CITY OF PERRIS HOUSING IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES VMT IMPACT ANALYSIS

City of Perris August 24, 2023 (Revised June 19, 2024)



Traffic Engineering ● Transportation Planning ● Parking ● Noise & Vibration Air Quality ● Global Climate Change ● Health Risk Assessment

CITY OF PERRIS HOUSING IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES VMT IMPACT ANALYSIS

City of Perris August 24, 2023 (Revised June 19, 2024)



GANDDINI GROUP, INC. 555 Park Center Drive, Suite 225 Santa Ana, California 92705 (714) 795-3100 | ganddini.com

Project No. 19598

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXE	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	
1.	INTRODUCTION Purpose and Objectives Project Location Project Description	1 1 1
2.	METHODOLOGY Senate Bill 743 Background CEQA Significant Impact Criteria VMT Thresholds of Significance VMT Modeling Methodology	5
3.	EXISTING TRANSPORTATION SETTING Roadway System Public Transportation Non-Motorized Transportation	
4.	VMT SCREENING ASSESSMENT Affordable Housing Transit Priority Areas Local-Serving Land Use Low VMT Area Net Daily Trips	12 12 12 13 13 13 13
5.	VMT MODELING/IMPACT ANALYSIS Overall VMT Impacts Site-Specific VMT Estimates	15
6.	CEQA IMPACTS	18
7.	CONCLUSIONS VMT Screening Assessment VMT Impacts Mitigation Measures	20 20 20 20 20

APPENDICES

Appendix A RIVCOM Socio-Economic Data Inputs Appendix B VMT by Housing Opportunity Site



LIST OF TABLES

 Table 1. Housing Opportunity Area Development Potential
 Table 2. Summary of SED Inputs
 Table 3. Daily VMT Estimates
 Table 4. Housing Opportunity Area Sites Net VMT Per Service Population
 Table 3.Daily VMT EstimatesTable 4.Housing Opportunity Area Sites Net VMT Per Service Population

LIST OF FIGURES

Regional Location Map	2
Housing Opportunity Areas Map	3
City of Perris Future Roadway Network	8
RTA Transit System Map for City of Perris	9
City of Perris Bikeway Systems	10
City of Perris Pedestrian Facilities	11
City of Perris Transit Priority Areas	14
	Regional Location Map Housing Opportunity Areas Map City of Perris Future Roadway Network RTA Transit System Map for City of Perris City of Perris Bikeway Systems City of Perris Pedestrian Facilities City of Perris Transit Priority Areas



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The proposed project involves creation of an overlay zone for Housing Opportunity Sites identified in the recently adopted *City of Perris General Plan Housing Element* (August 17, 2022) that would continue to permit development in accordance with current zoning regulations or allow activation of the overlay zoning for development of up to 5,419 high-density, multifamily residential dwelling units distributed over 12 Housing Opportunity Areas ("Housing Overlay").

VMT Screening Assessment

Subject to further review of site-specific project design features such as parking supply relative to City requirements, consistency with the RTP/SCS, and displacement of affordable units, future development in the following sites may be eligible for Transit Priority Area screening:

- Housing Opportunity Area 2 All Sites
- Housing Opportunity Area 3 Site 3.3
- Housing Opportunity Area 9 All Sites
- Housing Opportunity Area 12 Sites 12.1 through 12.6

Since the project-specific design features such as parking supply relative to City requirements, consistency with the RTP/SCS, and displacement of affordable units cannot be determined at the program-level, further assessment would be required at the project-specific level to verify TPA screening for the above sites.

VMT Impacts

The base model year (2018) with Housing Overlay buildout is forecast to result in a Citywide VMT per service population of 28.7, which does not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4. The future model year (2045) with Housing Overlay buildout is forecast to result in a Citywide VMT per service population of 29.5, which does not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4. Therefore, buildout of the overall Housing Overlay is forecast to result in a less than significant impact based on the City-established thresholds for VMT.

All of the Housing Opportunity Area sites are forecast to have a low VMT impact (i.e., the net effect of development on the site per the Housing Overlay would not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4).

Mitigation Measures

No mitigation measures are recommended since implementation of the housing overlay is forecast to result in a less than significant VMT impact.



1. INTRODUCTION

This section describes the purpose of this study, the proposed project, and the general scope of the analysis.

PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the potential for transportation impacts resulting from implementation of the proposed project in the context of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This study evaluates the significance of project-related transportation impacts with respect to the thresholds established by the City of Perris, as the lead agency, and identifies measures to mitigate such impacts, if any.

PROJECT LOCATION

The City of Perris is located in western Riverside County, California. The City is a subregional agency of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and the Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG). Figure 1 shows the regional location map.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Housing Element, a mandatory element of the General Plan, plans for the existing and future housing needs of the community, including a fair share of housing needs for the region as allocated by SCAG through the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). For the current 6th Cycle Housing Element (2021-2029), the City of Perris was allocated 7,805 housing units. Based on allowable credits for housing units approved or under construction, a remaining need for 4,032 units exists.

The proposed project involves creation of an overlay zone for Housing Opportunity Sites identified in the recently adopted *City of Perris General Plan Housing Element* (August 17, 2022) that would continue to permit development in accordance with current zoning regulations or allow activation of the overlay zoning for development of up to 5,419 high-density, multifamily residential dwelling units distributed over 12 Housing Opportunity Areas ("Housing Overlay").

The Housing Opportunity Areas are identified on Figure 2 (per Figure 7-3 of the Housing Element). Appendix B of the Housing Element provides detailed descriptions of each Housing Opportunity Area including the maximum number of potential units of multifamily housing that can be developed for each area. Table 1 summarizes the Housing Opportunity Area development potential, including the sites within each area and the maximum number of residential units estimated for each site. This information was obtained from Appendix B of the Housing Element. As shown in Table 1, full development of all Housing Opportunity Areas could result in up to 5,419 multifamily residential dwelling units.





Figure 1 Regional Location Map

City of Perris Housing Implmentation Measures VMT Impact Analysis 19598







Figure 2 Housing Opportunity Areas Map

Scale: 1:24,000



Area	Buildout Potential (DU)	Area	Buildout Potential (DU)
1 - Subtotal	320	7 - Site 7.1	113
2 - Site 2.1	109	7 - Site 7.2	179
2 - Site 2.2	120	7 - Site 7.3	13
2 - Site 2.3	123	7 - Site 7.4	69
2 - Site 2.4	120	7 - Subtotal	374
2 - Site 2.5	46	8 - Site 8.1	24
2 - Site 2.6	19	8 - Site 8.2	49
2 - Subtotal	537	8 - Site 8.3	111
3 - Site 3.1	104	8 - Site 8.4	17
3 - Site 3.2	122	8 - Subtotal	201
3 - Site 3.3	26	9 - Site 9.1	79
3 - Site 3.4	114	9 - Site 9.2	220
3 - Site 3.5	156	9 - Subtotal	299
3 - Site 3.6	36	10 - Site 10.1	70
3 - Site 3.7	127	10 - Site 10.2	60
3 - Subtotal	685	10 - Subtotal	130
4 - Subtotal	881	11 - Site 11.1	32
5 - Site 5.1	233	11 - Site 11.2	93
5 - Site 5.2	222	11 - Site 11.3	24
5 - Site 5.3	263	11 - Subtotal	149
5 - Site 5.4	251	12 - Site 12.1	35
5 - Subtotal	969	12 - Site 12.2	28
6 - Site 6.1	239	12 - Site 12.3	10
6 - Site 6.2	303	12 - Site 12.4	49
6 - Subtotal	542	12 - Site 12.5	31
-	-	12 - Site 12.6	109
-	-	12 - Site 12.7	70
-	-	12 - Subtotal	332
TOTAL			5,419

Table 1Housing Opportunity Area Development Potential

Source: City of Perris Housing Element (August 17, 2022); Appendix B.

2. METHODOLOGY

This section documents the analytical methodologies used to assess potential transportation impacts. This study was prepared in accordance with the *City of Perris Transportation Impact Analysis Guidelines for CEQA* (May 12, 2020) ["City Guidelines"] for evaluation of potential transportation impacts in the context of CEQA.

Since the proposed project consists of a plan-level program for the future potential development of residential units, a program-level environmental analysis is appropriate. This analysis evaluates the VMT screening criteria and potential VMT impacts for each of the Housing Opportunity Sites to the extent possible at the program level. Further analysis may be necessary at the project-specific level when and if an actual development is proposed.

SENATE BILL 743 BACKGROUND

California Senate Bill 743 (SB 743) directs the State Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to amend the CEQA Guidelines for evaluating transportation impacts to provide alternatives to Level of Service that "promote the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, the development of multimodal transportation networks, and a diversity of land uses." In December 2018, the California Natural Resources Agency certified and adopted the updated CEQA Guidelines package. The amended CEQA Guidelines, specifically Section 15064.3, recommend the use of VMT as the primary metric for the evaluation of transportation impacts associated with land use and transportation projects. In general terms, VMT quantifies the amount and distance of automobile travel attributable to a project or region. All agencies and projects State-wide are required to utilize the updated CEQA guidelines recommending use of VMT for evaluating transportation impacts as of July 1, 2020.

The updated CEQA Guidelines allow for lead agency discretion in establishing methodologies and thresholds provided there is substantial evidence to demonstrate that the established procedures promote the intended goals of the legislation. Where quantitative models or methods are unavailable, Section 15064.3 allows agencies to assess VMT qualitatively using factors such as availability of transit and proximity to other destinations. The Office of Planning and Research (OPR) *Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA* (State of California, December 2018) ["OPR Technical Advisory"] provides technical considerations regarding methodologies and thresholds with a focus on office, residential, and retail developments as these projects tend to have the greatest influence on VMT.

CEQA SIGNIFICANT IMPACT CRITERIA

CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G: Environmental Checklist Form consider a significant transportation impact to occur if a project would:

- a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities;
- b) Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b);
- c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment);
- d) Result in inadequate emergency access.

The City Guidelines establish thresholds of significance for addressing questions "a)" and "b)" above regarding transportation impacts.



VMT THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

As documented in the *City of Perris Housing Implementation Measures Transportation Study* (Ganddini Group, May 2023), the buildout potential for all Housing Opportunity Areas is forecast to generate a total of 36,524 daily trips. Per the City Guidelines, VMT modeling is required since the project is forecast to generate more than 2,500 daily trips. Accordingly, a project would result in a significant project-generated VMT impact if either of the following conditions are satisfied:

- The base model year project-generated VMT per service population exceeds the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population, or
- The future model year project-generated VMT per service population exceeds the City of Perris base year VMT per service population.

The City Guidelines also provide a framework for "screening thresholds" for certain projects that are expected to cause a less than significant impact without conducting a detailed VMT study. The City-established screening criteria are evaluated later in this report in the VMT Screening Assessment section.

VMT MODELING METHODOLOGY

In accordance with City Guidelines, VMT modeling was performed using the County of Riverside's travel demand forecasting model, Riverside County Model (RIVCOM). VMT per service population (population plus employment) was calculated for the following scenarios:

- Base year conditions
- Base year plus project conditions
- Horizon year conditions
- Horizon year plus project conditions

Appendix A contains a detailed breakdown by traffic analysis zone (TAZ) of the socio-economic data (SED) inputs used for without and with project conditions in the VMT modeling for the project. The buildout potential for each Housing Opportunity Area site was added to the baseline (i.e., no project) number of households for each TAZ in which the Housing Opportunity Area sites are located. It is noted that the existing RIVCOM model utilizes different ratios of population per household for each project TAZ and those factors also change between the base year and future year models. For consistency with the existing RIVCOM methodology, households were converted to population based on the ratios of total population per household for each project TAZ derived from the current RIVCOM base year and future year models. The following is a summary of the total SED inputs used for base and future year models:

Table 2. Summary of SED Inputs

	No Pro	oject ^{1, 2}	With Project						
Model Scenario	Households	Population	Households	Population					
Base Year (2018)	3,322	13,737	8,741	33,561					
Future Year (2045)	8,200	27,375	13,619	44,068					

Notes:

1. Source: Western Riverside Council of Governments, Riverside County Transportation Model (RIVCOM).

2. Households and population are based on totals for Housing Opportunity Area TAZs

(1803/1804/1805/1807/1809/1841/1845/1857/1860/1862/1863/1866)



3. EXISTING TRANSPORTATION SETTING

This section provides a brief summary of the existing transportation setting as it relates to VMT.

ROADWAY SYSTEM

The northern portion of the City of Perris is generally bordered by the Interstate 215 (I-215) freeway on the west and Harley Knox Boulevard on the north, while the central and southern portions of the City straddle the I-215 freeway. In the southeastern portion of the City, State Route 74 (SR-74) connects to I-215 and continues along 4th Street to the western City limits.

The City of Perris roadway system and functional classifications are described in the City's General Plan Circulation Element. Figure 3 shows the City of Perris Future Roadway Network. This figure shows the nature and extent of arterial and collector highways that are needed to adequately serve the ultimate development depicted by the Land Use Element of the General Plan. As shown on Figure 3, the City's roadway network is classified into five basic classifications: Freeways and Expressways, Primary Arterials, Secondary Arterials, Collector Streets, and Local Streets.

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

The City of Perris is currently served with fixed route transit service by the Riverside Transit Agency (RTA). Figure 4 shows the current RTA Transit System Map for the City of Perris. As shown on Figure 4, the City is currently served by RTA Routes 9, 19, 27, 28, 30, 41, 61, and 74. RTA also operates Dial-A-Ride within the City of Perris. Dial-a-Ride is a public, advance reservation service available to eligible seniors and persons with disabilities.

The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) operates other specialized transportation programs within the City of Perris, including ride-sharing and vanpool services, dial-a-ride, and specialized services for seniors and persons with disabilities.

Rail transportation includes the Metrolink 91/Perris Valley line, which connects from both the Perris Downtown and Perris South stations to Los Angeles Union Station.

NON-MOTORIZED TRANSPORTATION

Figure 5 shows the City of Perris Bikeways Systems map. A shown on Figure 5, the City's bikeway system is comprised of shared-use paths (Class I), on-street bicycle lanes (Class II), buffered bicycle lanes (Class IIB), bicycle routes (Class III), bicycle boulevards (Class IIIB), and separated bikeways (Class IV). Numerous bicycle facilities are planned throughout the City with a concentration of Class II bicycle lanes and Class IIIB bicycle boulevard in the downtown area.

Figure 6 shows the City of Perris Pedestrian Facilities map. The figure identifies crossing facilities, curb treatments, signals and beacons, traffic calming, transit stop amenities, pedestrian-scale lighting, sidewalks and paths, and shared-use paths. As shown on Figure 6, numerous pedestrian facilities are planned throughout the City with a concentration of crossing facilities and traffic calming features in the downtown area.





Source: City of Perris General Plan (August 2022)



City of Perris Housing Implementation Measures VMT Impact Analysis 19598





City of Perris Housing Implementation Measures VMT Impact Analysis 19598



Source: City of Perris Active Transportation Plan (December 2020)





Pedestrian Recommendations

Crossing Facilities
Curb Treatments
Signals & Beacons
Traffic Calming
Transit Stop Amenities

Pedestrian-Scale Lighting Sidewalks & Paths Traffic Calming

Shared-Use Path (Class I)

Destinations + Boundaries





Figure 6 City of Perris Pedestrian Facilities

Source: City of Perris Active Transportation Plan (December 2020))



4. VMT SCREENING ASSESSMENT

This section provides a program-level assessment of the screening thresholds established by the City of Perris. The City Guidelines provide a framework for "screening thresholds" for certain projects that are expected to cause a less than significant impact without conducting a detailed VMT study.

As specified in the City Guidelines, projects that satisfy any one of the following criteria are presumed to result in a less than significant transportation impact in regard to VMT:

- A. Is the project 100% affordable housing?
- B. Is the project within one-half mile of qualifying transit?
- C. Is the project a local serving land use?
- D. Is the project in a low VMT area?
- E. Are the project's net daily trips less than 500 ADT?

AFFORDABLE HOUSING

If a project consists of 100% affordable housing, then the presumption can be made that it will have a less than significant impact on VMT. According to sources provided by OPR, affordable housing projects typically generate lower VMT than market-rate housing and a project consisting of a high percentage of affordable housing may be a basis for the lead agency to find a less than significant impact on VMT. Furthermore, a project which includes any affordable residential units may factor in the effect of the affordability on VMT into the assessment of VMT generated by those units.

Any actual development proposals under the Housing Overlay implementation that are comprised of 100% affordable housing units would satisfy this screening criteria. Since the Housing Overlay only requires a minimum of 20 percent of units to affordable, implementation of the Housing Overlay plan overall cannot be considered to satisfy this screening criteria.

TRANSIT PRIORITY AREAS

CEQA Guideline Section 15064.3, subdivision (b)(1), states that lead agencies generally should presume that certain projects (including residential, retail, and office projects, as well as projects that are a mix of these uses) proposed within one-half mile of an existing major transit stop¹ or an existing stop along a high-quality transit corridor² will have a less than significant impact on VMT.

Exhibit B of the City Guidelines illustrate a map of the transit priority areas in the City of Perris. The City Guidelines further note that a presumption of less than significant does not apply if the project:

- Includes more parking for use by residents, customers, or employees of the project than required by the jurisdiction (if the jurisdiction requires the project to supply parking);
- Is inconsistent with the applicable Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) (as determined by the lead agency, with input from the Metropolitan Planning Organization); or
- Replaces affordable residential units with a smaller number of moderate or high-income residential units.

² High-quality transit corridor is defined as a corridor with fixed route bus service with service intervals no longer than 15 minutes during peak commute hours. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21155)



¹ Major transit stop is defined as a site containing an existing rail transit station, a ferry terminal served by either a bus or rail transit service, or the intersection of two or more major bus routes with a frequency of service interval of 15 minutes or less during the morning and afternoon peak commute periods. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21064.3)

Figure 7 shows a map of the Housing Opportunity Areas relative to the City of Perris Transit Priority Areas. As shown on Figure 7, future development in the following sites may be eligible for Transit Priority Area screening:

- Housing Opportunity Area 2 All Sites
- Housing Opportunity Area 3 Site 3.3
- Housing Opportunity Area 9 All Sites
- Housing Opportunity Area 12 Sites 12.1 through 12.6

Since the project-specific design features such as parking supply relative to City requirements, consistency with the RTP/SCS, and displacement of affordable units cannot be determined at the program-level, further assessment would be required at the project-specific level to verify TPA screening for the above sites.

LOCAL-SERVING LAND USE

Local serving land uses provide more opportunities for residents and employees to shop, dine and obtain services closer to home and work. Local serving uses can also include community resources that may otherwise be located outside of the city or local area. The City Guidelines include a list of local serving uses, primarily consisting of retail, educational, and municipal/public services. Since future development proposals under the Housing Overlay would consist of residential uses, implementation of the Housing Overlay plan overall cannot be considered to satisfy this screening criteria.

LOW VMT AREA

Projects that locate in areas with low VMT, and that incorporate similar features (i.e., land use type, access to the circulation network, etc.), will tend to exhibit similarly low VMT. If a project is located in a Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) with VMT per capita or VMT per employee that is less than or equal to the Citywide average, then the project is considered to be located in a low VMT area and can be presumed to have a less than significant impact on VMT.

The City Guidelines establish that low VMT screening may be performed using WRCOG's web-based VMT tool for projects that are forecast to generate less than 2,500 daily trips. Since the overall Housing Overlay plan is forecast to generate more than 2,500 daily trips, VMT modeling is required to assess the overall impact of future development under the Housing Overlay. VMT modeling and impact analysis is provided in the following section.

NET DAILY TRIPS

Projects that generate less than 500 average daily trips (ADT) would not cause a substantial increase in the total citywide or regional VMT and are therefore presumed to have a less than significant impact on VMT. Appendix B of the City Guidelines provides additional discussion and analysis regarding the application of the 500 ADT screening criteria and how it has been established within the context of CEQA.

As previously noted and documented in the *City of Perris Housing Implementation Measures Transportation Study* (Ganddini Group, May 2023), the buildout potential for all Housing Opportunity Areas is forecast to generate a total of 36,524 daily trips. Therefore, this screening criteria is not met.





5. VMT MODELING/IMPACT ANALYSIS

This section presents the findings of the VMT modeling and impacts.

OVERALL VMT IMPACTS

As previously noted, VMT modeling was performed using the County of Riverside's RIVCOM regional travel demand model. A summary of the SED inputs used is shown in the Methodology section and Appendix A contains a detailed breakdown by TAZ. Total passenger car VMT was calculated using the VMT post-processing tool integrated into the RIVCOM model.

Table 3 shows the daily VMT estimates for the City of Perris for the base year (2018) and future year (2045) conditions without and with the Housing Overlay. Table 3 also shows the threshold of significance, which was determined based on linear interpolation between the base year (2018) and future year (2045) model results for without Housing Overlay conditions.

		City of Perris									
Scenario	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	Impact?							
2018 Without Housing Overlay	2,492,699	92,552	30.3	-							
2018 With Housing Overlay	2,844,890	112,376	28.7	No							
2045 Without Housing Overlay	4,464,588	164,849	30.5	-							
2045 With Housing Overlay	4,767,636	181,542	29.5	No							
Threshold of Significance: City of	Perris Baseline (2023)	30.4	-							

Table 3. Daily VMT Estimates

Notes:

1. Source: Western Riverside Council of Governments, Riverside County Transportation Model (RIVCOM).

2. VMT = Vehicle Miles Traveled; SP = Service Population (population plus employment)

3. Baseline (2023) VMT/SP was derived from linear interpolation between the 2018 and 2045 no project scenarios.

As shown Table 3, the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population is equal 30.4. In accordance with the City-established thresholds, the project would result in a significant impact if base model year or future model year project-generated VMT per service population exceeds 30.4.

As shown Table 3, the base model year (2018) with Housing Overlay buildout is forecast to result in a Citywide VMT per service population of 28.7, which does not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4. The future model year (2045) with Housing Overlay buildout is forecast to result in a Citywide VMT per service population of 29.5, which does not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4. Therefore, buildout of the overall Housing Overlay is forecast to result in a less than significant impact based on the City-established thresholds for VMT.

SITE-SPECIFIC VMT ESTIMATES

Although implementation of the overall Housing Overlay would result in a less than significant impact, individual Housing Opportunity Area sites may exceed the Citywide baseline VMT per service population and would require further review at the project-specific level. To aid in implementation of the Housing Overlay, the project (i.e., net change with Housing Overlay) VMT per service population was calculated for each individual Housing Opportunity Area site as summarized in Appendix B. Table 4 summarizes the net change in VMT per service population (i.e., project-generated VMT) for each Housing Opportunity Area site with implementation of the Housing Overlay.



Area	Site No.	TAZ	Net Project VMT/SP (Baseline 2023)	Low/High VMT Impact	Area	Site No.	TAZ	Net Project VMT/SP (Baseline 2023)	Low/High VMT Impact
1	1.1	1804	15.9	Low	7	7.1	1863	22.9	Low
2	2.1	1803	14.4	Low	7	7.2	1863	22.9	Low
2	2.2	1803	14.4	Low	7	7.3	1863	22.9	Low
2	2.3	1803	14.4	Low	7	7.4	1863	22.9	Low
2	2.4	1803	14.4	Low	8	8.1	1862	20.0	Low
2	2.5	1803	14.4	Low	8	8.2	1862	20.0	Low
2	2.6	1803	14.4	Low	8	8.3	1862	20.0	Low
3	3.1	1809	16.2	Low	8	8.4	1862	20.0	Low
3	3.2	1809	16.2	Low	9	9.1	1841	20.6	Low
3	3.3	1809	16.2	Low	9	9.2	1841	20.6	Low
3	3.4	1809	16.2	Low	10	10.1	1886	19.4	Low
3	3.5	1809	16.2	Low	10	10.2	1886	19.4	Low
3	3.6	1809	16.2	Low	11	11.1	1845	24.5	Low
3	3.7	1809	16.2	Low	11	11.2	1845	24.5	Low
4	4.1	1857	17.6	Low	11	11.3	1845	24.5	Low
5	5.1	1857	17.6	Low	12	12.1	1805	7.2	Low
5	5.2	1857	17.6	Low	12	12.2	1886	20.2	Low
5	5.3	1857	17.6	Low	12	12.3	1807	<0	Low
5	5.4	1857	17.6	Low	12	12.4	1886	19.4	Low
6	6.1	1860	22.7	Low	12	12.5	1886	19.4	Low
6	6.2	1860	22.7	Low	12	12.6	1886	19.4	Low
					12	12.7	1886	19.4	Low

Table 4Housing Opportunity Area Sites Net VMT Per Service Population

Notes:

1. TAZ = Traffic Analysis Zone; VMT = Vehicle Miles Traveled; SP = Service Population

2. Low impact is defined as net project VMT/SP that does not exceed the City of Perris baseline 30.4 VMT/SP.

As shown in Table 4, all of the Housing Opportunity Area sites are forecast to have a low VMT impact (i.e., the net effect of development on the site per the Housing Overlay would not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4).



6. CEQA IMPACTS

This section provides an assessment of the Housing Overlay relative to the CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G: Environmental Checklist Form. A significant transportation impact would occur if a project would:

- a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities;
- b) Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b);

Items a) and b) impact: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated

Based on the VMT modeling presented in the previous section, buildout of the overall Housing Overlay is forecast to result in a less than significant impact based on the City-established thresholds for VMT. Specifically, the base model year (2018) with Housing Overlay buildout is forecast to result in a Citywide VMT per service population of 28.7, which does not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4. The future model year (2045) with Housing Overlay buildout is forecast to result in a Citywide VMT per service population of 29.5, which does not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4.

- c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment);
- d) Result in inadequate emergency access.

Items c) and d) impact: Less Than Significant

In accordance with the City of Perris development review procedures and standard conditions of approval, future development proposals would be required to adhere to the following or similar conditions that would ensure projects do not substantially increase hazards due to geometric design features or incompatible uses:

- A construction work site traffic control plan shall comply with State standards set forth in the California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices and shall be submitted to the City of Perris for review and approval prior to the issuance of a grading permit or start of construction. The plan shall identify any roadway, sidewalk, bike route, or bus stop closures and detours as well as haul routes and hours of operation. All construction-related trips shall be restricted to off-peak hours to the extent possible.
- All on-site and off-site roadway design, traffic signing and striping, and traffic control improvements relating to the proposed project shall be constructed in accordance with applicable State/Federal engineering standards to the satisfaction of the City of Perris.
- Site-adjacent roadways shall be constructed or repaired at their ultimate half-section width, including landscaping and parkway improvements in conjunction with development, or as otherwise required by the City of Perris.
- Adequate emergency vehicle access shall be provided to the satisfaction of the Riverside County Fire Authority.



• The final grading, landscaping, and street improvement plans shall demonstrate that sight distance requirements are met in accordance with applicable City of Perris/California Department of transportation sight distance standards.



7. CONCLUSIONS

This section summarizes the findings and mitigation measures (if any) identified in previous sections of this study.

VMT SCREENING ASSESSMENT

Subject to further review of site-specific project design features such as parking supply relative to City requirements, consistency with the RTP/SCS, and displacement of affordable units, future development in the following sites may be eligible for Transit Priority Area screening:

- Housing Opportunity Area 2 All Sites
- Housing Opportunity Area 3 Site 3.3
- Housing Opportunity Area 9 All Sites
- Housing Opportunity Area 12 Sites 12.1 through 12.6

Since the project-specific design features such as parking supply relative to City requirements, consistency with the RTP/SCS, and displacement of affordable units cannot be determined at the program-level, further assessment would be required at the project-specific level to verify TPA screening for the above sites.

VMT IMPACTS

The base model year (2018) with Housing Overlay buildout is forecast to result in a Citywide VMT per service population of 28.7, which does not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4. The future model year (2045) with Housing Overlay buildout is forecast to result in a Citywide VMT per service population of 29.5, which does not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4. Therefore, buildout of the overall Housing Overlay is forecast to result in a less than significant impact based on the City-established thresholds for VMT.

All of the Housing Opportunity Area sites are forecast to have a low VMT impact (i.e., the net effect of development on the site per the Housing Overlay would not exceed the City of Perris baseline VMT per service population of 30.4).

MITIGATION MEASURES

No mitigation measures are recommended since implementation of the housing overlay is forecast to result in a less than significant VMT impact.



APPENDICES

Appendix A RIVCOM Socio-Economic Data Inputs Appendix B VMT by Housing Opportunity Site



APPENDIX A

RIVCOM Socio-Economic Data Inputs



Site 2																								
Tier 1 TAZ 1803/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312100	TotPop	RES	PO	DP5 17 F	POP18 24	POP16 64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	H size1 F	HH size2	HH size3	HH size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC HH	MINC HH	HINC H	H VHIN	IC HH HH	Population TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data		1781	1781	458	204	1075	134	457	70		128	31	36	- 61	- 75	286	131		274	5 1	38	31	12	1781 160
2010 Baseline SE Data Patia		1701	1701	0.045	0.400	0.575	0.070	4.000	0.450	0.400	0.000	0.040	0.070	0.400	0.474	0.404	101	020		· ·		704	0.070	1,01 100
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio				0.245	0.109	0.575	0.072	1.000	0.153	0.499	0.280	0.068	0.079	0.133	0.164	0.624					U	1.721	0.279	
Project SED		2093	2093	512	228	1202	150	537	82	268	150	36	42	/2	88	335		537	/ 53/	/	0	0	0	2093
2018 With Project SED		3874	3874	970	432	2277	284	994	152	496	278	67	78	133	163	621	131	863	812	2 1	.38	31	12	3874 160
Tier 1 TAZ 1803/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312100	TotPop	RES	PO	DP5_17 F	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	HH_size1 H	HH_size2 I	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_H	H VHIN	IC_HH HF	Population TotEmp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data		3377	3364	656	295	1980	676	1019	266		275	82				443	14		453		27	174	65	3377 229
2045 Buildout Year Batia				0.192	0.092	0.540	0.197	1.000	0.241	0.290	0.270	0.090	0.224	0.145	0.004	0.425						700	0.070	
		1700	1700	0.102	0.002	0.547	0.107	1.000	0.201	0.507	0.270	0.000	0.024	0.145	0.070	0.455		507		-			0.272	1700
Project SED		1780	1780	324	146	977	334	537	140	209	145	43	1/4	/8	52	233		537	537	/	0	0	0	1780
2045 With Project SED		5157	5144	980	441	2957	1010	1556	406	605	420	125	504	226	150	676	14	1542	2 990) 3	27	174	65	5157 229
Site 1																								
Tier 1 TAZ 1804/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43320100	TotPop	RES	PO	DP5 17 F	POP18 24	POP16 64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 H	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3 H	H size1 H	HH size2	HH size3	HH size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC HH	MINC HH	HINC H	H VHIN	IC HH HH	Population TotEmp
2018 Receive SE Data				- 1/10	- 580	3283		1112	124	492		115	- 66	- 74	- 130	- 842	010				73		- 62	5162 180
2010 baseline SE Data			5102	1417	0.00	0,500	272	1112	0.140	402	0.050	0.100	0.050	0.017	150	0.757						201	0.400	5102 100
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio				0.255	0.104	0.589	0.052	1.000	0.112	0.433	0.352	0.103	0.059	0.067	0.117	0.757					U	.808	0.192	
Project SED		1485	1485	378	155	875	78	320	36	139	113	33	19	21	37	242		320) 64	1	64	155	37	1485
2018 With Project SED		6651	6647	1797	735	4158	370	1432	160	621	504	148	85	95	167	1084	919	512	279	96	37	416	99	6647 180
Tier 1 TAZ 1804/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43320100	TotPop	RES	PO	DP5_17 F	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	HH_size1 H	HH_size2 I	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_H	H VHIN	IC_HH HF	Population TotEmp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data		6817			670	4011	1216	1709			499		327	230	174	977				3 7	'04			6817 227
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.186	0.092	0.554	0.168	1.000	0.192	0.457	0.292	0.059	0.191	0.135	0.102	0.572					0	753	0.247	
Deelect SED		1074	1074	0.100	110	707	0.100	200	41	144	0.272	10	21	40	0.102	102		200	. 41	4	44	145	47	1074
Project SED		1270	1270	237	110	707	214	320	000	140	73	17	10	43	33	103		320		÷	04	140	47	1276
2045 With Project SED		8093	8089	1584	/88	4/18	1430	2029	389	927	592	120	388	2/3	207	1160	820	1209	/ 582	2 /	68	511	16/	8093 227
Site 12.1																								
Tier 1 TAZ 1805/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312400	TotPop	RES	PO	0P5_17 F	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	HL_size1 H	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_H	H VHIN	IC_HH HH	Population TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data		495	492	130	- 43	- 303	40	117	20	63	28	5	9	. 17	- 21	- 70	45		2 68	3	39	8	3	492 896
2018 Baseline SE Data Batio				0.252	0.083	0.587	0.078	0.901	0.171	0.538	0.239	0.043	0.077	0.145	0.179	0.598					0	1727	0.273	
Deploce CED		1.47	1.47	0.2.52	0.003	10.00	0.078	0.771	0.171	0.000	0.237	0.043	0.077	0.145	0.1/9	0.370					0		0.2/3	147
Project SED		14/	14/	3/	12	86	11	35	6	19	8	1	3	5	6	21		35	35		U	U	U	147
2018 With Project SED		642	639	167	55	389	51	152	26	82	36	6	12	22	27	91	45	107	/ 103	3	39	8	3	639 896
Tier 1 TAZ 1805/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312400	TotPop	RES	PO	DP5_17 F	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	HH_size1 H	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_H	H VHIN	IC_HH HF	IPopulation TotEmp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data		1465	1462	254	118	862	345	457	148	174	98	38	171	61	64	161	346	111	180) 1	51	92	34	1465 1265
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.161	0.075	0.546	0.218	1.002	0.324	0.381	0.214	0.083	0.374	0.133	0.140	0.352					0	.730	0.270	
Project SED		112	112	18	8	61	25	35	11	13	8	3	13	5	5	12		35	; 34	5	0	0	0	112
2045 With Deplect SED		1677	1674	272	104	002	270	400	150	107	104	41	104	44	40	172	244	144	216	- 1	E1	0.2	24	1677 1046
2045 With Project SED		1377	1374	272	120	723	370	472	107	107	100	41	104	00	07	1/3	340	140	21.	1	.51	72	- 34	1377 1203
Site 12.3																								
Tier 1 TAZ 1807/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312300	TotPop	RES	PO	DP5_17 F	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	HH_size1 H	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_H	H VHIN	IC_HH HF	IPopulation TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data		3419	3415	884	394	2131	229	790	135	350	226	79	58	63	128	541	563	227	7 464	4 2	25	100	1	3419 195
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio				0.243	0.108	0.586	0.063	1.000	0.171	0.443	0.286	0.100	0.073	0.080	0.162	0.685					0	.990	0.010	
Project SED		43	43	11	5	25	3	10	2	4	3	1	1	1	2	7		10				0	0	43
2019 With Design SED		2442	2459	905	200	215	222	900	107	254	220	90	50	44	120	, E 4 0	E 4 9	007	, 7 A 4 1	4 0	10	100	1	2442 105
2018 With Project SED	T 10	3402	3436	675	377	2150	232	008	13/	3.14	227	80		04	130	04C	503	237	404	+ 2		100	1	3402 173
Tier 1 TAZ 1807/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312300	TotPop	RES	PO	0P5_17 F	OP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Housenolds	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 F	HH_SIZE1 H	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_SIZE4 S	FDU	MEDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_H	H VHIN	С НН НН	Population lotemp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data		4888	4884		464	2857	934	1319	274	613	351		153		472		1169	150		7 4		247		4888 509
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.180	0.089	0.550	0.180	1.000	0.208	0.465	0.266	0.061	0.116	0.064	0.358	0.462					C).784	0.216	
Project SED		37	37	7	3	20	7	10	2	5	3	1	1	1	4	5		10) ()	10	0	0	37
2045 With Project SED		4925	4921	943	467	2877	941	1329	276	618	354	82	154	86	476	614	1169	160) 567	7 4	47	247	68	4925 509
Cite 0																								
	T 10	0.50				00044.44	000/50																	Telfare
TIEF 1 TAZ 1809/TIEF2 SCAG TAZ 43312300	тогрор	RES	PU	/PD_1/ F	OP18_24	POP16_64	POPosP	Households	HH-WIKU	HH-WIKI I	HH-WIKZ I	HH-WIK3 F	IH_SIZE1 F	HH_SIZEZ I	HH_SIZE3	HH_SIZe4 5	FDU	MEDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_HI	H VHIN	С НН НР	Population IOLEMP
2018 Baseline SE Data		864	864	223	100			193				19	14	15		132	138		113			25		864 52
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio				0.243	0.109	0.585	0.063	0.995	0.171	0.440	0.285	0.098	0.073	0.078	0.161	0.688					1	.000	0.000	
Project SED		3067	3067	744	334	1795	194	685	121	302	195	67	50	54	111	471		685	<u> </u>	3	12	0	0	3067
2018 With Project SED		3931	3931	967	434	2333	252	878	154	387	250	86	64	69	142	603	138	740) 786	5	67	25	0	3931 52
Tier 1 TAZ 1809/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312300	TotPon	RES	PΩ)P5 17 F	POP18 24	POP16 64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3 F	H size1 H	HH size2	HH size3	HH size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC HH	MINC HH	HINC H	H VHIN	ІС НН НН	Population TotEmn
2045 Buildout Year SE Data	-1-	1943	1941	372	- 185	1136	371	581	121	270	155	36	- 68	37	208	268	572	q	250) 1	93		- 30	1943 161
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.180	0.000	0.550	0.120	1 002	0.209	244.0	0.267	220.0	0.117	NA0.0	0.350	0.461	272		2.00	-		784	0.214	101
Deploce CED		2201	0.004	0.100	0.070	0.00	0.100	1.002	0.208	0.400	0.207	0.002	0.11/	0.004	0.336	0.401		/~~			10		0.2.10	0004
Project SED		2291	2291	413	205	1261	412	685	141	318	183	42	80	44	245	316		685	6/3	5	12	0	0	2291
2045 With Project SED		4234	4232	/85	390	2397	/83	1266	262	588	338	/8	148	81	453	584	572	694	923	3 2	95	109	30	4234 161
Site 9																								
Tier 1 TAZ 1841/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312400	TotPop	RES	PO	0P5_17 F	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	HL_size1 H	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC H	H VHIN	IC_HH HH	Population TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data		747	743	- 196	- 65	- 458	60	210	37	114			17	30	- 37	- 126	81		2 121	1 -	70 -	13	5	743 792
2018 Baseline SE Data Batio				0.252	0.083	0.588	0.077	1 0 0 5	0.174	0.543	0.238	0.048	0.081	0.143	0.176	0.600	01				0	1722	0.278	
Deployt CED		1059	1059	0.2.52	0.003	200	0.077	200	0.170	1/0	0.230	0.040	0.001	40	0.170	470					44	05	0.270	1059
		1006	1008	200	88	622	81	299	51	162	/1	14	24	43	53	1/9		299	123			70	3/	1000
2018 With Project SED		1805	1801	462	153	1080	141	509	88	276	121	24	41	73	90	305	81	428	244	+ 1	.14	108	42	1801 792
Lier 1 TAZ 1841/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43312400	TotPop	RES	PO	0P5_17 F	'OP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	HH_size1 H	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_H	H VHIN	IC_HH HH	Population TotEmp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data		2208	2203	383	179	1300	521	723	234	274	155	59	270	97	101	255	634	89	284	4 2	40	146		2208 1011
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.161	0.075	0.546	0.219	0.999	0.324	0.379	0.214	0.082	0.373	0.134	0.140	0.353					C).734	0.266	
Project SED		913	913	147	69	498	200	299	97	113	64	24	112	40	42	105		299	125	3	44	97	35	913
2045 With Project SED		3121	3116	530	2/8	1798	701	1022	324	397	210	83	383	137	143	360	634	289	40	7 2	184	243	88	3121 1011
2010 Martiliger DED		0421	0110	000	240	1/70	/21	1022			217			10/	143		004		40)	- 2		210	- 00	5121 1011
Site 11																								
Lier 1 TAZ 1845/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43329300	TotPop	RES	PO	0P5_17 F	'OP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1 I	HH-wrk2 I	HH-wrk3 H	HH_size1 H	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU	MFDU	LINC_HH	MINC_HH	HINC_H	H VHIN	IC_HH HH	Population TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data		27	27	4	5	19	1	7	1	3	3				1	6	2			3	4			27 62
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio				0.138	0.172	0.655	0.034	1.000	0.143	0.429	0.429	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.143	0.857					C	000.	0.000	
Project SED		575	575	79	99	377	20	149	21	64	64	0	0	0	21	128		149	117	7	32	0	0	575

2018 With Project SED		602	602	83	104	396	21	156	22	67	67	0	0	0) 22	134	2	15	4	120	36	0	0	602	62
Tier 1 TAZ 1845/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43329300	TotPop	RES	Р	OP5 17	POP18 24	POP16 64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3	HH size1	HH size2	HH size3	HH size4	FDU M	/FDU	LINC HH	MINC I	HH HINC	HH VI	HINC HH H	IHPopulation	TotEmp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data				103	- 59	394	214	263	73	136	46	8	- 73	- 77	39	- 78	1	26	2 -	93	94	51	- 25		1002
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.134	0.077	0.512	0.278	1.000	0.278	0.517	0.175	0.030	0.278	0.293	0.135	0.297						0.671	0.329		
Project SED		414	414	55	30	213	115	1/0	/1	77	26	0.000	41	0.270	20			14	o .	117	32	0.071	0.027	414	
2045 With Project SED		1144	1144	158	01	604	320	412	114	213	72	13	114	121	50	122	1	/1	1	210	126	51	25	1144	1002
2045 With Hoject SEB		1144	1144	150	/ 1	. 000	527	412	114	210	12	15	114	121	. J.	122	-	41	± .	210	120	51	23	1144	1002
Site 4 S S																									
	TetDee	DEC	D	005 47	00040.04	00047774	000/50	Literate level de		LILL CONTRACT	1111		LUL devid		100.0-0	101-2-24	-			MINIC				UDandation	TetFmn
Tier I TAZ 1857/Tierz SCAG TAZ 43313600	тогрор	RES	P	UP5_17	POP18_24	POP16_64	PUP65P	Households	HH-WIKU	HH-WIKI	HH-WIKZ	HH-WIK3	HH_SIZE1	HH_SIZEZ	HH_sizes	HH_SIZe4 2	FDU r	AFDU (LINC_HH	MINC_I	H HINC	_HH VI	HINC_HH H	inpopulation	TOLETTP
2018 Baseline SE Data		6//		155	51	448	/5	169	31	93	42	3	22	25	23	99	100		9	79	72	15	3		128
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio				0.213	0.070	0.615	0.103	1.000	0.183	0.550	0.249	0.018	0.130	0.148	0.136	0.586						0.833	0.16/		
Project SED		7411	7411	1576	518	3 4554	762	1850	339	1018	460	33	241	274	252	1084		185	0 4	455	690	588	118	7411	
2018 With Project SED		8088	8088	1731	569	5002	837	2019	370	1111	502	36	263	299	275	1183	100	191	9	534	762	603	121	8088	128
Tier 1 TAZ 1857/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43313600	TotPop	RES	P	OP5_17	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3	HH_size1	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 9	FDU M	1FDU	LINC_HH	MINC_I	HH HINC	_HH VI	HINC_HH H	IHPopulation	TotEmp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data		2135	2135	346	161	. 1219	531	703	190	343	143	27	111	110) 246	236	416	28	7 :		250	145		2135	143
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.153	0.071	0.540	0.235	1.000	0.270	0.488	0.203	0.038	0.158	0.156	0.350	0.336						0.732	0.268		
Project SED		5618	5618	861	401	3034	1322	1850	500	903	376	71	292	289	647	621		185	0 4	455	690	516	189	5618	
2045 With Project SED		7753	7753	1207	562	4253	1853	2553	690	1246	519	98	403	399	893	857	416	213	7 :	710	940	661	242	7753	143
Site 6																									
Tier 1 TAZ 1860/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43321200	TotPop	RES	P	OP5_17	POP18 24	POP16 64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3	HH_size1	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4	FDU M	4FDU	LINC HH	MINC I	HH HINC	_HH VI	HINC_HH H	IHPopulation	TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data	-1-			- 4		10	6	- 9	3	4	2	0	- 4	- 2	· 1	2			0	0	0	3	0		619
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio		-	-	0.160	0.120	0 480	0.240	1.000	0.333	0 444	0.222	0.000	0.444	0.222	0.111	0.222					-	1 000	0.000		- + 7
Project SED		1385	1385	222	164	201.0	332	542	181	241	120	0.000	241	120		120					107	328	0.000	1395	
2018 With Devicest SED		1005	1005	222	100	. 440	002	542	101	241	120	0	241	120) (C	120	0	54	2 ·	107	107	220	0	1305	410
2018 Will Floject SED	TatDaa	1303	1365	OD5 47	100	DOD44 44	000/50	242	101	241	120	0	241	120	/ ULL -10	120	0		2 .	107	107	320		1365	Tot Cmm
Tier I TAZ 1860/ Tierz SCAG TAZ 43321200	тогрор	RES	P 07/5	UP5_17	POP18_24	POP10_04	PUP65P	Households	HH-WIKU	HH-WIKI	HH-WIKZ	HH-WIK3	HH_SIZE1	HH_SIZEZ	HH_sizes	HH_SIZe4 3	FDU r	1FDU	LINC_HH		H HINC	_HH VI	HINC_HH H	inpopulation	TOLEMP
2045 Buildout Year SE Data		2766	2765	296	175	1465	972	1000	271	410	266	54	217	204	227	352		41		334		225	94	2766	853
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.102	0.061	. 0.504	0.333	1.001	0.271	0.410	0.266	0.054	0.217	0.204	0.227	0.352						0.705	0.295		
Project SED		1499	1499	152	92	2 755	500	542	146	222	144	29	118	111	. 123	191		54	2 :	107	107	231	97	1499	
2045 With Project SED		4265	4264	448	271	. 2224	1472	1542	417	632	410	83	335	315	i 350	543	585	95	9 4	441	453	456	191	4265	853
Site 8																									
Tier 1 TAZ 1862/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43334300	TotPop	RES	P	OP5_17	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3	HH_size1	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU M	4FDU	LINC_HH	MINC_I	HH HINC	C_HH VI	HINC_HH H	IHPopulation	TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data				6	4	4 20	6	11	5	3	2	1	3	2	! 2	5				5	4	2	0		0
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio				0.167	0.111	0.556	0.167	1.000	0.455	0.273	0.182	0.091	0.250	0.167	0.167	0.417						1.000	0.000		
Project SED		621	621	104	69	345	104	201	91	55	37	18	50	34	34	84		20	1 :	196	5	0	0	621	
2018 With Project SED		621	621	104	69	345	104	201	91	55	37	18	50	34	4 34	84	0	20	1 :	196	5	0	0	621	0
Tier 1 TAZ 1862/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43334300	TotPop	RES	P	OP5_17	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3	HH_size1	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4 S	FDU N	/FDU	LINC_HH	MINC_I	HH HINC	_HH VI	HINC_HH H	IHPopulation	TotEmp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data				7	4	21	5	11	3	4	3	1	2	2	: 2	5				5	3	2	0		551
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.189	0.108	0.568	0.135	1.000	0.273	0.364	0.273	0.091	0.182	0.182	0.182	0.455						1.000	0.000		
Project SED		640	640	121	69	363	86	201	55	73	55	18	37	37	37	91		20	1 .	196	5	0	0	640	
2045 With Project SED		640	640	121	69	363	86	201	55	73	55	18	37	37	37	91	0	20	1	196	5	0	0	640	551
Site 7																									
Tier 1 TAZ 1863/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43315600	TotPop	RES	P	OP5 17	POP18 24	POP16 64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3	HH size1	HH size2	HH size3	HH size4	EDU N	/EDU	LINC HH	MINC I	HH HINC	HH V	HINC HH H	HPopulation	TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data				4	4	10		9	3	4	2	0	4	2	1	2			0	0	0	3	0	0	11
2018 Baceline SE Data Patio				0.160	0.120	0.480	0.240	1.000	0.333	0.444	0.222	0.000	0.444	0.222	0.111	0.222						1.000	0.000		
Deploct SED		0.54	054	150	110		2240	274	105	144	0.222	0.000	144	0.222	. 0.111	0.222						1.000	0.000	054	
Project SED		7.50	730	153	11.	> +J7	227	374	125	100	63	0	100	83	42	. 63	0	37	4	101	173	0	0	730	4.4
2018 Will Floject SED	TatDaa	7.00	730	133	DOD40 04	POP4 ((4	227	374	125	100	0.0	0	100	03	1111 -10	. 63	0	37		101 MINIC I	173	<u> </u>		730	TotEmp
Tier I TAZ 1863/Tierz SCAG TAZ 43315600	тогрор	RES	P	UP5_17	POP18_24	POP10_04	PUP65P	Households	HH-WIKU	HH-WIKI	HH-WIKZ	HH-WIK3	HH_SIZE1	HH_SIZEZ	HH_SIZE3	HH_SIZe4 3	FDU r	1FDU	LINC_HH	MINC_I	H HINC	_HH VI	HINC_HH H	HPopulation	TOLETTP
2045 Buildout Year SE Data				3	2	5 12	0	9	3	5	1	U	4	2		. 2				3	2	4	0		142
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.125	0.125	6 0.500	0.250	1.000	0.333	0.556	0.111	0.000	0.444	0.222	. 0.111	0.222						1.000	0.000		
Project SED		1039	1039	130	130) 519	260	374	125	208	42	0	166	83	42	83		37	4 :	181	193	0	0	1039	
2045 With Project SED		1039	1039	130	130) 519	260	374	125	208	42	0	166	83	42	83	0	37	4 :	181	193	0	0	1039	142
Site 10 & 12.2 / 12.4 / 12.5 / 12.6 / 12.7																									
Tier 1 TAZ 1866/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43329600	TotPop	RES	P	OP5_17	POP18_24	POP16_64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3	HH_size1	HH_size2	HH_size3	HH_size4	FDU M	1FDU	LINC_HH	MINC_I	HH HINC	_HH VI	HINC_HH H	IHPopulation	TotEmp
2018 Baseline SE Data		561	561	113	67	346	69	238	103	118	17		64	82		34	109	12	9	112	73		18	561	644
2018 Baseline SE Data Ratio				0.190	0.113	0.582	0.116	1.000	0.433	0.496	0.071	0.000	0.269	0.345	0.244	0.143						0.660	0.340		
Project SED		983	983	187	111	. 572	114	417	180	207	30	0	112	144	102	60		41	7 :	379	38	0	0	983	
2018 With Project SED		1544	1544	300	178	918	183	655	283	325	47	0	176	226	160	94	109	54	6 4	491	111	35	18	1544	644
Tier 1 TAZ 1866/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43329600	TotPop	RES	P	OP5 17	POP18 24	POP16 64	POP65P	Households	HH-wrk0	HH-wrk1	HH-wrk2	HH-wrk3	HH size1	HH size2	HH size3	HH size4	FDU M	/FDU	LINC HH	MINC I	HH HINC	HH VI	HINC HH H	IHPopulation	TotEmp
2045 Buildout Year SE Data			1046	170	100) 594	241	406	141	208		7	- 79	- 83	198	- 46	278		8 -	168 -	134	70	- 34	1046	1226
2045 Buildout Year Ratio				0.154	0,090	0.538	0,218	1.000	0.347	0,512	0.123	0.017	0.195	0.204	0,488	0.113						0.673	0.327		
Project SED		1074	1074	165	97	578	234	417	145	214	51	7	81	85	201	47		41	7 ,	379	38	0	0	1074	
						570	201	111	- 15		51	,	01	03	200			14					b	2011	

APPENDIX B

VMT BY HOUSING OPPORTUNITY SITE

			Proje (Tier 1 TAZ 1803/Tier	ct Site 2 2 SCAG TAZ 43312100)			Project Site 11 (Tier 1 TAZ 1845/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43329300)									
Year		(Tier 1 TAZ 1803/Tier 2 SCAG TAZ 43312100) Year Without Project With Project									With Project						
Γ	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP		VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP				
2018	47,933	1,941	24.7	80,725	4,034	20.0	2018	7,614	89	85.5	22,255	664	33.5				
2023	53,802	2,249	24.2	86,245	4,284	20.1	2023	27,033	393	81.7	40,383	938	37.7				
2045	79,624	3,606	22.1	110,536	5,386	20.5	2045	112,480	1,732	64.9	120,144	2,146	56.0				
							-										
			Proje (Tier 1 TAZ 1804/Tier	ct Site 1 2 SCAG TAZ 43320100)					Project (Tier 1 TAZ 1857/Tier)	Site 4 & 5 2 SCAG TAZ 43313600)						
Year		Without Project			With Project		Year		Without Project			With Project					
Ī	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP		VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP				
2018	119,083	5,346	22.3	141,741	6,831	20.7	2018	25,204	805	31.3	152,304	8,216	18.5				
2023	124,553	5,660	22.1	145,427	7,107	20.5	2023	32,093	1,078	30.6	156,729	8,157	19.2				
2045	148,623	7,044	21.1	161,648	8,320	19.4	2045	62,402	2,278	27.4	176,199	7,896	22.3				
			Project (Tier 1 TAZ 1805/Tier	Site 12.1 2 SCAG TAZ 43312400)					Projec (Tier 1 TAZ 1860/Tier)	ct Site 6 2 SCAG TAZ 43321200)						
Year		Without Project With Project Year Without Project							(16117721000/1161	With Project							
F	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	-	VMT	VMT SP VMT/SP		VMT	SP	VMT/SP				
2018	39,219	1,391	28.2	40,355	1,538	26.2	2018	49,554	619	80.1	80,884	2,004	40.4				
2023	46,067	1,639	28.1	47,077	1,779	26.4	2023	65,413	1,175	72.2	97,367	2,581	39.0				
2045	76,200	2,730	27.9	76,650	2,842	27.0	2045	135,191	3,619	37.4	169,890	5,118	33.2				
			Project (Tier 1 TAZ 1807/Tier	Site 12.3 2 SCAG TAZ 43312300)			Project Site 8 (Tier 1 TAZ 1862/Tier2 SCAG TAZ 43334300)									
Year		Without Project			With Project		Year	Without Project				With Project					
Ē	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	-	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP				
2018	82,050	3,614	22.7	81,495	3,657	22.3	2018	152	0	0.0	12,463	621	20.1				
2023	90,920	3,944	23.0	90,106	3,986	22.5	2023	4,958	102	8.8	17,444	727	22.5				
2045	129,948	5,397	24.1	127,997	5,434	23.6	2045	26,103	551	47.4	39,358	1,191	33.0				
			Proje (Tier 1 TAZ 1809/Tier	ct Site 3 2 SCAG TAZ 43312300)					Projec (TAZ 1863/Tier2 S	ct Site 7 CAG TAZ 43315600)						
Year		Without Project	(1	, With Project		Year		Without Project	(1	With Project					
F	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP		VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP				
2018	22,519	916	24.6	70,830	3,983	17.8	2018	622	11	56.5	23,444	967	24.2				
2023	28,459	1,136	24.8	75,840	4,059	18.6	2023	1,771	35	55.0	23,983	1,007	23.9				
2045	54,596	2,104	25.9	97,882	4,395	22.3	2045	6,827	142	48.1	26,351	1,181	22.3				
			·		·	·			-		-		·				
			Proje	ct Site 9)				P	roject Site 10 & 12.2 &	12.4 & 12.5 & 12.6 & 12	7					
Year		Without Project	(IICI 1 IAZ 1041/ HPF	2 JUNU INZ 43312400	/ With Project		Year		Without Project	(TICL 1 TAZ 1000/TIEF.	2 JUNG TAZ 43329000)	With Project	ct				
ŀ	VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP		VMT	SP	VMT/SP	VMT	SP	VMT/SP				
2019	E1 E44	1520	22.5	72.920	2 5 9 7	28.4	2019	50.900	1 205	42.2	NN9 04	2100	21.0				

2023

2045

59,644

95,197

1,850

3,219

32.8

29.6

80,847

111,683

2,881

4,132

28.2

27.0

2023

2045

57,628

87,231

1,403

2,272

41.5

38.4

77,045

108,733

2,402

3,346

32.0

32.5



GANDDINI GROUP INC.

714.795.3100 | ganddini.com