Preparation (2023) - Unmitigated
 - 3.3. Grading (2023) - Unmitigated
 - 3.5. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated
 - 3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.9. Paving (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.11. Architectural Coating (2024) - Unmitigated

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	14428 OLC3 Construction
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	9.00
Location	33.84682732179293, -117.22284453278542
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Perris
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5580
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	774	1000sqft	17.8	774,419	215,000	—	—	—
Strip Mall	30.8	1000sqft	0.71	30,825	25,900	—	—	—

High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	5.00	1000sqft	0.11	5,000	0.00	—	—	—
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	23.8	1000sqft	0.55	23,775	0.00	—	—	—
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	10.4	1000sqft	0.24	10,400	0.00	—	—	—
Parking Lot	672	1000sqft	15.4	0.00	0.00	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	5.41	31.0	27.6	63.6	0.07	1.02	6.75	7.78	0.95	1.63	2.57	—	14,396	14,396	0.52	0.82	35.6	14,689
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	5.94	30.8	62.8	54.7	0.18	2.53	7.58	9.88	2.33	2.75	5.08	—	25,124	25,124	0.61	2.94	1.02	26,017
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	2.92	3.54	18.4	29.2	0.05	0.65	4.19	4.84	0.61	1.09	1.70	—	9,063	9,063	0.31	0.71	10.0	9,292
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Unmit.	0.53	0.65	3.36	5.33	0.01	0.12	0.76	0.88	0.11	0.20	0.31	—	1,500	1,500	0.05	0.12	1.66	1,538
--------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---	-------	-------	------	------	------	-------

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	3.87	3.35	19.1	47.5	0.05	0.65	5.64	6.29	0.60	1.37	1.97	—	11,627	11,627	0.40	0.77	32.8	11,898
2024	5.41	31.0	27.6	63.6	0.07	1.02	6.75	7.78	0.95	1.63	2.57	—	14,396	14,396	0.52	0.82	35.6	14,689
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	5.94	4.99	62.8	39.9	0.18	2.53	7.58	9.88	2.33	2.75	5.08	—	25,124	25,124	0.61	2.94	1.02	26,017
2024	5.28	30.8	28.2	54.7	0.07	1.02	6.75	7.78	0.95	1.63	2.57	—	13,889	13,889	0.53	0.82	0.92	14,149
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	2.92	2.47	18.4	29.2	0.05	0.65	4.19	4.84	0.61	1.09	1.70	—	9,063	9,063	0.31	0.71	10.0	9,292
2024	0.90	3.54	4.63	9.67	0.01	0.15	1.32	1.47	0.14	0.32	0.46	—	2,612	2,612	0.10	0.17	3.07	2,668
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	0.53	0.45	3.36	5.33	0.01	0.12	0.76	0.88	0.11	0.20	0.31	—	1,500	1,500	0.05	0.12	1.66	1,538
2024	0.16	0.65	0.84	1.76	< 0.005	0.03	0.24	0.27	0.03	0.06	0.08	—	432	432	0.02	0.03	0.51	442

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Site Preparation (2023) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	5.83	4.90	47.0	38.0	0.05	2.53	—	2.53	2.33	—	2.33	—	5,530	5,530	0.22	0.04	—	5,549
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.66	5.66	—	2.69	2.69	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.16	0.13	1.29	1.04	< 0.005	0.07	—	0.07	0.06	—	0.06	—	152	152	0.01	< 0.005	—	152
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.16	0.16	—	0.07	0.07	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.02	0.24	0.19	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	25.1	25.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	25.2
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.01	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.11	1.20	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	236	236	0.01	0.01	0.03	239
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	126	126	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	131
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	6.55	6.55	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.65
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.44	3.44	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.60
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	1.09	1.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.10
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.57	0.57	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.60
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.3. Grading (2023) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	5.00	4.20	40.9	32.7	0.06	1.96	—	1.96	1.80	—	1.80	—	6,715	6,715	0.27	0.05	—	6,738

Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.70	2.70	—	0.98	0.98	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.41	0.34	3.36	2.69	0.01	0.16	—	0.16	0.15	—	0.15	—	552	552	0.02	< 0.005	—	554
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.22	0.22	—	0.08	0.08	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.07	0.06	0.61	0.49	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	91.4	91.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	91.7
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.01	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.11	0.10	0.12	1.37	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	270	270	0.01	0.01	0.03	273
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.46	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	—	377	377	0.01	0.06	0.03	394
Hauling	0.70	0.26	21.3	4.95	0.12	0.33	1.21	1.54	0.33	0.44	0.77	—	17,761	17,761	0.32	2.82	0.96	18,611

Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	22.5	22.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	22.8
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	31.0	31.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	32.4
Hauling	0.06	0.02	1.76	0.40	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.04	0.06	—	1,459	1,459	0.03	0.23	1.32	1,530
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	3.72	3.72	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.77
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.13	5.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.37
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.32	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	242	242	< 0.005	0.04	0.22	253

3.5. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.62	1.36	12.8	14.3	0.03	0.60	—	0.60	0.55	—	0.55	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.62	1.36	12.8	14.3	0.03	0.60	—	0.60	0.55	—	0.55	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.98	0.82	7.77	8.67	0.02	0.36	—	0.36	0.33	—	0.33	—	1,596	1,596	0.06	0.01	—	1,601
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.18	0.15	1.42	1.58	< 0.005	0.07	—	0.07	0.06	—	0.06	—	264	264	0.01	< 0.005	—	265
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	2.05	1.88	1.86	31.9	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	5,165	5,165	0.21	0.17	22.1	5,245
Vendor	0.20	0.11	4.47	1.39	0.03	0.05	0.22	0.27	0.05	0.08	0.14	—	3,832	3,832	0.08	0.57	10.7	4,015
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.96	1.78	2.16	24.2	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	4,746	4,746	0.23	0.17	0.57	4,804
Vendor	0.19	0.11	4.69	1.43	0.03	0.05	0.22	0.27	0.05	0.08	0.14	—	3,834	3,834	0.08	0.57	0.28	4,007
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.18	1.07	1.31	15.4	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	2,916	2,916	0.14	0.11	5.78	2,957
Vendor	0.12	0.07	2.86	0.86	0.02	0.03	0.13	0.17	0.03	0.05	0.08	—	2,325	2,325	0.05	0.35	2.81	2,432
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.22	0.20	0.24	2.81	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	483	483	0.02	0.02	0.96	490
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.52	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	—	385	385	0.01	0.06	0.46	403
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.55	1.30	12.2	14.2	0.03	0.54	—	0.54	0.49	—	0.49	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.55	1.30	12.2	14.2	0.03	0.54	—	0.54	0.49	—	0.49	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.33	0.28	2.62	3.06	0.01	0.12	—	0.12	0.11	—	0.11	—	566	566	0.02	< 0.005	—	568
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.06	0.05	0.48	0.56	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	93.7	93.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	94.1
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.98	1.80	1.70	29.4	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	5,060	5,060	0.21	0.17	20.1	5,138
Vendor	0.17	0.11	4.30	1.33	0.03	0.05	0.22	0.27	0.05	0.08	0.14	—	3,788	3,788	0.08	0.57	10.7	3,970
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.88	1.69	2.00	22.2	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	4,651	4,651	0.22	0.17	0.52	4,709
Vendor	0.16	0.11	4.49	1.37	0.03	0.05	0.22	0.27	0.05	0.08	0.14	—	3,790	3,790	0.08	0.57	0.28	3,963
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.40	0.36	0.43	5.03	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	1,014	1,014	0.05	0.04	1.87	1,028
Vendor	0.04	0.02	0.97	0.29	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.03	—	816	816	0.02	0.12	0.99	853
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	168	168	0.01	0.01	0.31	170
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.18	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	135	135	< 0.005	0.02	0.16	141
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Paving (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	1.01	0.85	7.81	10.0	0.01	0.39	—	0.39	0.36	—	0.36	—	1,512	1,512	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	—	2.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.01	0.85	7.81	10.0	0.01	0.39	—	0.39	0.36	—	0.36	—	1,512	1,512	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	—	2.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.06	0.05	0.43	0.55	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	82.8	82.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	83.1
Paving	—	0.11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	13.7	13.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	13.8
Paving	—	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.08	0.07	1.25	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	216	216	0.01	0.01	0.86	219
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	198	198	0.01	0.01	0.02	201	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	11.0	11.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	11.2	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	1.82	1.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.85	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

3.11. Architectural Coating (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.22	0.18	1.21	1.53	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179
Architect ural Coatings	—	24.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.22	0.18	1.21	1.53	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179	
Architectural Coatings	—	24.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.02	0.13	0.17	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	19.5	19.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.6	
Architectural Coatings	—	2.66	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.23	3.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.24	
Architectural Coatings	—	0.49	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	0.40	0.36	0.34	5.87	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	1,012	1,012	0.04	0.03	4.01	1,028	

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.38	0.34	0.40	4.44	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	930	930	0.04	0.03	0.10	942	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	103	103	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.19	105	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	17.1	17.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	17.3	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sequest	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/1/2023	1/13/2023	5.00	10.0	—
Grading	Grading	1/14/2023	2/24/2023	5.00	30.0	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	2/25/2023	4/19/2024	5.00	300	—
Paving	Paving	3/23/2024	4/19/2024	5.00	20.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	2/24/2024	4/19/2024	5.00	40.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	423	0.48
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36

Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	37.0	0.48
Site Preparation	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	87.0	0.43
Grading	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	87.0	0.43

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	4.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	20.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	12.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	250	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	352	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	122	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT

Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	70.3	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	1,266,629	422,210	40,343

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Site Preparation	—	—	200	0.00	—
Grading	60,000	—	600	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.4

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
----------------------------	---------------------	----------------	-----------------

Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%
--------------------	---	-----	-----

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0%
Strip Mall	0.00	0%
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.00	0%
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	0.00	0%
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	0.00	0%
Parking Lot	15.4	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2023	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	29.1	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	1.95	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	6.36	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about $\frac{3}{4}$ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
----------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------	---------------------

Temperature and Extreme Heat	4	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	4	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	97.6
AQ-PM	53.3
AQ-DPM	47.8
Drinking Water	10.2
Lead Risk Housing	22.0
Pesticides	58.8
Toxic Releases	37.7
Traffic	81.9
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	69.4
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	53.5
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	40.1
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	65.6
Cardio-vascular	90.6
Low Birth Weights	62.9
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—

Education	74.7
Housing	57.9
Linguistic	53.4
Poverty	64.5
Unemployment	15.8

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	36.04516874
Employed	38.00846914
Median HI	53.00911074
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	28.6154241
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	5.440780187
Transportation	—
Auto Access	94.58488387
Active commuting	6.723983062
Social	—
2-parent households	87.71974849
Voting	9.636853587
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	84.04978827
Park access	11.88245862
Retail density	29.21852945

Supermarket access	12.06210702
Tree canopy	0.590273322
Housing	—
Homeownership	79.23777749
Housing habitability	40.67753112
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	12.19042731
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	27.61452586
Uncrowded housing	47.8121391
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	26.49813936
Arthritis	79.8
Asthma ER Admissions	42.9
High Blood Pressure	64.8
Cancer (excluding skin)	87.6
Asthma	27.9
Coronary Heart Disease	81.5
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	59.8
Diagnosed Diabetes	52.6
Life Expectancy at Birth	37.8
Cognitively Disabled	88.7
Physically Disabled	83.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	7.5
Mental Health Not Good	28.5
Chronic Kidney Disease	64.9
Obesity	17.5
Pedestrian Injuries	92.5
Physical Health Not Good	37.9

Stroke	70.4
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	30.9
Current Smoker	25.4
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	29.5
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	35.2
Elderly	90.4
English Speaking	42.3
Foreign-born	59.5
Outdoor Workers	11.9
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	72.4
Traffic Density	65.3
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	70.6
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	23.4

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	69.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	30.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes

Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Construction schedule based on data provided by the Project team.
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	All equipment will operate 8 hours per day. Crawler tractors used in lieu of tractors/loaders/backhoes during site preparation and grading.
Construction: Trips and VMT	Vendor Trips adjusted based on CalEEMod defaults for Building Construction and number of days for Site Preparation, Grading, and Building Construction.
Construction: Architectural Coatings	Project will utilize super-compliant coatings
Construction: Dust From Material Movement	Assumes 20 acres will be graded per day

14428 OLC3 Operation Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
 - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.2. Energy
 - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated
 - 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated
 - 4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

5. Activity Data

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	14428 OLC3 Operation
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	9.00
Location	33.84682732179293, -117.22284453278542
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Perris
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5580
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	774	1000sqft	17.8	774,419	215,000	—	—	—
Strip Mall	30.8	1000sqft	0.71	30,825	25,900	—	—	—

High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	5.00	1000sqft	0.11	5,000	0.00	—	—	—
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	23.8	1000sqft	0.55	23,775	0.00	—	—	—
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	10.4	1000sqft	0.24	10,400	0.00	—	—	—
Parking Lot	672	1000sqft	15.4	0.00	0.00	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	774	User Defined Unit	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	74.3	86.9	112	692	1.93	1.97	56.7	58.6	1.89	10.4	12.3	1,024	206,276	207,300	111	13.8	800	214,972
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	63.8	76.9	118	542	1.83	1.92	56.7	58.6	1.82	10.4	12.2	1,024	196,310	197,335	111	14.0	80.6	204,359
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	51.0	65.9	87.1	413	1.28	1.43	38.7	40.1	1.37	7.11	8.48	1,024	140,236	141,261	109	10.6	280	147,412

Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	9.30	12.0	15.9	75.4	0.23	0.26	7.06	7.32	0.25	1.30	1.55	170	23,218	23,387	18.0	1.75	46.3	24,406

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	67.6	60.5	110	655	1.92	1.83	56.7	58.5	1.73	10.4	12.1	—	197,790	197,790	6.45	12.8	739	202,500
Area	6.53	26.3	0.31	36.7	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.07	—	0.07	—	151	151	0.01	0.01	—	155
Energy	0.14	0.07	1.25	1.05	0.01	0.09	—	0.09	0.09	—	0.09	—	7,059	7,059	0.66	0.07	—	7,095
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	370	1,276	1,646	38.1	0.92	—	2,872
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	654	0.00	654	65.4	0.00	—	2,288
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61.4	61.4
Total	74.3	86.9	112	692	1.93	1.97	56.7	58.6	1.89	10.4	12.3	1,024	206,276	207,300	111	13.8	800	214,972
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	63.7	56.5	117	541	1.82	1.83	56.7	58.5	1.73	10.4	12.1	—	187,976	187,976	6.62	13.0	19.2	192,042
Area	—	20.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.14	0.07	1.25	1.05	0.01	0.09	—	0.09	0.09	—	0.09	—	7,059	7,059	0.66	0.07	—	7,095
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	370	1,276	1,646	38.1	0.92	—	2,872
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	654	0.00	654	65.4	0.00	—	2,288
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61.4	61.4
Total	63.8	76.9	118	542	1.83	1.92	56.7	58.6	1.82	10.4	12.2	1,024	196,310	197,335	111	14.0	80.6	204,359
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Mobile	46.4	41.4	85.7	387	1.27	1.30	38.7	40.0	1.23	7.11	8.34	—	131,798	131,798	4.71	9.58	218	134,989
Area	4.47	24.4	0.21	25.1	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.04	—	0.04	—	103	103	< 0.005	0.01	—	106
Energy	0.14	0.07	1.25	1.05	0.01	0.09	—	0.09	0.09	—	0.09	—	7,059	7,059	0.66	0.07	—	7,095
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	370	1,276	1,646	38.1	0.92	—	2,872
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	654	0.00	654	65.4	0.00	—	2,288
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61.4	61.4
Total	51.0	65.9	87.1	413	1.28	1.43	38.7	40.1	1.37	7.11	8.48	1,024	140,236	141,261	109	10.6	280	147,412
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	8.46	7.55	15.6	70.6	0.23	0.24	7.06	7.30	0.23	1.30	1.52	—	21,821	21,821	0.78	1.59	36.1	22,349
Area	0.82	4.46	0.04	4.59	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	17.1	17.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	17.6
Energy	0.03	0.01	0.23	0.19	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	1,169	1,169	0.11	0.01	—	1,175
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61.3	211	273	6.31	0.15	—	475
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	108	0.00	108	10.8	0.00	—	379
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.2	10.2
Total	9.30	12.0	15.9	75.4	0.23	0.26	7.06	7.32	0.25	1.30	1.55	170	23,218	23,387	18.0	1.75	46.3	24,406

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Unrefrigerated Warehouse Rail	6.48	5.88	3.75	74.0	0.15	0.07	0.69	0.76	0.06	0.21	0.27	—	15,247	15,247	0.54	0.37	60.1	15,431
Strip Mall	3.32	3.00	3.48	31.8	0.08	0.06	0.42	0.48	0.05	0.13	0.18	—	7,850	7,850	0.29	0.33	31.9	7,989
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	2.11	1.90	2.21	20.2	0.05	0.04	0.27	0.30	0.03	0.08	0.12	—	4,982	4,982	0.18	0.21	20.2	5,070
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	39.0	35.2	40.8	373	0.90	0.68	4.92	5.61	0.64	1.52	2.17	—	92,162	92,162	3.40	3.92	374	93,789
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	15.1	13.6	15.8	145	0.35	0.26	1.91	2.17	0.25	0.59	0.84	—	35,702	35,702	1.32	1.52	145	36,332
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	1.63	0.83	44.1	10.7	0.39	0.72	2.97	3.68	0.69	0.95	1.64	—	41,846	41,846	0.72	6.43	108	43,889
Total	67.6	60.5	110	655	1.92	1.83	11.2	13.0	1.73	3.48	5.21	—	197,790	197,790	6.45	12.8	739	202,500
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	6.21	5.61	4.16	60.4	0.14	0.07	0.69	0.76	0.06	0.21	0.27	—	14,075	14,075	0.56	0.40	1.56	14,209
Strip Mall	3.12	2.80	3.74	26.2	0.07	0.06	0.42	0.48	0.05	0.13	0.18	—	7,367	7,367	0.30	0.35	0.83	7,479

High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	1.98	1.77	2.37	16.6	0.05	0.04	0.27	0.30	0.03	0.08	0.12	—	4,676	4,676	0.19	0.22	0.52	4,746
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	36.6	32.8	43.8	308	0.85	0.68	4.92	5.61	0.64	1.52	2.17	—	86,494	86,494	3.50	4.06	9.70	87,800
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	14.2	12.7	17.0	119	0.33	0.26	1.91	2.17	0.25	0.59	0.84	—	33,506	33,506	1.35	1.57	3.76	34,012
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	1.59	0.80	46.1	10.8	0.39	0.72	2.97	3.69	0.69	0.95	1.64	—	41,857	41,857	0.72	6.44	2.79	43,796
Total	63.7	56.5	117	541	1.82	1.83	11.2	13.0	1.73	3.48	5.21	—	187,976	187,976	6.62	13.0	19.2	192,042
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	1.08	0.97	0.75	11.0	0.02	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	2,260	2,260	0.09	0.06	4.12	2,285
Strip Mall	0.50	0.45	0.61	4.36	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.03	—	1,081	1,081	0.04	0.05	2.00	1,100
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.24	0.23	0.23	1.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	366	366	0.02	0.02	0.67	373
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	4.70	4.21	5.78	41.3	0.11	0.09	0.64	0.72	0.08	0.20	0.28	—	10,232	10,232	0.41	0.48	18.9	10,404

Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	1.70	1.57	1.49	10.8	0.03	0.02	0.14	0.17	0.02	0.04	0.06	—	2,359	2,359	0.12	0.12	4.30	2,403
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.23	0.12	6.77	1.56	0.06	0.10	0.43	0.54	0.10	0.14	0.24	—	5,522	5,522	0.09	0.85	6.13	5,784
Total	8.46	7.55	15.6	70.6	0.23	0.24	1.42	1.66	0.23	0.44	0.67	—	21,821	21,821	0.78	1.59	36.1	22,349

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,404	3,404	0.32	0.04	—	3,424
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	287	287	0.03	< 0.005	—	289
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	168	168	0.02	< 0.005	—	169

Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	797	797	0.08	0.01	—	802
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	349	349	0.03	< 0.005	—	351
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	563	563	0.05	0.01	—	566
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,568	5,568	0.53	0.06	—	5,600
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,404	3,404	0.32	0.04	—	3,424
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	287	287	0.03	< 0.005	—	289
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	168	168	0.02	< 0.005	—	169
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	797	797	0.08	0.01	—	802

Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	349	349	0.03	< 0.005	—	351
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	563	563	0.05	0.01	—	566
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,568	5,568	0.53	0.06	—	5,600
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	564	564	0.05	0.01	—	567
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	47.6	47.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	47.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	27.9
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	132	132	0.01	< 0.005	—	133
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	57.8	57.8	0.01	< 0.005	—	58.1
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	93.1	93.1	0.01	< 0.005	—	93.7
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	922	922	0.09	0.01	—	927
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----	-----	------	------	---	-----

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Strip Mall	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	58.5	58.5	0.01	< 0.005	—	58.7
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.02	0.01	0.15	0.13	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	183	183	0.02	< 0.005	—	183
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	0.08	0.04	0.73	0.61	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	869	869	0.08	< 0.005	—	871
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	0.04	0.02	0.32	0.27	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	380	380	0.03	< 0.005	—	381
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.14	0.07	1.25	1.05	0.01	0.09	—	0.09	0.09	—	0.09	—	1,491	1,491	0.13	< 0.005	—	1,495

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Strip Mall	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	58.5	58.5	0.01	< 0.005	—	58.7
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.02	0.01	0.15	0.13	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	183	183	0.02	< 0.005	—	183
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	0.08	0.04	0.73	0.61	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	869	869	0.08	< 0.005	—	871
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	0.04	0.02	0.32	0.27	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	380	380	0.03	< 0.005	—	381
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.14	0.07	1.25	1.05	0.01	0.09	—	0.09	0.09	—	0.09	—	1,491	1,491	0.13	< 0.005	—	1,495
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Strip Mall	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	9.69	9.69	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.71

High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	30.3	30.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	30.3
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.11	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	144	144	0.01	< 0.005	—	144
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	0.01	< 0.005	0.06	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	62.9	62.9	0.01	< 0.005	—	63.1
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.03	0.01	0.23	0.19	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	247	247	0.02	< 0.005	—	247

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	—	18.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	2.20	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Landscape Equipment	6.53	6.02	0.31	36.7	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.07	—	0.07	—	151	151	0.01	0.01	—	155
Total	6.53	26.3	0.31	36.7	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.07	—	0.07	—	151	151	0.01	0.01	—	155
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	—	18.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	2.20	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	20.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	—	3.31	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	0.40	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.82	0.75	0.04	4.59	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	17.1	17.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	17.6
Total	0.82	4.46	0.04	4.59	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	17.1	17.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	17.6

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
----------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	343	1,182	1,525	35.3	0.85	—	2,661
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.38	16.9	21.3	0.45	0.01	—	35.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.91	9.87	12.8	0.30	0.01	—	22.4
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	13.8	46.9	60.8	1.42	0.03	—	107
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.05	20.5	26.6	0.62	0.01	—	46.6
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	370	1,276	1,646	38.1	0.92	—	2,872
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	343	1,182	1,525	35.3	0.85	—	2,661

Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.38	16.9	21.3	0.45	0.01	—	35.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.91	9.87	12.8	0.30	0.01	—	22.4
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	13.8	46.9	60.8	1.42	0.03	—	107
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.05	20.5	26.6	0.62	0.01	—	46.6
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	370	1,276	1,646	38.1	0.92	—	2,872
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	56.8	196	252	5.84	0.14	—	440
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.72	2.80	3.53	0.07	< 0.005	—	5.93
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.48	1.63	2.12	0.05	< 0.005	—	3.71
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.29	7.77	10.1	0.24	0.01	—	17.6

Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.00	3.40	4.40	0.10	< 0.005	—	7.71
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61.3	211	273	6.31	0.15	—	475

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	392	0.00	392	39.2	0.00	—	1,373
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	17.4	0.00	17.4	1.74	0.00	—	61.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	32.1	0.00	32.1	3.20	0.00	—	112

Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	148	0.00	148	14.8	0.00	—	516
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	64.6	0.00	64.6	6.45	0.00	—	226
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	654	0.00	654	65.4	0.00	—	2,288
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	392	0.00	392	39.2	0.00	—	1,373
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	17.4	0.00	17.4	1.74	0.00	—	61.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	32.1	0.00	32.1	3.20	0.00	—	112
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	148	0.00	148	14.8	0.00	—	516

Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	64.6	0.00	64.6	6.45	0.00	—	226
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	654	0.00	654	65.4	0.00	—	2,288
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	65.0	0.00	65.0	6.49	0.00	—	227
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.89	0.00	2.89	0.29	0.00	—	10.1
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.31	0.00	5.31	0.53	0.00	—	18.6
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	24.4	0.00	24.4	2.44	0.00	—	85.5
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.7	0.00	10.7	1.07	0.00	—	37.4
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	108	0.00	108	10.8	0.00	—	379
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----	------	-----	------	------	---	-----

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.19
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.82	7.82
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	37.2	37.2
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16.3	16.3
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61.4	61.4
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.19
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.82	7.82

Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	37.2	37.2
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16.3	16.3
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61.4	61.4
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.03	0.03
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.29	1.29
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.15	6.15
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.69	2.69
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.2	10.2

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Remove	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	1,356	1,163	1,152	474,268	20,238	17,360	17,199	7,078,450
Strip Mall	608	469	228	194,886	9,074	7,006	3,405	2,908,667
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	290	331	386	112,993	1,593	4,942	5,759	973,335
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	4,620	7,138	5,128	1,844,063	68,954	106,532	76,532	27,522,645
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	2,098	2,765	2,121	801,748	9,409	41,269	31,654	6,255,417
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	294	388	305	112,863	10,156	13,389	10,530	3,894,912

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	1,266,629	422,210	40,343

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
--------	------	-------

Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	3,564,148	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Strip Mall	300,802	349	0.0330	0.0040	182,551
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	175,575	349	0.0330	0.0040	570,294
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	834,857	349	0.0330	0.0040	2,711,749
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	365,195	349	0.0330	0.0040	1,186,212
Parking Lot	589,003	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	179,084,394	3,408,978
Strip Mall	2,283,285	410,663
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	1,517,669	0.00
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	7,216,514	0.00
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	3,156,751	0.00

Parking Lot	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	728	0.00
Strip Mall	32.4	0.00
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	59.5	0.00
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	274	0.00
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	120	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Strip Mall	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
Strip Mall	Stand-alone retail refrigerators and freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.04	1.00	0.00	1.00
Strip Mall	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.00	0.60	0.00	1.00

High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	1.80	4.00	4.00	18.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.00	0.60	0.00	1.00
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	1.80	4.00	4.00	18.0
Fast Food Restaurant w/o Drive Thru	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.00	0.60	0.00	1.00
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	1.80	4.00	4.00	18.0
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------	----------------	------------	-------------

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
----------------	-----------	--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	—

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
----------------	-----------------------------	------

Temperature and Extreme Heat	29.1	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	1.95	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	6.36	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about $\frac{3}{4}$ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	4	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	4	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	97.6
AQ-PM	53.3
AQ-DPM	47.8
Drinking Water	10.2
Lead Risk Housing	22.0

Pesticides	58.8
Toxic Releases	37.7
Traffic	81.9
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	69.4
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	53.5
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	40.1
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	65.6
Cardio-vascular	90.6
Low Birth Weights	62.9
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	74.7
Housing	57.9
Linguistic	53.4
Poverty	64.5
Unemployment	15.8

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	36.04516874
Employed	38.00846914
Median HI	53.00911074

Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	28.6154241
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	5.440780187
Transportation	—
Auto Access	94.58488387
Active commuting	6.723983062
Social	—
2-parent households	87.71974849
Voting	9.636853587
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	84.04978827
Park access	11.88245862
Retail density	29.21852945
Supermarket access	12.06210702
Tree canopy	0.590273322
Housing	—
Homeownership	79.23777749
Housing habitability	40.67753112
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	12.19042731
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	27.61452586
Uncrowded housing	47.8121391
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	26.49813936
Arthritis	79.8
Asthma ER Admissions	42.9
High Blood Pressure	64.8

Cancer (excluding skin)	87.6
Asthma	27.9
Coronary Heart Disease	81.5
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	59.8
Diagnosed Diabetes	52.6
Life Expectancy at Birth	37.8
Cognitively Disabled	88.7
Physically Disabled	83.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	7.5
Mental Health Not Good	28.5
Chronic Kidney Disease	64.9
Obesity	17.5
Pedestrian Injuries	92.5
Physical Health Not Good	37.9
Stroke	70.4
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	30.9
Current Smoker	25.4
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	29.5
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	35.2
Elderly	90.4
English Speaking	42.3
Foreign-born	59.5
Outdoor Workers	11.9

Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	72.4
Traffic Density	65.3
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	70.6
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	23.4

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	69.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	30.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Construction schedule based on data provided by the Project team.
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	All equipment will operate 8 hours per day. Crawler tractors used in lieu of tractors/loaders/backhoes during site preparation and grading.
Construction: Trips and VMT	Vendor Trips adjusted based on CalEEMod defaults for Building Construction and number of days for Site Preparation, Grading, and Building Construction.
Construction: Architectural Coatings	Project will utilize super-compliant coatings
Operations: Vehicle Data	Trip rates based on Project traffic study
Operations: Fleet Mix	Fleet mix adjusted to account for industrial truck fleet mix
Operations: Energy Use	Industrial portion of the Project will not use natural gas
Operations: Refrigerants	Industrial portion of the Project does not include cold storage

Emergency Diesel Fire Pump Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

1.2. Land Use Types

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

2. Emissions Summary

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

5. Activity Data

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	Emergency Diesel Fire Pump
Operational Year	2024
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	9.00
Location	33.8468251996797, -117.22170162115657
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Perris
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5580
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.13

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
User Defined Industrial	1.00	User Defined Unit	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.11	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	22.7	22.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	22.8
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	3.76	3.76	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	3.77

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Stationary	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160	160
Total	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160	160
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Stationary	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160	160
Total	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160	160
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Stationary	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.11	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	22.7	22.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	22.8	22.8
Total	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.11	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	22.7	22.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	22.8	22.8
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Stationary	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	3.76	3.76	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	3.77	
Total	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	3.76	3.76	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	3.77	

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Consum Products	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consum er Products	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consum er Products	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
----------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fire Pump	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160
Total	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Fire Pump	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160
Total	0.34	0.31	0.87	0.80	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	160
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fire Pump	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	3.76	3.76	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	3.77
Total	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	3.76	3.76	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	3.77

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetatio	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
---------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	—

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	0.00

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
User Defined Industrial	0.00	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
User Defined Industrial	0.00	—

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
---------------	----------------	-------------	-----	---------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
Fire Pump	Diesel	2.00	0.50	26.0	190	0.73

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
----------------	-----------	--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	—

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	29.1	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	1.95	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	6.36	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	0	0	N/A

Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	97.6
AQ-PM	53.3
AQ-DPM	47.8
Drinking Water	10.2
Lead Risk Housing	22.0
Pesticides	58.8
Toxic Releases	37.7
Traffic	81.9
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	69.4
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	53.5
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	40.1
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	65.6
Cardio-vascular	90.6
Low Birth Weights	62.9
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	74.7
Housing	57.9

Linguistic	53.4
Poverty	64.5
Unemployment	15.8

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	36.04516874
Employed	38.00846914
Median HI	53.00911074
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	28.6154241
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	5.440780187
Transportation	—
Auto Access	94.58488387
Active commuting	6.723983062
Social	—
2-parent households	87.71974849
Voting	9.636853587
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	84.04978827
Park access	11.88245862
Retail density	29.21852945
Supermarket access	12.06210702
Tree canopy	0.590273322

Housing	—
Homeownership	79.23777749
Housing habitability	40.67753112
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	12.19042731
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	27.61452586
Uncrowded housing	47.8121391
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	26.49813936
Arthritis	79.8
Asthma ER Admissions	42.9
High Blood Pressure	64.8
Cancer (excluding skin)	87.6
Asthma	27.9
Coronary Heart Disease	81.5
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	59.8
Diagnosed Diabetes	52.6
Life Expectancy at Birth	37.8
Cognitively Disabled	88.7
Physically Disabled	83.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	7.5
Mental Health Not Good	28.5
Chronic Kidney Disease	64.9
Obesity	17.5
Pedestrian Injuries	92.5
Physical Health Not Good	37.9
Stroke	70.4
Health Risk Behaviors	—

Binge Drinking	30.9
Current Smoker	25.4
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	29.5
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	35.2
Elderly	90.4
English Speaking	42.3
Foreign-born	59.5
Outdoor Workers	11.9
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	72.4
Traffic Density	65.3
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	70.6
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	23.4

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	69.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	30.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Operations: Landscape Equipment	0
Operations: Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps	2 emergency diesel fire pumps

APPENDIX 4.2:

EMFAC2021

This page intentionally left blank

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory

Region Type: Sub-Area

Region: Riverside (SC)

Calendar Year: 2023

Season: Annual

Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories

Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	CalYr	VehClass	MdYr	Speed	Fuel	Population	VMT	Fuel_Consumption	Fuel_Consumption	Total Fuel	VMT	Total VMT	Miles per Gallon	Vehicle Class
Riverside (SC)	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	9.455104489	402.0155083	0.108573531	108.5735307	317785.1606	402.0155083	1920248.354	6.04	HHDT
Riverside (SC)	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	14188.53655	1870417.715	309.6254593	309625.4593		1870417.715			
Riverside (SC)	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	10.75839329	733.8118529	0	0		733.8118529			
Riverside (SC)	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	693.7983116	48694.81207	8.051127696	8051.127696		48694.81207			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	469124.6474	20366451.54	699.7310812	699731.0812	711067.1515	20366451.54	21760170.77	30.60	LDA
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1558.762895	58561.51523	1.375784729	1375.784729		58561.51523			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	16185.78734	744565.1808	0	0		744565.1808			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	11651.42905	590592.5329	9.960285645	9960.285645		590592.5329			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	41569.09002	1542689.764	63.99950114	63999.50114	64004.29373	1542689.764	1546785.932	24.15	LDT1
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	20.22700504	383.6181372	0.015644241	15.64424123		383.6181372			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	42.93918941	1813.231309	0	0		1813.231309			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	33.25263876	1899.318283	0.029148352	29.14835174		1899.318283			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	191587.7811	8435118.12	356.5641957	356564.1957	358545.5463	8435118.12	8562709.114	23.88	LDT2
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	577.8339592	27328.90025	0.849494989	849.4949888		27328.90025			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	816.9774193	29520.94571	0	0		29520.94571			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	1285.022226	70741.14871	1.131855657	1131.855657		70741.14871			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	18052.34987	656605.5887	49.73832228	49738.32228	77417.67097	656605.5887	1224140.947	15.81	LHDT1
Riverside (SC)	2023	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	15395.69696	567535.3588	27.67934868	27679.34868		567535.3588			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2523.570585	90490.65997	7.611904144	7611.904144	22679.23434	90490.65997	346711.8059	15.29	LHDT2
Riverside (SC)	2023	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	6852.470307	256221.1459	15.0673302	15067.3302		256221.1459			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MCY	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	24170.7213	141523.0693	3.403298812	3403.298812	3403.298812	141523.0693	141523.0693	41.58	MCY
Riverside (SC)	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	159138.1322	6456725.347	338.8355886	338835.5886	344047.395	6456725.347	6637695.092	19.29	MDV
Riverside (SC)	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2483.005938	104140.6313	4.4577137	4457.7137		104140.6313			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	897.1539487	32338.42861	0	0		32338.42861			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	887.9224631	44490.68605	0.754092705	754.0927053		44490.68605			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	5083.841078	44617.33224	9.135457245	9135.457245	10873.77525	44617.33224	62635.35904	5.76	MH
Riverside (SC)	2023	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2073.70666	18018.02681	1.738318002	1738.318002		18018.02681			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	1260.142241	50001.99826	9.730848023	9730.848023	72860.34533	50001.99826	613586.1262	8.42	MHDT
Riverside (SC)	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	12683.243	556347.8969	62.32189585	62321.89585		556347.8969			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	4.9202908	108.4971152	0	0		108.4971152			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	147.6204682	7127.733974	0.807601459	807.6014589		7127.733974			
Riverside (SC)	2023	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	386.6813181	13386.35665	2.645844907	2645.844907	4805.404855	13386.35665	30497.76136	6.35	OBUS
Riverside (SC)	2023	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	215.667787	15076.44179	1.951877039	1951.877039		15076.44179			
Riverside (SC)	2023	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	33.12387867	2034.962916	0.207682909	207.6829092		2034.962916			
Riverside (SC)	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	421.1646074	16563.24745	1.897862822	1897.862822	5896.748986	16563.24745	37701.28126	6.39	SBUS
Riverside (SC)	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	499.0687276	10519.58678	1.437331357	1437.331357		10519.58678			
Riverside (SC)	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.562315788	6.53322339	0	0		6.53322339			
Riverside (SC)	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	428.0776414	10611.9138	2.561554808	2561.554808		10611.9138			
Riverside (SC)	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	145.9294435	18476.36382	3.28009086	3280.09086	11107.60554	18476.36382	49531.64193	4.46	UBUS
Riverside (SC)	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	0.3117338	30.10971099	0.002674589	2.674588852		30.10971099			
Riverside (SC)	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.030745281	2.969621933	0	0		2.969621933			
Riverside (SC)	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	251.677147	31022.19878	7.824840087	7824.840087		31022.19878			

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory

Region Type: Sub-Area

Region: Riverside (SC)

Calendar Year: 2024

Season: Annual

Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories

Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	CalYr	VehClass	Mdlyr	Speed	Fuel	Population	VMT	Fuel_Consumption	Fuel_Consumption	Total Fuel	VMT	Total VMT	Miles per Gallon	Vehicle Class
Riverside (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	7.589475903	347.9694468	0.092180823	92.18082291	321404.9638	347.9694468	1967302.751	6.12	HHDT
Riverside (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	14792.02338	1911347.779	313.0439759	313043.9759					
Riverside (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	47.99547895	5148.201829	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	740.0705237	50458.80082	8.268807048	8268.807048					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	469145.3818	20418129.53	688.4836596	688483.6596	700469.6115	20418129.53	22069128.65	31.51	LDA
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1473.049219	54327.45303	1.267188759	1267.188759					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	19934.69439	945704.6798	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	12893.65575	650966.9876	10.71876311	10718.76311					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	40643.24621	1523061.246	62.04624692	62046.24692	62104.32538	1523061.246	1529163.988	24.62	LDT1
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	18.16927182	339.6979643	0.013831102	13.83110227					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	60.98632141	2789.967089	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	52.35545177	2973.077776	0.044247357	44.24735695					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	196761.1569	8732860.794	359.674683	359674.683	361927.3798	8732860.794	8893408.735	24.57	LDT2
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	611.2140627	29007.74721	0.880423066	880.4230662					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1212.721837	43455.52608	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	1617.209463	88084.6679	1.372273758	1372.273758					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	17828.73734	656766.0119	48.36247552	48362.47552	75554.20605	656766.0119	1221087.42	16.16	LHDT1
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	15247.60565	560367.9206	27.19173053	27191.73053					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	53.50587181	3953.487241	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2494.679179	89754.81853	7.38743171	7387.43171	22224.411	89754.81853	344827.7113	15.52	LHDT2
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	6844.928194	254103.3578	14.83697929	14836.97929					
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	13.8489928	969.5349487	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	MCY	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	24077.0623	140258.0803	3.359217865	3359.217865	3359.217865	140258.0803	140258.0803	41.75	MCY
Riverside (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	158529.7591	6468418.76	332.0736912	332073.6912	337278.1883	6468418.76	6673535.232	19.79	MDV
Riverside (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2456.219583	102039.6434	4.306633032	4306.633032					
Riverside (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1347.135818	48185.7285	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	1094.492843	54891.09982	0.897864131	897.864131					
Riverside (SC)	2024	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	4781.777946	41623.53594	8.518926412	8518.926412	10212.97469	41623.53594	59176.14669	5.79	MH
Riverside (SC)	2024	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2046.063726	17552.61075	1.694048275	1694.048275					
Riverside (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	1238.0029	49965.95549	9.588666638	9588.666638	73502.73221	49965.95549	624307.4842	8.49	MHDT
Riverside (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	12954.3675	564761.4751	63.06414519	63064.14519					
Riverside (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	40.46425607	2074.722372	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	158.0466253	7505.331205	0.849920382	849.9203818					
Riverside (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	374.6153087	12781.812	2.496601383	2496.601383	4662.380277	12781.812	30088.9967	6.45	OBUS
Riverside (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	219.2789175	15140.91273	1.951181612	1951.181612					
Riverside (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.821516166	55.60331633	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	34.6553722	2110.668656	0.214597282	214.5972817					
Riverside (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	423.5817437	16753.46749	1.914821769	1914.821769	5918.221943	16753.46749	37909.3201	6.41	SBUS
Riverside (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	491.8063992	10225.99182	1.394925642	1394.925642					
Riverside (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	2.445505521	61.99924762	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	443.1589434	10867.86154	2.608474532	2608.474532					
Riverside (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	146.2127201	18511.1132	3.282633075	3282.633075	11054.35384	18511.1132	49631.8201	4.49	UBUS
Riverside (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	0.3117338	30.10971099	0.002675115	2.675115035					
Riverside (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.120004951	18.36371585	0	0					
Riverside (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	252.109466	31072.23347	7.769045647	7769.045647					