RESOLUTION NUMBER 3767

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RESCINDING THE "NORTH PERRIS ALIGNMENT" AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE MID COUNTY PARKWAY

- **WHEREAS**, the City Council of the City of Perris ("City") recognizes the need to accommodate the growing regional east-west movement of traffic between and through San Jacinto, Perris and Corona that is due in part to the substantial population and employment growth in western Riverside County; and
- **WHEREAS,** on November 9, 2004, the City of Perris adopted Resolution Number 3333, formally designating the "North Perris Alignment" as the preferred alternative for the future Mid County Parkway within the City of Perris; and
- **WHEREAS**, said Resolution mandated that new development within this corridor be required to set aside land for development of the Mid County Parkway; and
- **WHEREAS**, the City acknowledges that certain regulatory, commercial and environmental issues have arisen since adoption of Resolution Number 3333 that affect the viability of the Northern Alignment; and
- WHEREAS, the Riverside County Transportation Commission ("RCTC") has designated a study area for the proposed Mid County Parkway, which encompasses several alternate alignments through the City of Perris; and
- **WHEREAS**, the City desires to formally notify the lead agency, RCTC, of the City's willingness to consider alternate alignments, provided that these alignments are in keeping with local policies as set forth in this Resolution, and provided that RCTC considers a "no project alternative."
- **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Perris as follows:
- **Section 1.** The above recitals are all true and correct and are incorporated herein by reference.
- **Section 2.** The City Council hereby rescinds Resolution Number 3333, adopted November 9, 2004, which designated the "North Perris Alignment" as the locally preferred alternative for the Mid County Parkway.

- **Section 3.** The City Council finds that all previously approved developments within the North Perris Alignment which were conditioned to preserve sufficient right-of-way for the Mid County Parkway are no longer subject to such development constraints.
- **Section 4.** The City Council further determines that whichever alignment of the Mid County Parkway is ultimately identified as the locally preferred alternative shall adhere to the following local policies regarding its location, design and construction:
 - A. The Mid County Parkway shall improve circulation for North Perris industrial and commercial land uses, and assist in the economic growth of the City.
 - B. Potential noise and aesthetic impacts shall be addressed by constructing the facility below grade between Interstate 215 and the Perris Valley Storm Drain.
 - C. Interchanges shall be provided at the I-215, Perris Boulevard, and Evans Road.
 - D. The following measures are necessary to ensure that the facility does not disrupt the flow of local traffic or create a significant physical barrier within the community:
 - 1. Over-crossings shall be constructed for Indian Street, Perris Boulevard, and Redlands Avenue. Such over-crossings shall include provisions for pedestrians and bicyclists.
 - 2. A two-lane (66-foot right-of-way) I-215 frontage road shall be maintained between Nuevo Road and Ramona Expressway.
 - 3. The frontage road shall connect with Placentia Avenue, which shall remain open to local traffic between the I-215 and Murrieta Road.
 - E. Drainage improvements shall be constructed according to Master Drainage Plans. Any adjustments or modifications to Master Drainage Plans made necessary by the Mid County Parkway shall be funded and coordinated by the RCTC.
 - F. A bridge shall be constructed over the Perris Valley Channel. Other crossings over existing or proposed drainage channels shall be made in accordance with the aforementioned Master Drainage Plans.

- G. The City reserves the right to add any other design features that will assist in mitigating potential adverse impacts of the proposed Mid County Parkway.
- **Section 5.** The City Council hereby requests RCTC to consider all remaining alternatives for the Mid County Parkway, including a "no project alternative."
- **Section 6.** The City Council declares that should any provision, section, paragraph, sentence or word of this Resolution be rendered or declared invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, or by reason of any preemptive legislation, the remaining provisions, sections, paragraphs, sentences and words of this Resolution shall remain in full force and effect.
- **Section 7.** The Mayor shall sign this Resolution and the City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.

ADOPTED, SIGNED and APPROVED this 26th day of September, 2006.

| | Mayor, Daryl R. Busch | |
|---------|-----------------------|--|
| | | |
| ATTEST: | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| STATE OF CALIFORNIA |) | |
|---------------------|---|---|
| COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE |) | § |
| CITY OF PERRIS |) | |

I, Judy L. Haughney, CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution Number 3767 was duly and regularly adopted by the City Council of the City of Perris at a regular meeting thereof held the 26th day of September, 2006, and that it was so adopted by the following called vote:

AYES: Yarbrough, Landers, Motte, Rogers, Busch

NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

City Clerk, Judy L. Haughney